

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-255

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 29, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge refused to grant a motion for change of judge and covered up misconduct of another judge.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 29, 2025.

TO: commission@azcourts.gov

**SUBJECT: Formal Judicial Misconduct Complaint – Judges _____, _____, _____,
and _____ Court Panels – Systemic Due Process Violations, Jurisdictional
Fraud, ADA/HIPAA Breaches, and Retaliation Against Protected Litigant**

Dear Commission Members,

I, _____, Confidential and Protected Party under the Arizona Address Confidentiality Program, submit this judicial complaint regarding grave misconduct and constitutional violations committed by multiple judicial officers spanning the Arizona trial, _____, and _____ courts.

The judicial misconduct has resulted in the unlawful loss of custody of my children, denial of ADA and HIPAA rights, retaliation for protected filings, and procedural traps that obstructed lawful access to review. These actions warrant immediate investigation and disciplinary action.

Judicial Officers Involved:

- 1. Judge _____ (Arizona _____ Court – _____):**
 - Issued void custody and arrest orders without adjudicating UCCJEA registration under A.R.S. § 25-1305.
 - Proceeded in violation of ADA-protected medical leave and HIPAA.

- 2. Judge _____ (_____ Court):**
 - Ratified _____ void orders, denied ADA continuance, revived expired arrest warrants, and refused recusal despite federal complaints and ongoing appeals.

- 3. Judge _____ (_____ Judge – Family Court):**
 - Refused to reassign despite documented bias and ARFLP Rule 10.1 obligations.
 - Protected Judge _____ despite extensive evidence of due process failures.

Panels:

First Appeal ()::

- Judge
- Judge
- Judge

Second Appeal ()::

- Judge
- Judge
- Judge

Special Action (Jurisdiction Declined):

- Judge
- Judge
- Judge

Special Action (Jurisdiction Accepted, No Relief):

- Judge
- Judge
- Judge

These panels repeatedly failed to address jurisdictional defects under **A.R.S. § 25-1305**, denied ADA accommodations, dismissed legitimate filings as “frivolous,” and affirmed void custody orders rooted in fraud, sealed record violations, and HIPAA breaches. They acted with knowledge of unresolved federal protections, grave jurisdictional defects, a pending * investigation, CPIAP child passport alerts, CBP Protections, the US Department , due process violations, constitutional violations, and civil rights violations.

Arizona Court Justices:

- Justice
- Justice
- Justice
- Justice
- Justice
- Justice

These Justices issued contradictory orders, ignored emergency filings, and denied ADA motions without legal reasoning. Justice _____ barred all future filings in one case (_____), foreclosing access despite live jurisdictional challenges. Their coordinated denials reflect systemic retaliation and obstruction.

Relief Requested:

I respectfully request that the Commission investigate:

- The procedural breakdowns, bias, and abuse committed by these judicial officers;
- Whether rulings were made to shield misconduct rather than uphold justice;
- The denial of fundamental rights, including ADA access, HIPAA compliance, due process, and VAWA protections.

Attached is my Emergency Motion filed _____. Please confirm receipt and advise if additional documentation or format is required. I am prepared to supplement this complaint with judicial orders, transcripts, medical information, and correspondence proving these violations.

Respectfully,

Confidential & Protected Party

Confidential & Protected Party

Petitioner Pro Per

ARIZONA

COURT

f/k/a

,

Arizona
No.

Court

Arizona
Case No.

Appellant/Petitioner,

v.

**EMERGENCY MOTION FOR
STAY AND LEAVE TO FILE RULE
14(c) SPECIAL ACTION UNDER
ARCAP RULE 6(e); OR, IN THE
ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
PETITION FOR REVIEW DUE TO
FRAUD, JURISDICTIONAL
DEFECTS, ADA, HIPAA,
CONSTITUTIONAL, AND CIVIL
RIGHTS VIOLATIONS**

Appellee/Respondent.

Arizona
Case No.

Court

COMES NOW the Petitioner, (“Appellant” or “Petitioner”), a Confidential and Protected Party appearing pro se, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court pursuant to ARCAP Rule 6(e), Rule 6(b), and Rule 14(c) for: (1) an emergency motion for stay leave to file Rule 14(c), Special Action under ARCAP Rule 6(e); or, in the Alternative, motion for extension of time to file petition for review due to fraud, jurisdictional defects, ADA, HIPAA, Constitutional, and Civil Rights Violations to file a Petition for Review, currently set for _____ to protect Petitioner’s constitutional and statutory rights under federal and state law.

This motion is made solely to preserve Petitioner’s constitutional rights as she:

1. Has prepared several related filings culminating in this motion, which she respectfully requests be deemed a formal Petition for Special Action—if permitted by this Court—under Rule 14(c), addressing unresolved jurisdictional and constitutional violations, as well as RICO-based misconduct and coordinated legal abuse arising from the underlying trial court proceedings; and
2. Seeks to assemble and file under seal extensive supporting materials—including HIPAA-protected medical records, ADA documentation, federal protection notices, and confidential law enforcement evidence—that cannot be submitted through the public appellate docket absent further protective orders.

Appellant respectfully requests that this Court issue a stay of the filing deadline pending those efforts, as the circumstances in this case include not only jurisdictional defects under **A.R.S. § 25-1305**, but also ongoing **ADA** and **HIPAA** violations, unlawful *ex parte* proceedings, abuse of process, and retaliation by members of a coordinated legal enterprise that now spans multiple courts and **four (4) states**. The extraordinary circumstances and irreparable harm at issue justify a stay under both **Rule 6(e)** and the Court’s equitable supervisory powers. A.R.S. § 25-1305 requires a judicial determination of registration prior to any Arizona enforcement or modification of an out-of-state custody order. **The statute mandates that the party must be given notice, the opportunity to contest, and that the court must enter a ruling affirming validity. That never occurred here. The** **, filings were accepted but never adjudicated. The record contains no hearing, no minute entry, and no ruling under A.R.S. § 25-1305.**

The Arizona _____, (_____) reliance on Judge _____, Title IV-D “**findings**” was misplaced, as _____ explicitly addressed child support arrears **only** and **issued no findings on custody jurisdiction**. As a result, every order

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**