

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-275

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 22, 2025

The Complainant alleged a retired superior court judge previously did not provide a jury question to the defendant in a criminal trial over 14 years ago.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 22, 2025.

COMPLAINT TO THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

In the Matter of: The (retired) Honorable

Court: _____ County _____ Court _____
 Cases: _____ (_____ Court)

Date of Incident: " _____ "

[See attached first trial Jury Question #13]

I, _____, hereby submit this sworn complaint against the (retired) Honorable _____ for judicial misconduct arising from knowingly providing the jury materially false statements of Arizona law during trial proceedings in the case of _____

then concealing the false statement by not providing the relevant Jury Question [#13] to me, a pro se litigant, then sua sponte declaring a mistrial over my repeated objections and without "manifest necessity" to unconstitutionally circumvent the prohibition of "Double Jeopardy" [Fifth Am. and Art. II §10] and unlawfully retry me without allowing me to represent myself by sua sponte denying almost 200 pro se post-trial motions and refusing to review motions to force me to involuntarily accept counsel to have my motions heard.

This filing is timely since Judge _____ and the

court and State suppressed the specific, and only that specific Jury Question [13], until the original Direct Appeal and P.C.R. had been exhausted.

I am currently in a Petition for Review of a P.C.R. and this issue is a material issue of fact in dispute and sine qua non to several of my claims and/or the State's defenses, and a decision by this Commission is necessary in the interest of justice and to protect the integrity of the current judiciary.

Judge _____, *malis animo*, violated the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, Rules 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 2.6(k), and/or others. Upon information and belief, the misstatement was deliberate and/or made with reckless disregard for the truth, constituting a violation of these Rules.

I respectfully request the Commission investigate this matter, and issue a ruling to ensure the integrity of future proceedings, and for the _____ in this matter.

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury under the Laws of the State of Arizona that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

By: _____

DATE: _____

ASP: _____

By

STATE OF ARIZONA

vs.

JUROR DELIBERATION QUESTION

1. Please have the foreperson submit the written question to the Judge using this form.
2. Notify the bailiff that you have a question. The bailiff will give the form to the Judge and attorneys for consideration.
3. The Judge will provide a response to the question in writing.

QUESTION: Can we consider Involuntary
manslaughter as a charge?

If so, please give us instructions
for same.

Foreperson # and signature

RESPONSE: There is no such offense under Arizona
law.

Judge of the Court