

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-204

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 29, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge violated speedy trial rights in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 29, 2025.

TO: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
(602) 452-3200  
cjc@courts.az.gov

Comp

FROM:

DATE:

RE: EMERGENCY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF WITHIN 24 HOURS BY THE AZ  
SUPREME COURT JUSTICES TO REMOVE THREE DISREPUTABLE  
OFFICERS: \_\_\_\_\_, AND \_\_\_\_\_  
FOR SO MANY FEDERAL AND STATE CRIMES INCLUDING BUT  
LIMITED TO THE BELOW OUT OF 90 POTENTIAL TITLE 18  
U.S. CODE VIOLATIONS:

- (1) OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, A.R.S. § 13-2409, 18 U.S.C. § 1503;
- (2) FALSE OATHS A.R.S. § 13-2702, 18 U.S.C. § 1621;
- (3) PERJURIES, A.R.S. § 13-2702, 18 U.S.C. § 1001;
- (4) FALSE RECORDS, A.R.S. § 13-2702, 18 U.S.C. § 1512;
- (5) TAMPERING WITH EVIDENCE, A.R.S. § 13-2809, 18 U.S.C. § 1512
- (6) CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS, A.R.S. § 13-1003; 18 U.S.C. § 241;
- (7) SLAVERY, AZ CONST., ART. 2, SECTION 3, US CONST., THIRTEENTH AMDT. "SLAVERY", "INDENTURED SERVITUDE", "FORCED LABOR", US CONSTITUTION, THIRTEENTH AMDT., SLAVERY, A.R.S. § 13-1308, 18 U.S.C. § 1583, INDENTURED SERVITUDE, A.R.S. § 13-1308, 18 U.S.C. § 1583, FORCED LABOR, A.R.S. § 13-1308, 18 U.S.C. § 1589, U.S.A. V. DIDDY;

- (8) DEFRAUDING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BY "FALSE CLAIMS" PUBLIC DEFENDER CONSPIRACY, LANHAM ACT VIOLATION OF FALSE ADVERTISING AND FALSE AND DECEPTIVE PRACTICES, **"AFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL"** GIDEON V. WAIN-WRIGHT AND AVERY V. STATE OF ALABAMA (**"In Effective Assistance of Counsel: The Lingering Debate"**) to DEFRAUD THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT via GRANTS FOR LEGAL REPRESENTATION PER A CONSTITUTIONAL SIXTH AMDT. VIOLATION PER PUBLIC DEFENDERS AND JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT AND FRAUD (FRAUDS ON THE COURT) **AZCAP CASE: ANGELICA R. V. HON. POPKO; JAKE V.)**
- (9) CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT AN OFFENSE, FOR STARTERS, A.R.S. § 13-1003, 18 U.S.C. § 1349 (CHAIN-LINK CONSPIRACY);
- (10) FINANCIAL TERRORISM (SECTION 806 OF THE PATRIOT ACT) 18 U.S.C. § 2332b;
- (11) PUBLIC NUISANCES, A.R.S. § 13-2917; 18 U.S.C. § 6504;
- (12) PUBLIC CORRUPTION A.R.S. § 13-2314; 18 U.S.C. § 1962 (A) (B) (C) (D), HENNIGAN V. MCKINSEY, STATE V. WHALEN, U.S.A. V. DIDDY, AND U.S.A. V. WHITING;
- (13) TREASON AND INSURRECTION 18 U.S.C. §§ 2381, 2383, 2384, AND 2385 TO OVERTHROWN THE DEMOCRATIC AMERICA AND ITS GOVERNMENTAL LAW - THE US CONSTITUTION AND IT AZ CONSTITUTION, ART. 2, SECTION 3 - THE US CONSTITUTION;
- (13) WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST PEACE, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, MEHMOVIC V. VUCKOVIA, 198 F.SUPP.2D 1322, 1358-60 (N.D. GA. 2002), MUSHIKIWABO, 1996 WL 164496 AT \*3, 18 U.S.C. 2441, "JUSTICE FOR VICTIMS OF WAR CRIMES ACT, PUBLIC LAW: 117-351, PASSED 01/05/2023 (S. 4240 SEN. CHUCK GRASSLEY, "JUDGEMENT AT NUREMBERG (NAZI, TRIALS);
- (14) STATE AND FEDEAL WITNESS TAMPERING, A.R.S. 13-2804, CRAIG V. INDUS. COMM'N OF AZ, No. 1 CA-IC 23-0002 FILED 01-09-2024, HADDLE V. GARRISON, 525 U.S. 121 (1998);
- (15) CONSPIRACY TO GET A "TAINTED" CONVICTION, A.R.S. § 13-1003, STATE OF AZ V. GOFF, 99 ARIZ. 79 (1965), 407 P.2d 55,

