

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-277

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 14, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to recuse when Complainant had filed a federal lawsuit against the judge.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 14, 2025.

Comp

Date:

25-277

From:

Re: Judge

New Complaint - Verbal

“Judge [redacted] has continually violated my 14<sup>th</sup> amendment rights to raise my daughter or even have contact with her. I have filed a federal lawsuit against Judge [redacted], Case No. [redacted]. Judge [redacted] has been served and still refuses to recuse herself from the case. This is a violation of ARS and judicial standards. Judges must avoid all appearances of impropriety or anything that erodes public confidence. I have complained about this nonsense multiple times already. Judicial Commission has failed to act, discipline or correct the unlawful behavior setting up grounds for a Modell claim. I demand sanctions and removal of Judge [redacted] immediately.

She is violating Rule 1.1. Compliance with the Law, Rule 1.2. Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary, and Rule 2.2. Impartiality and Fairness. Judge [redacted] clearly violated this code of conduct by refusing to review my evidence in the first hearing for temporary orders stating that she couldn't review my evidence beforehand and said, “get real.” In the [redacted] hearing, she reviewed respondent's videos and not mine. Also violating Rule 2.3. Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment.”