

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-271

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 30, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace engaged in systematic misconduct including docket manipulation, constitutional violations, and covering up prosecutorial misconduct as part of a conspiracy to silence her investigation into her sister's alleged murder.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 30, 2025.

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;

**Subject:** Urgent: Formal Request for Review of Judicial Misconduct and Due Process Violations by Justice Court Judge \_\_\_\_\_ — Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#) | [Report](#)

**Dear Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct,**

My name is \_\_\_\_\_ and I am representing myself in \_\_\_\_\_  
Justice Court Case No. \_\_\_\_\_ I am submitting this formal complaint  
against Judge \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ Justice Court for serious due process violations,  
judicial misconduct, and obstruction of justice. These actions have significantly  
compromised my ability to defend myself and to participate meaningfully in my case.

I respectfully request a thorough review of Judge \_\_\_\_\_ conduct to ensure  
accountability and the preservation of fairness and justice in my proceedings.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

---

## **1. Summons and Complaint –**

### **Summary of Events and Violations:**

- On \_\_\_\_\_, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ signed a **Summons and Complaint** charging me with **Obstructing Governmental Operations (Class 1 Misdemeanor)**, allegedly committed on \_\_\_\_\_
- The document includes the official language:

“

”

- This wording implies that I had been notified and was legally obligated to comply beginning that day despite the fact that:
  - - I was never present on \_\_\_\_\_ .
    - I was never served with the document.
    - I had no knowledge that such an order existed on that date.
- The same summons also contains an order directing me to be fingerprinted and photographed by the \_\_\_\_\_ County Sheriff's Office ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) prior to the listed court date of \_\_\_\_\_ , at \_\_\_\_\_ .
- Critically, the section titled "CLERK SERVING SUMMONS" is left completely blank, confirming that:
  - - No clerk signed or certified service.
    - No court officer formally served me.
    - There is no official record of when or how I received the summons.
    - No record of an arrival post office scan was found, meaning there is no evidence the certified letter was scanned into the USPS system when initially received.
    - The certified letter arrived at the USPS distribution center on \_\_\_\_\_ , at \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_\_ ). Only USPS employees have access to this distribution center.

- On \_\_\_\_\_, the mail carrier scanned the mail at \_\_\_\_\_ or with the status “\_\_\_\_\_.”
  
- The article number for this scan ends in \_\_\_\_\_.
  
- The scan did not indicate delivery to the \_\_\_\_\_ Justice Court, which is the intended recipient.
  
- On \_\_\_\_\_, the letter was scanned as “\_\_\_\_\_” by a post office clerk (not a mail carrier) at \_\_\_\_\_, indicating it was placed for pick up at the post office.
  
- The Form 3849 (Notice of Attempted Delivery) provided states:
  - 
  - The letter was from \_\_\_\_\_ Justice Court” instead of \_\_\_\_\_ Justice Court a discrepancy given my due date and location are with \_\_\_\_\_ Justice Court.
  
  - The delivery description is marked as “\_\_\_\_\_” and indicates missing delivery.
  
  - The form marks this as the \_\_\_\_\_,” with availability for pickup dated \_\_\_\_\_.
  
  - The article number on this form ends in \_\_\_\_\_, which is different from the \_\_\_\_\_ scan article number ( \_\_\_\_\_), an odd discrepancy noted by the USPS representative.

- The tracking number on the Form 3849 slip was missing a digit, rendering it untrackable in the USPS system.

#### **Legal and Procedural Violations:**

- This creates a false presumption that:
  - 
  - I had constructive notice as of .
  - I was legally obligated to complete fingerprinting and booking before , despite not being notified.
- Had I waited until to appear, I could have been wrongfully accused of violating a court order that I was never lawfully notified of exposing me to additional legal penalties under false pretenses.
- Instead, I proactively appeared early on , to address the matter in good faith.
- This early appearance allowed the court to appear compliant on paper, as if I had fulfilled an obligation that, in reality, had never been lawfully imposed due to lack of service and lack of judicial process.

#### **Constitutional and Rule-Based Violations:**

- Due Process Violations:
  - 
  - 5th and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution

- **Article 2, Section 4 of the Arizona Constitution**
  
- **Unlawful Search/Seizure:**
  - 
  - **4th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution**
  
  - **Article 2, Section 8 of the Arizona Constitution**
  
  - **Ordering biometric data collection without probable cause, a warrant, lawful arrest, or hearing**
  
- **Violation of Rule 3.1, Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure:**
  - 
  - **Requires a defendant to appear before a magistrate before any pretrial conditions like fingerprinting are imposed.**
  
- **Violation of Service Requirements under Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d):**
  - 
  - **No valid service occurred, and the record shows no certificate of service or notice.**
  
- **Appearance of Abuse of Judicial Authority under Color of Law:**
  - 
  - **Issuing a signed court order that carries legal consequences without:**

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**