

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-184

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 29, 2025

The Complainant alleged a biased superior court judge had ex parte communications with the opposing party and told him he was wasting the court's time with unsupported claims in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 29, 2025.

2025-184

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge [ ] They have violated their oaths. They have not followed the Color of Law. I, the male Petitioner and father has not been allowed Due Process. Often having my Constitutional and Civil Rights violated.

Judge [ ] has a major been players in these violation. Due to their organization, I am finding it difficult to have a fair trial without implicit bias. Whenever, I have disagreed with their conjured statements or rulings, they've taken measures to prevent me from protecting our child involved in these proceedings. Most recently, I filed a Contempt of Court violation against the Respondent, who has moved several times to different states and cities against direct court orders with impunity. Also included in these violations was blocking court ordered Parenting Visitation Time, illegal registration to school & medical, blocking of medical and school information.

The Respondent has had undocumented ex-parte communication with court Judge [ ] . After several attempts to have this hearing, which Judge [ ] continued to refuse to allow such hearing due to his relationship with Judge [ ] and [ ] , who was one of the main conspirers to this Racket, finally allowed a hearing. During these recorded hearings the Respondent has been late to [ ] of them. The Respondent perjured herself by stating that I, the father. never attempted to exercise our daughter's Parenting Time with me. I even confirmed with Judge [ ] if her statements were indeed on record. He confirmed. During the [ ] and [ ] the Respondent claimed that there were an Order of Protection in place, which was false because it was denied by [ ] Yet the court is still allowing the Respondent to not disclose where our child is residing. The Respondent also said that she was not interfering. On our Continuation hearing the Respondent, late again stated that Judge [ ] told her that she did not have to follow court orders (ex-parte). In this hearing 17 pieces of evidence was admitted into evidence from the me, the Petitioner. The Respondent had no evidence, no witnesses yet the Judge [ ] conjured statements in his orders, that did not reflect the evidence or facts of this case. Actually his "findings" contradicted his personal statements regarding me. During the hearing he stated that the Respondents testimony, was her evidence. The Respondent didn't not follow Rules of Discovery of providing and evidence or Statements of Facts as outlined by this court. During this same hearing the Respondent told Judge [ ] that she wasn't going follow the court orders until he changed them regarding visitation and court ordered FaceTimes that she had violated with impunity. The players in this RICO Act have often left several other court personnel baffled with their findings. Many others are seeing the intentionality of violating my rights in order to chastise me for standing up against their corruption. I can no longer get a fair hearing in [ ] County because as I was told, by a former Judge and another attorney, I was right, but I didn't play the game.

Judge [ ] is also denying my right for Modification, even though he's admitted That the facts of this case has change significantly impacting our daughter's rights to her father. Instead of Judicially investigating this case by the Color of the Law, he is intentionally trying to silence me, because I have the evidence to prove his/their being biased and enacting revenge from the bench.

