

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-260

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 13, 2025

The Complainant alleged improper rulings by a superior court commissioner, as well as a general delay in resolving a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 13, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025 - 260

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name: SEVERAL- COUNTY

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

* See Typed ATTachments!

Date:

To: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

County Courts & Arbitrators
Arizona Attorney General
Attorney General
The Government -

From:

Ref: Abuses by Superior Court
Judge retired
Judge
Judge retired - appointed arbitrator, by Judge
Judge 0 replaced Judge as Chief Justice
Judge - Pro Tem
Notification to Arizona & others

Starting at the beginning, this suit should not have even been accepted with the phony claims of consumer fraud, filed on the behalf of the Arizona Attorney General, by a civilian Attorney. One of the claims, that I unilaterally cancelled Plaintiff's hunt in is ridiculous. It was the middle of the world wide epidemic of COVID. The country of had closed its' borders. There are further claims that have been made and accepted by the County court, all have been proven false, but these claims were the only way, the Plaintiff's, now unlicensed attorney, could get the case accepted. If the time had been taken to see the ridiculous claims, that the 2 Plaintiff's, and , had signed contracts, each one the same, over years, so that their requests for a date change could be accommodated, the Arizona division would never have agreed. The disbarred attorney, used this Consumer Fraud rule to get the suit in and filed / accepted. The Plaintiff's new attorney, has continued to use it, for billable hours. The case should have been dropped long ago. The Arizona, after reviewing the paperwork, had 2 comments, Frivolous and Unethical. This law, allowing 20,000 civilian attorneys to file criminal fraud charges, is a way to get lawsuits into the system and getting around legal contracts (as in this case, per plaintiff, involving a C corp).

We have contacted chair of the Arizona our Arizona representative, with paperwork and via telephone, to file a formal complaint on

this ridiculous regulation. A regulation that even the [redacted] says is open to unethical behavior. Additionally, we have contact the Attorney General of [redacted], in regards to the regulations in [redacted] (the Plaintiff's home state) and the State of [redacted] do not allow their attorneys to make their own claims on behalf of the Attorney General [redacted] division. We have also checked with [redacted], they do not allow this sort of filing either. Further, we have 732 attorneys, across the nation, as clients, we have also sent them the paperwork to review and the comments received were: Ridiculous, Frivolous, Unethical, Good for Billing.

The contracts signed (separate times by Plaintiffs's) state that the client, must resolve conflicts with the Supplier, not the agent. It also states there are no refunds. Allowing this to proceed, as it has, is a prime reason why the courts are continually behind, and possibly why they are pushing for arbitration and closure, no matter who is right or wrong. The [redacted] association and [redacted], seems to agree, that 20,000 civilian, non governmental attorneys, should not have this power. I agree, simply because, after [redacted] signed contracts over a period of [redacted] years, [redacted] of those years falling during the COVID pandemic, it has cost a fortune for no reason and it has been continual harassment by court proceedings that accomplish nothing, except create more billing.

When our Discoveries were prepared, Plaintiff's had not engaged a replacement attorney yet, so we paid to deliver, overnight, to each client, copies, all [redacted] pages, of the Discoveries. That went ignored for 3 months and no contact returned by the Plaintiffs, [redacted] and [redacted]. Their original Arizona Attorney lost his license to practice and they did not secure a replacement attorney until the last day allowed by the court. [redacted] excuse was that he has been hunting for [redacted] days, [redacted] has not provided a reason as to why he could not secure new representation. [redacted] ridiculous lie was accepted by Judge [redacted], even though it is not possible, and in this day and time, you can phone any where in the world from any where. This lie was not fact checked and Judge [redacted] did not inquire about why [redacted] did not secure an attorney in a reasonable time. What Judge [redacted] did do, was granted their replacement attorney 3 months to review the case, that did not appear to be needed as he submitted the previous attorney's work, verbatim, under his own letterhead. Nothing was mentioned about our [redacted] pages of Discoveries. Our Attorney at the time, pointed out the perceived unfairness and told Judge [redacted], and Judge [redacted] words, per the attorney were, "[redacted]", this after accepting a lie and not researching it for truth. It appears that he simply did not care. Total extra time, granted by the courts - 6 months.