MASSIAH V. U.S.A., 377 U.S. 201, 84 S.CT. 1199, 12 L.ED. 246,

GILBERT V. BOMEX, 155 ARIZ. 169, 745 P.2D 617

- (16) CONSPIRACY TO IGNORE THE \_\_\_\_\_ DAYS (SPEEDY TRIAL) TO PROSECUTE PER, A.R.S. 16A A.R.S. Rules Crim. Proc., Rule 8.6, AZ ST RCRP Rule 8.6  
(If the court determines, after excluding any applicable time periods, that a time limit established by these rules has been violated, the court must dismiss the prosecution with or without prejudice.)

DATE OF FALSE ARREST: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

(A TOTAL OF \_\_\_\_\_ DAYS IN EXCESS OF ADDITIONAL DAYS.)

STATE OF AZ, \_\_\_\_\_ CNTY. PROSECUTORS OFFICE AND  
THEIR \_\_\_\_\_ LICENSEES-CNTY. ATTORNEY  
AND CNTY. ATTORNEY  
AND THE OTHER ROGUE DEPUTY CNTY. ATTORNEYS HAVE ABSOLUTELY "NO JURISDICTION" TO PROSECUTE THIS FALSE ARREST; BASED UPON THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS TO PROSECUTE UNDER A "SPEED TRIAL" AND \_\_\_\_\_ , \_\_\_\_\_ , AND \_\_\_\_\_ ARE CO-CONSPIRING WITH THE PROSECUTION AND PUBLIC OFFENDER'S COLLUDING TEAMS WHILE ENGAGING IN UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDUCT:

PRESTON V. U.S.A., 923 F.2D 731;  
U.S.A. V. TORKINGTON, 812 F.2D 1347 (11<sup>TH</sup> CIR. 1987);  
ASHELMAN V. POPE, 778 F.2D 539 (9<sup>TH</sup> CIR. 1985);  
PIERSON V. RAY, 386 U.S. 547;

- (17) OBSTRUCTING OF PENDING PROCEEDING BEFORE THE DEPARTMENTS, A.R.S. § 13-2810, 18 U.S.C. § 1505  
(State Court Rules are current with amendments received through \_\_\_\_\_. The Code of Judicial Administration is current with amendments received through \_\_\_\_\_.)

BECAUSE OF THE CORRUPTED THREE STATE ACTORS' :  
, AND "UNCONSTITUTIONAL  
CONDUCT", I.E., DID NOT ACCOMMODATE  
PER "REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS", I.E., PROVIDE AN  
"ETHICAL", "UPSTANDING" "COMPETENT" ATTORNEY AFTER  
THREE "PUBLIC OFFENDERS" INCLUDING "  
AS "ATIFISERS" SEE "NO DEFENSE MOTIONS" FROM THREE  
CONSPIRATORIAL "LICENSEES", IN  
COURT "CLERK'S OFFICE" "DOCKET SHEET" FOR  
CASE:

, AND WERE REQUIRED TO DISMISS  
THE FALSE ARREST CASE AND DIDN'T, RATHER, DELAYED  
THE PROCEEDINGS, DISRESPECTED BOTH AND  
OFFICER OF THE STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS,  
AND FRUSTRATED THEM BY DELAYING THE DISMISSAL WITH  
PREJUDICE BECAUSE CNTY. PROSECUTORS INCLUDING  
CNTY. ATTORNEY HAD A FRAUDULENT  
INSUFFICIENT CRIMINAL INDICTMENT WITH A DEFECTIVE  
GRAND JURY INDICTMENT; BASED ON "OMISSIONS" OF  
MATERIAL FACTS AND THE EVIDENCE TO EXONERATE  
( STORE "  
" VIDEO-AUDIO" THAT WOULD LEAD TO A MISTRIAL.

