

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-183

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 29, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly denied her motions without explanation in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Michael J. Brown, Regina L. Nassen, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 29, 2025.

---

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

**Subject:** New file -

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Please open a new case file on Judge .

The case revolves around extreme prejudice and retaliation including lack of running water since , sanitation issues for over a year due to repeated vandalism and safety issues since inception ( + years).

I opened Judge file with CJC because he has failed to take action to motions to restore my constitutional and state rights.

It appears Judge is continuing the pattern of procedural irregularities, both her denials lack explanation, she fails to address issues that document active harm and retaliation allowing them to continue and since there is a pattern at the courthouse ( now along with the clerks cherry picking my submissions) they are undermining the integrity of the judicial system itself.

Due to the systemic denial to date I have also reached out to another branch, the Governor's Office (this one is missing, as it was opened last week, please add it to their records if they reach out for information).

Thank you,

FILED  
CLERK COURT  
BY  
DEPUTY

COURT, STATE OF ARIZONA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

[REDACTED] Plaintiff, vs. [REDACTED] Defendant.	Case No. [REDACTED]  <b>ORDER DENYING</b>
HONORABLE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	BY: [REDACTED] Judicial Assistant DATE: [REDACTED]

The Court having received and considered Plaintiff's *Motion for Reconsideration, Reopening and Affidavit* filed [REDACTED]

**IT IS ORDERED** Plaintiff's *Motion for Reconsideration, Reopening and Affidavit* is **denied**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** referring this matter back to [REDACTED] for all further proceedings.

[REDACTED]  
eSigned by [REDACTED]  
HON. [REDACTED]  
Judge of the [REDACTED] Court

cc: [REDACTED] Plaintiff, In Pro Per, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] the [REDACTED] (e)  
Hon. [REDACTED] (e)