

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-218

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 15, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace was unfair in granting orders of protection.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 15, 2025.

Comp

Attachments: [Screenshot](#) ~4(1) (1).png
[1000008484~2.png](#)
[Screenshot](#) [Chrome.png](#)

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Reporting complaint

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Hi

I've on three occasions I've had a judge of court issue injunctions against harassment against me without me present to defend myself.. to friends of each other who are complete strangers to me.. who conspire to lie.. and the judge has believed their false claim. Then me to schedule a hearing to contest it.. and the judge disregard my proof or give more weight to their claim even though no proof from the first two except verbal.. and the third one my proof is equal that they are harassing me first, showing multiple emails from the female even though I never gave her my email address and don't know her. Just them saying it was enough for the judge . He has a low threshold for giving the injunctions without the person present, and a high threshold for contesting it with the person present. The latest one he approved for someone against me on named without me present (and the last one was another fabricated one from her stepfather right next door to her), and this female person is someone that is hacking my phone because she sent me an email a couple years ago after the injunction from her stepfather father and I've never met her nor given her my email. And I have proof with myh email log screenshot showing a foreign device logging in right after me on multiple occasions on one day.

These people are on the next road over, and are strangers who have initiated unwanted contact with me first. She's constantly sending me spam and bogus low property offers. So I had a contested hearing and in addition I had used AZPoint to file one against her on . The court did not offer me a hearing on at the time of filing like the court did for the other parties three times past. They combined my injunction application against her with my contested hearing.. all for .

That is unfair treatment because the contesting of the injunction was handled first on .. and it interfered with my injunction application hearing. If the injunction application hearing was handled on when I was there giving the court the AZPoint code (an immediate hearing as was afforded the other parties) I believe that being handled separate of the contested hearing that my application would have gotten approved. This judge is very uneven and biased and when the contested wasn't to his satisfaction, that biased his mood apparently toward my application which had visual proof and easily met the low threshold that the other parties got. And he was impatient.

I showed judge evidence of my phone hacked, evidence of multiple emails from the female on the same day, and had at least as much evidence as her for his low threshold of approving it for her. Yet, again, stating my contested was denied, and my application for one against her was refused.

This judge is previously from and has not been to law school. That is curious. It says he retired from and was elected to in . I don't seek to insult him, but looking at the facts and how things are handled by him, it is simply a fact that he isn't a true attorney nor no law school and is not fair in his treatment.

This is three occasions he's had a low threshold approving injunctions on gossip with no proof and with false claims, and having a high threshold when I scheduled a hearing to contest. The first two of the three total was combined into a contested hearing for both of them in of . was the original judge that issued them, yet the contested was a protem even more impatient and moody who did not question one thing about the two injunctions, and I verbally said " " yet I was threatened with contempt just for saying " ", and they had no proof. Just hot air from them, no proof. The subject in the injunction against me had previously got charged with assault for knocking my phone out of my hand when I videoed him walking up to me as I was walking my dog down the road past their place on the far side of the road.. and did the petty false injunction as a way to try and retaliate against me for him being charged.

This Court is very unprofessional and inconsistent in the way it handles these hearings. Even the appeal form to appeal an Injunction ruling is like twenty pages of nonsense repeat filling out the same trivial stuff like address and case numbers ten times twenty different pages or something like that. This time when court sent a Minute Order saying " ".

I just responded said not wasting time appealing again.. if you don't have the decency to be fair and equal then that's on you and if you can't correct stuff brought to your attention then you're part of the problem. The last appeal even had the Court answering with " " and the twenty page court appeal form ridiculous thing with ten to twenty pages repeating needing to

fill out the same trivial address stuff ten+ times was looked over and approved by the Clerk at time of filing. So just an abomination really.

I am on disability and this is unfair to anybody regardless of disability. Though even more egregious.

Too easy to approve injunctions without the person present and too easy to slander.

Then the same parties could conspire to lie that it was violated.

This or any county should not be behaving this way.

I think with a civil attorney which I can't afford.. the parties bringing false injunctions and the court would have some legal liability for this type of treatment.

This is reputation civil case ..or defamation civil case type stuff.

But it needs bringing to state attention of whoever oversees these courts.

Attached screenshot showing my email open in one other physical location when I'm logged in 2) and the email log showing intrusion by iPhone running Safari underlined in RED. My logins in GREEN.

Attached screenshot of emails from her in the trash folder unopened.. one of them saying " ..". If she was doing nothing wrong she'd say " ..".

Attached screenshot of her activity as retweeting a post from . in is where a lot of nefarious online and money scams originate. And if you look at her account you'll see all she tweets about is celebrities. And I believe these people are associated in some scientology or like cult that conspire to harass people.

They are at and .

I am at .

These are complete strangers to me. I bought this unknown that these surrounding properties were monopolied by these people.. and to mind my own business.

P

Re: Gee mommy, why does he t...

P

Re: Gee mommy, why does he t...

I'm not your mommy. You are clai...

P

Re: We also wouldn't expect an...

it's so funny that the only way...

P

Re: We also wouldn't expect an...

You called the cops and they did...

P

Re: And for you to think you co...

if you report me I'm going to s...

P

Re: And for you to think you co...

- et Customer Success RE: 1st contact attempt made. - CRICKET HERE! Our records indicate...
- Recently viewed: Military Jacket Mens Medium Orc Industries
- et Customer Success **Survey Invitation for your interaction with Cricket's Office of**
- Recently viewed: GENUINE USGI ORC IMPROVED RAIN SUIT P
- h Nerdle
- h Nerdle
- Postal Service
- et Customer Success 1st contact attempt made. - Hi [redacted] This is [redacted]
- et Save now on Flexadin Advanced with AutoShip - Save 30% on
- S Customer Support RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Your USPS Service Request # [redacted]
- records [redacted].gh
- S Customer Support Your USPS Service Request # [redacted] Has Been Resolved!
- S Customer Support USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request [redacted] - Dea
- treply@usps.com USPS - PO Box Price Changes Coming - Hello [redacted] Adva
- et Customer Success Case received. - Hi [redacted] This is [redacted] Manager of the C
- Postal Service **We want your feedback regarding case** - Just 5 m
- et Customer Success New Case Created - Hello, We have received your request. Re
- new invoice - Hello, Please see attached paid invoice. The tot
- Congrats, [redacted] ! You're now eligible 🎉** - Promoted List
- h Nerdle

Report Spam Delete More Actions... Go Refresh

Get Gmail on your mobile phone at <http://mail.google.com> using your phone's web

You are currently using 2209 MB (14%) of your 15360 MB

This account is open in 1 other location ([redacted]). Last account activity: 0 minutes

Gmail view: [standard](#) | [basic HTML](#) [Learn more](#)

[Terms](#) - [Privacy](#) - [Gmail Blog](#) - [Google Home](#)

↑
acct open in 1 other location.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**