

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-219

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

September 5, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge treated him unjustly and improperly awarded equal parenting time in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Roger D. Barton, Regina L. Nassen, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 5, 2025.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-219

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I am [redacted] I am divorced and so I live alone with my two kids. I am a first-generation immigrant from a communist state. I know injustice. I lived injustice. Right now at this moment in time, I am being treated unjustly. I was the victim of an acute, sudden loss of vision in both my eyes. By the end of [redacted], I was blind and it affected all aspects of my life. Months of diagnostic testing and theoretical treatment resulted in no definitive answers about the origins of my condition and it became clear that the problem was permanent. I am unable to drive, unable to read, and unable to work. I had to retire from my duty as a correctional officer. Once a proud contributor to my community and the lives of many, I was no longer even able to support my family in the way that I wanted. Becoming blind and losing employment during the divorce proceeding process was always going to be extremely difficult. However, I believe that the court and especially the current judge of my case have aggravated the situation to an unacceptable degree. The transition to the current judge was followed by much change. However, she lacks critical thinking ability, has missed or chooses to ignore major issues, and actively seems to seek the disruption of my livelihood.

The current judge established a new parenting time schedule with a temporary order. The evidentiary hearing was held on [redacted] at [redacted]. During the hearing for the adjustment of parenting time, the judge allowed mother's counsel to speak and present their case for mother to have 50/50 parenting time. However, the allotted time for the hearing was running out by the time that the mother's argument finally closed and the judge began asking for my counsel to speak. Out of the time allotted, mother's counsel spoke for roughly 75% of it and my attorney was not able to present his argument in full. In fact, the judge was fairly apprehensive and rude when instructing my attorney that the court has a strict time limit and will not go over the allotted time for the hearing. The judge eventually did establish 50/50 parenting time which is completely unfair given that my argument was not able to be presented. The decision was made unilaterally by the judge who unfairly denied equal representation because she did not amend to allow for both sides in this matter to speak to the same degree. However, she omitted details regarding transportation and revised child support payments to account for the transition to 50/50 parenting time. Eventually, the errors were addressed by a minute entry from the judge. Regardless of whether the parenting time change was appropriate, the fact that she missed such big details pertaining to the change is very indicative of the lack of attention that she has shown to this case. The transportation order that was eventually established required me to be responsible for half of the transportation for the child. Additionally, even prior to the appointment of this current judge, I made attempts to change the common child's school to a neighborhood school within my community. It is within walking distance of my home and would only be about 15 miles of driving for mother on days that she would need to pick him up from school. This would greatly alleviate the stress of transportation which I struggle with given that I am blind. Instead, my requests to change his school have been denied or ignored so the child goes to a school within 1-2 miles of mother's home, who is comparatively healthy and able to drive, and I am now responsible for even more transportation with the institution of the new parenting time orders. Keeping the child in a school that is significantly closer to her house than mine would be unfair under even the most ideal circumstances since one parent would be driving more than the other. However, given the extreme discrepancy in the ability between me and mother to drive, the current orders from the judge are beyond unfair. This is completely unacceptable. In addition, the school that is closer to my home has higher education ratings so there is no reason for the school to not be considered if the best interests of the child are the main concern of the court.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

There are many more instances of ignorance that have been displayed by this judge. The regularity and degree of her mistakes make the assumption of outright malice even somewhat plausible. It is difficult to even comprehend how she may have reached some of the decisions that she has made and I do not believe that she is fit to be a judge or to make such significant decisions on any matter. The fact that she has been completely accepting of the discrepancy of time given to each party to speak during hearings and her complete omission of necessary detail from a life changing court order mean that she has failed in the fundamental duties of being an impartial judge.  
be considered if the best interests of the child are the main concern of the court.

There are many more instances of ignorance that have been displayed by this judge. The regularity and degree of her mistakes make the assumption of outright malice even somewhat plausible. It is difficult to even comprehend how she may have reached some of the decisions that she has made and I do not believe that she is fit to be a judge or to make such significant decisions on any matter. The fact that she has been completely accepting of the discrepancy of time given to each party to speak during hearings and her complete omission of necessary detail from a life changing court order mean that she has failed in the fundamental duties of being an impartial judge.

The temporary orders have gone on for over a year. How is this temporary???

My children are suffering and their best interests are not being protected.