

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-269

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 2, 2025

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge improperly considered erroneous information from prior cases in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The allegations of the complaint in this matter solely raised disagreements with legal rulings. The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. "A good faith error of fact or law does not violate this rule. However, a pattern of legal error or an intentional disregard of the law may constitute misconduct." *See* comment 3 to Rule 2.2 of the Code of Judicial conduct. Any violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct must be proven by clear and convincing evidence.

A mistake in a ruling does not automatically mean that the judicial officer has engaged in judicial misconduct. Judicial officers are required to exercise their discretion in making their decisions. Even if a judicial officer's decision is legally or factually incorrect, the ruling is generally considered to be "legal error" and not "ethical misconduct" that the Commission can address. When a complaint to the Commission solely relates to the correctness of a judicial officer's legal decisions, the Complainant is seeking the Commission to function in the capacity of an appellate court. Correcting legal errors is the role of the appellate courts, not the Commission.

The Commission is a regulatory body with limited jurisdiction. The Commission is not a court, and as such, it does not have any authority to overturn a judicial officer's rulings, intervene in a case, assign a new judge to hear a case, or award any monetary or other relief. The Commission process cannot be a substitute for seeking appellate review.

The Commission reviewed all information submitted by the Complainant and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

A Duty Panel comprised of Commission members Regina L. Nassen, Scott H. O'Connor, and Christopher P. Staring considered this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 2, 2025.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-269

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached typed statement

Judge :

Complaint:

During yesterday's hearing to modify my probation and only be allowed to have contact with my adult son there were misleading facts presented by the prosecution and the judge.

They cited four cases against me that they stated included the same victims, this is untrue. One case is against my ex-wife and mother of because she pulled his hair and took his cell phone because he was the one that told me about the affair. Why do you think lives with my current wife since and not his biological mom. The second case was against me due to attempting to slap me and I blocked it and her hand got hurt, both were mutually dropped. The third case was because my step son tried to hurt and I stood in and stopped it, but as he was a minor I plead guilty to ensure no actions that hurt him or my wife. The fourth "interfering with a court proceeding" was because my wife filed a restraining order trying to force me to go to counseling for my medical condition and get it diagnosed with good intentions and she forgot to drop it prior to our anniversary trip to and I was arrested crossing the border back in because I was in the car with her, in which she immediately went to the court house and removed the restraining order. This was the first case against these victims and stating there were priors is a lie.

Additionally, my wife never said would be homeless she stated he would have to go live at her other house in AZ and leave her home so I could go home and care for her as she has a major medical condition and she is relying on her kids to get her to the bathroom on days and it is very uncomfortable for her. You accused her of having mind control over wanted to be in our communal home with me at as he wants to be with me. Nowhere was there a threat to make him homeless. was crying after the advocate said she was objecting, that he requested to see and plead his case. is well taken care of considering he doesn't even have a job and my current wife covers all his living expenses and will continue to at her other house.

The state and judge misrepresented everything and twisted words. wanted to spend our annual celebration together. There is no mind control going on and we were guilty before proven innocent or allowed to tell a story. There is not four cases against these children. is being handled in family court and his mom now has full custody. The judge and prosecutor lied and/or did not do proper research before citing four cases, one that isn't even against me but read so there were assumptions made.

I had to file the motion on my own due to incompetent council that has not responded to an email or phone call since my initial hearing. We were flying by the seat of our pants. Then you knew you were object as stated so, and you kept me in the courthouse for 2+ hours to do so, when it could have been a simple ruling.

---

**From:**  
**Sent:**  
**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct  
<CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: , Ruling ,

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#) | [Report](#)

I really wish you all had done your research before passing judgement.

The two court cases in one was against not for pulling  
minor child's hair and taking his phone breaking it up. The other case  
was against for putting his hand up to block from slapping him  
and it hurt her hand. Which they mutually dropped.

The case was because my son decided to punch due to him trying to stop him  
from hurting me because he's got issues and was but because he was the  
minor was arrested.

The interference against a court order was because I had filed a restraining order against  
due to issues and I forgot to drop it and we were pulled over coming back from  
for our Anniversary trip.

There was nothing against or until now. Yes his fault and he finally sought  
counseling and medication for a mental condition. He already lost custody of and  
is so very upset he can't see his dad, I sent him home because he was crying and  
upset because the advocate already told him they were objecting. So we sat there for  
two hours hoping that it wouldn't happen. And to be clear will be moving to my  
other house that his girlfriend rents a room at from me. He just wanted to be with his  
dad. He will be very secure at my house ir on look up property records,

You all really got this wrong and twisted all my words. I have a severe medical condition  
that I need care for and my year old son had to come pick me up off the floor the other

day after I had an accident. You clearly had your mind made up as you told [redacted] as soon as he saw the advocate that we asked to see.

I will be filing a complaint as I saw you let people walk who had more issues to accuse us of manipulating [redacted] is not ok. [redacted] has incompetent representation who won't respond to an email or phone call and he had to file the motion on his own due to no response from his attorney.

My wife and I never got to tell our story.

[redacted] and [redacted]

On [redacted],

[redacted] wrote:

Please see the attached Ruling. If you have any questions, contact the Court.

---

From the [redacted]  
this email.

Team: Please consider the environment before printing

Under Arizona Law, email to and from public entities may be public records subject to release upon request. This message (including any attachments) contains information intended for a specific individual and purpose. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by either reply email or by telephone and delete this message from your system.