

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-270

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

September 5, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge dismissed a motion as unimportant and failed to act on attorney misconduct in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Roger D. Barton, Regina L. Nassen, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 5, 2025.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-270

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form on plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached complaint and evidence.

**COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE**

**COUNTY**

**COURT –**

**Phone: (    )**

**Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007**

Judge            held a hearing on            . She addressed and treated my attached motion as rather unimportant.

However, I spoke at the hearing of attorney, Judge and bail bondsman crimes and I wanted to submit additional evidence of attorney            , Judge            and bail bondsman            misconduct, alleged criminal actions. These actions lead to my illegal arrest, catastrophic injuries and leaving me without due process (constitutional violation) illegally in jail for weeks to almost die. I was coerced into taking an illegal felony plea bargain in SISTER case            as relating to PRESENT case            .

Judge            needs to reeducate herself on ---

**ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT, Arizona            Court Rule 81, Rules of the  
Supreme Court, Amended as of            .**

---

**RULE 2.15. Responding to Judicial and Lawyer Misconduct**

**(A) A judge having knowledge that another judge has committed a violation of this code that raises a substantial question regarding the judge’s honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a judge in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.**

**(B) A judge having knowledge that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question regarding the lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects shall inform the appropriate**

authority. (C) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a violation of this code shall take appropriate action.

(D) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct shall take appropriate action.

(E) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities required or permitted by Rule 2.15 are part of a judge's judicial duties and shall be absolutely privileged, and no civil action predicated thereon may be instituted against the judge.

**Comment 1.** Taking action to address known misconduct is a judge's obligation.

Paragraphs (A) and (B) impose an obligation on the judge to report to the appropriate disciplinary authority the known misconduct of another judge or a lawyer that raises a substantial question regarding the honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness of that judge or lawyer. Ignoring or denying known misconduct among one's judicial colleagues or members of the legal profession undermines a judge's responsibility to participate in efforts to ensure public respect for the justice system. This - 21 - rule limits the reporting obligation to those offenses that an independent judiciary must vigorously endeavor to prevent.

2. A judge who does not have actual knowledge that another judge or a lawyer may have committed misconduct, but receives information indicating a substantial likelihood of such misconduct, is required to take appropriate action under paragraphs (C) and (D). Appropriate action may include, but is not limited to, communicating directly with the judge who may have violated this code, communicating with a supervising judge, or reporting the suspected violation to the appropriate authority or other agency or body. Similarly, actions to be taken in response to information indicating that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct may include but are not limited to communicating directly with the lawyer who may have committed the violation, or reporting the suspected violation to the appropriate authority or other agency or body.

**RULE 2.16. Cooperation with Disciplinary Authorities** (A) A judge shall cooperate and be candid and honest with judicial and lawyer disciplinary agencies. (B) A judge shall not retaliate, directly or indirectly, against a person known or suspected to have assisted or cooperated with an investigation of a judge or a lawyer.

---

**JUDGE** , rather than following Arizona's Mandated Judicial Canons, investigating my very serious charges, you condoned illegal actions, Judge illegal actions and County Public Defender's Offices highly evidenced inappropriate and alleged illegal conduct. As stated, to Judge at hearing – Judge , Attorney and bail bondman had illegal hearings behind my back with claiming he was on vacation for two weeks as leaving me in jail to nearly die. The County Recorder's Office's evidence backed up my entire story of my complete and total innocence and illegal (false bail bondsman ) affidavit arrest of this innocent man to block my previous federal lawsuit from going forward using illegal coercion (HORRIFIC CHOICE) of either taking illegal plea bargain or dying in jail as described in Motion of not receiving proper medical treatment for my catastrophically broken arm (in jail) as needing emergency surgery. See my attached Motion.

**JUDGE** , did remove attorney but is allowing to choose another biased and prejudiced attorney within his County Public Defender's Office.

**JUDGE** , will not even listen to court video Exhibit D, recording of County Public Defender , on recording was not even my assigned attorney. This Judge allowing attorney to (Lie) degrade me and my mom, on the record, in open court. Obvious biased and prejudiced conduct by the County Public Defender's Office. I filed numerous bar complaints (even including attorney Bar discipline for breach of attorney client privilege, etc.) but that was not enough for Judge and in her biased, prejudiced opinion does not equal conflict-of-interest or County Public Defender's Office misconduct. See U.S. Supreme Court: *McMann v. Richardson*, 397 U.S.759, 771 n.14 (1970) mandates Effective Assistance of Counsel. "[T]he right to counsel is the right to the effective assistance of counsel." "[I]f the right to counsel guaranteed by the Constitution is to serve its purpose, defendants cannot be left to the mercies of incompetent counsel."

**JUDGE** , on --- Why in the world would you order the County Public Defender's Office to further represent me?

I could write a lengthy legal brief, or we could hold a lengthy evidentiary hearing (as you suggested yesterday) but you certainly know the U.S. Supreme Court has written

repeatedly: *“that even the appearance of conflict-of-interest, misconduct, bias, prejudice is not to be allowed.”*

When is enough is enough?

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct --- please take disciplinary action against Judge

Thank you.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**