(OFFICER-OF-THE COURTS) IS  
FILING A STATE RISK MANAGEMENT "NOTICE OF CLAIM" PER  
"FORCED LABOR TO PAY HER BILLABLE HOURS AND WAGES  
FOR ALL OF HER BILLABLE HOURS "REPORTING" CRIMES IN  
COURT BECAUSE THE AZ AG OFFICE VENGEFUL  
SERIAL CRIMINALS FRAME WHISTLE BLOWERS, I.E., "FALSE  
STATEMENTS TO LAW ENFORCEMENT" AND AID-AND-ABET  
MORAL TURPITUDE CRIMES FOR THE LAST -YEARS, MINI-  
MUM IN VIOLATION OF US CONST., FIRST AMDT. "FREEDOM  
OF SPEECH", "RETALIATION", "RIGHT TO PETITION THE  
GOVERNMENT WITH ONE'S GRIEVANCE VIA (1) CIVIL COM-  
PLAINT AND/OR (2) CRIMINAL COMPLAINT;

STATE AND FEDERAL OFFICER-WITNESS BILL-  
ABLE HOURS FOR "REPORTING" TO THE AUTHORITIES  
"SUSPECT CRIMINAL ACTIVITY" IN

SEE:

PROSECUTORIAL, PUBLIC DEFENDER, AND JUDICIAL  
MISCONDUCT, FRAUDS ON THE COURT, LEGAL MALPRACTICE  
AND PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE WHILE FRAMING PRE-TRIAL  
VIA CONSPIRACY TO GET A TAINTED  
CONVICTION:

STATE OF AZ V. GOFF, 99 Ariz. 79 (1965), 407 P.2d 55,  
MASSIAH V. U.S.A., 377 U.S. 201, 84 S.CT. 1199, 12  
L.ED. 246,

GILBERT V. BOMEX, 155 ARIZ. 169, 745 P.2D 617

U.S.A., STATE OF CA, EX REL JULIA MACIAS V. PAC.  
HEALTH CORP., 12-960 RSWL (JPRx),

U.S.A., STATE OF CA, EX REL JULIO BORDAS V. DIGNITY  
HEALTH (SETTLED: \$22.5 MILLION DOLLARS)

U.S.A. V. NORA, (5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. 2021), CASE NO. 18-31078

(18) CONSPIRACY TO "FRAME" PLAINTIFF  
WITH AT LEAST SEVEN FALSE ARRESTS RE: STATE OF AZ,  
CNTY. 115-YEAR "RACIAL GENTRIFICATION CIVIL  
WAR OVER "LAND GRABS" IN VIOLATION OF US CONST.,  
FIFTH AMDT. CONDEMNATION, "FAIR CASH VALUE"; FOUR-  
TEENTH AMDT. "EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE", ALRIGHT  
PARKING SYSTEM V. BD. OF EQUALIZATION, PARAGRAPH 11  
(HOW TO DETERMINE "FCV" OF A DOWNTOWN PROPERTY IN  
1962), AZ CONST., ART. 2, SECTION 3: US CONST.,  
SECTION 4, DUE PROCESS, AND SECTION 13, EQUAL PRO-  
TECTION, A.R.S. §§ 13-1003, 18 U.S.C. 1349 WITH  
PROS. AFTER DISCOVERED  
PROS. FRAMED VIA  
18 U.S.C. § 1347 (MEDICAID FRAUD), ANTI-KICKBACK  
STAT. VIOLATIONS, AND FALSE CLAIMS FOR ENGAGING IN  
WAR CRIMES VIA "ASSUALT" AND "MEDICAL BATTERY" VIA  
PSYCHOTROPIC DRUGS TO SILENCE  
"POLITICALLY" AND "SOCIALY" IN VIOLATION OF  
HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS: 5

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**