

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-257

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 5, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner violated HIPAA disclosure rights in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Roger D. Barton, Regina L. Nassen, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 5, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2025-257

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [Redacted] Judge's Name: [Redacted]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge Violated 16 ARS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
 Rule 35. MENTAL Examination Requirements, After I stated I'd
 refused (a.) right to request under legal control (e.) in which
 Attorney violated without my approval as
 she requested me to be seen after my denying so, in which
 there was a bench warrant issued on my behalf in which
 I'm currently incarcerated on behalf of this matter. I also
 informed that I requested a waiver of counsel
 on behalf of suggesting I'd committed the crime
 After the fact of witness statements violation of Brady law
 Terry vs Ohio, Due Process, Double Jeopardy, And other multiple
 violations including illegal search and seizure, BEING DONE ON
 Behalf of this crime leading to illegally obtained evidence.
 As a result they discussed my previous medical records,
 which have been closed, where I explained to
 that he violated HIPAA Law 1320-d which states.
 A patient has to give permission for a 3rd party to
 access records and his reply was the law didn't exist.
 I explained to him as well that this was a violation
 of my US Constitutional Rights and with him involving
 himself in my case IS A violation of ARS Con Art 6 S 28
 And he excused me from court and continued without me