I would like to say at this point, that the Arizona [redacted] association president has indicated that to him, this case if frivolous, and on the part of both the Plaintiffs and their Attorneys, unethical. We are not the suppliers, we are the booking agents, this is a big difference.

Further, the next poor decision by Judge _____, was to reassign the case to a family court judge, who had never handled cases such as ours. This Judge (Judge _____) allowed 2 more months to the case, we presume so that she could learn the ins and outs of such litigation. At this point, now 8 months, extra, granted by the court.

Next, we were forced into a Settlement Hearing by the court. This hearing was conducted by Judge _____ ret. or Judge _____ was appointed by Judge _____ to this matter. We understood settlement to mean, reach an understanding of the amount needed to end the case. Judge _____ encouraged back and forth of dollar amounts, Plaintiffs and Defendants could not agree. The Plaintiff's attorney than said that we broke court rules by negotiating. We were sanctioned. The hearing judge let this happen. Why have a settlement conference, if not to negotiate a dollar amount? Also, he appeared to have no idea about the contracts that the Plaintiff's signed, separate times, nor could he even pronounce the name of the country that Outfitter / Supplier was from, which is Judge _____ heard arguments surrounding the sanction (fine) and granted a Judge _____ should have been responsible for this, he led us into the fine. I think this happened because he did not know what he was doing. To recap this, Court forced defendants into a settlement hearing, for no reason, contracts signed stipulate Plaintiff's must pay all legal fees, Then, after being prompted by the Settlement Hearing Judge, we offer up a settlement dollar amount, only to be told, we can not answer any way but yes or no. This seems idiotic to me and it is not surprising that not one court in Arizona can catch up on their dockets. The real kicker though, Couty assessing a fine to us! After merely following what the Settlement Judge was encouraging. More lost time and money spent for nothing. At this point, we are now 9 months of wasted time.

Next up on my complaint, 2 more months down the road (11 months now), we are notified of another new judge for our case, Judge _____ and Judge _____ has retired. Judge _____ is now Chief Judge for the area. Judge _____, makes Judge #3 for this case.

We are notified there will be a pre-trial conference _____, then changed, last minute, to _____. We were notified of the change and were told, the conference would begin at _____. At approximately _____, we received a phone call that the conference would now happen at _____ and that the Judge is requesting our appearance, could we attend by Zoom. We scrambled and made it happen. Plaintiff's were not in attendance. At this hearing, Judge _____ let's everyone know he has a background in hunting, and that a bench trial with him is an option. We spoke with our attorney and decided to proceed with a bench trial, understanding that Judge _____ would be presiding. On _____, we receive an order from the Court, stating the case was reclassified as a Tier 1 case and would be sent to mandatory arbitration, without Judge _____ presiding. This came to us in the late afternoon, _____ hours, not _____, as noted on the

document. This is typical of this court, most notices are received in the late afternoon, so nothing can be done.

The explanation that we were given to this change was that the Plaintiff's attorney used Rule 72 (E), which even the Judge did not know about, or our attorney. The Plaintiff's attorney did not bring this up during the conference, so we were misled by County court. Again, we agreed to a bench trial by Judge , and then we were told, so sorry, can't do it. In the civilian world, that is called Bait and Switch, more evidence of unethical behavior.

We were told that Judge and Judge want this off the docket, and we have been rushed at every turn since Judge was seated in his current position. As the Court system has added nearly a year to this, I do not see now, why the rush or why we are being misled.

As we understand the arbitration, it will be an out of work attorney, playing judge, and deciding the case? With signed contracts, over years by the Plaintiffs and unethical behavior every where, does this seem fair and equal to anyone?

We will proceed with ethics charges against:

and file them with the following hunting organizations with ethics committees, made up of attorneys country wide, and international:

- International -
- Club -
- Association -
- Foundation -
- Professional -
- National - CEO,
- Association -
- Association -
- Republic -

President

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**