

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-279

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 30, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly restricted access to the case file, limited discussion of the case, and conspired to deprive Complainant of rights. Complainant further alleges the commissioner yelled during a hearing and allowed the ex-spouse to perjure himself.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Colleen E. Concannon, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 30, 2025.

Arizona CJC,

I am the legal and biological Mother to _____, DOB _____, and therefore, the Respondent in _____. My family is being unjustly torn apart by judicial misconduct, especially in _____. The misconduct in _____ has been used to further victimize us in multiple other forums, official, and non-official. We are now completely dependent on the CJC's honest and thorough response to this misconduct. The CJC must investigate Judge _____ ongoing, serious judicial misconduct with regard to _____ and its continuous use against us elsewhere, with Judge _____ assistance. My family cannot continue to pay for the cover-up of these abuses, nor the misconduct, itself. Every form of this misconduct is diametrically opposed to my son's best interests, and to our "justice" system, itself. It is illegal in every sense of the word, stinks of corruption, and is extraordinarily unethical under the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct. It is life-altering. Worst of all, Judge _____ does this to us knowingly and *purposefully*.

The overarching - but also very layered – conduit of the misconduct is Judge _____ attempted and actual use of unconstitutional and facially invalid prior restraints on _____ free speech and my own to try to prevent this very misconduct report, and the exercise of any and all underlying affirmative and defensive rights we have against _____ extraordinarily abusive father, Judge _____ colleague, Judge _____. Judge _____ will not so much as permit either of us to obtain copies of any written purported speech restrictions, let alone *speak* about those same purported speech restrictions, or ask for them to be overturned, without viciously punishing us both for trying to work with _____ in any way. Judge _____ will not allow either of us to participate in Judge _____' "family law" case to try to overcome any nominal prior restraints on our speech. Those prior restraints also prevent us from asking Judge _____ to consider any of the rightful legal factors in Judge _____' _____-year long dissolution – especially _____ best interests, which Judge _____ refuses to address.

On your website, you say that your "Step One" is that a complaint is "reviewed to determine whether it ALLEGES judicial misconduct." Your website also says "Examples of conduct violating the Code include rude or abusive demeanor, a conflict of interest, communicating improperly with only one side to a proceeding, [and] unreasonable delay in decision-making". This complaint goes far beyond skeletal allegations. It clearly shows continuous judicial misconduct by Judge _____ in several of your cited categories and much, MUCH more.

On Your "Step Two" it says you will investigate actionable misconduct. I want you to take Step Two, explicitly, even though I already did most of the work for the CJC. I want you to "investigate to determine whether there is evidence to support the allegations." I've already included ample misconduct evidence, but a huge part of the complaint IS the cover-up of proof of misconduct from me, the Mother in _____, the complainant here, and the only adult on _____ pleading paper from whom information about _____ is persistently kept deeply hidden by Judge _____. Additional huge parts of the complaint ARE the multiple life-altering consequences meted out by Judge _____ to my family in the recent past, and threatened to recur FOR revealing the tiny portion of misconduct evidence that has not been kept secret from us, from the CJC, from my children, and from the public. As your website says regarding Step Two, you should review "court recordings and documents" that remain secreted

from only us. You should ask Judge [redacted] "to submit a written response, and interview" witnesses - including me and my children. But there are dozens of witnesses to this complaint, all of whom know far more than the primary victims of Judge [redacted] misconduct, [redacted], DOB [redacted], [redacted], and me, DOB [redacted]. When you do your Step Two, you will easily find that every Rule 6 ground for discipline is present in the following outlined chronology, including willful misconduct, willful and persistent failure to perform judicial duties, habitual intemperance, conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute, and multiple, distinct, additional violations of the Code of Judicial Conduct. I've done every bit of this investigation for you that they haven't kept secret from me.

Chronology re: Judge [redacted] misconduct:

Approximately [redacted] years ago, I filed Complaint # [redacted] with you, the Arizona CJC, about Judge [redacted], who was then assigned to [redacted]. "Bates"- styled numbers 2-6 in the attached PDF of Exhibits (hereinafter, "pp. ___"). As explained later in this complaint, I was forced to destroy anything that I ever had related to [redacted]. Therefore, I looked back at the CJC's publicly-posted copy of CJC# [redacted] and it is distressing realizing that the matters I asked to be addressed by the CJC back then have either not been resolved since, or, more often, worsened considerably through multiple, purposeful judicial acts designed to silence my children and me and to punish us with the removal of any possible resource we could ever have, including, literally, a roof over our heads.

The CJC did conduct an investigation back then, however, including reviewing the audio from the live hearing so that it could listen to Judge [redacted], in his own booming voice, instruct [redacted] to continue sighing as loudly as she wishes, when I was trying to speak, to intimidate me and discard our limited chance to have any voice in [redacted]. The CJC heard Judge [redacted] and [redacted] aggressive disrespect of me, [redacted] Mother, in that audio. As that investigation progressed without me, Judge [redacted] retaliated against my children and me in extreme ways, both substantively and procedurally; within [redacted], and far beyond this case. The misconduct after and as a direct result of CJC # [redacted] for the ensuing () years is what has led me to file today's complaint against Judge [redacted].

To the CJC's credit, it sent Judge [redacted] a letter before dismissing my CJC # [redacted]. P.1. CJC's advisory letter did not appear to address Judge [redacted] Orders that Judge [redacted] receive my unprotected gynecological and other HIPPA-protected records directly from providers and pharmacies, or that Judge [redacted] allowed Judge [redacted] to flout Judge [redacted] child support Order and IWO in favor of [redacted]. Pp. 1-2;. 67 ([redacted] County Court Judge [redacted]). It also does not appear that the CJC investigated Judge [redacted] illegal *sua sponte* overturning of Judge [redacted] fee deferral, severely prejudicing my right to access [redacted] (p.3) or Judge [redacted] unequal enforcement of case management rules to Judge [redacted]' sole benefit. Pp. 3-4/ In other words, I believe that the only portion of my complaint that the CJC was able to address meaningfully was the portion you obtained the audio of, so that you could hear the judicial and professional misconduct, yourselves. Pp. 1; 4-5. Your advisory letter to Judge [redacted] really only discusses what you heard on the audio. p.1 ("decorum"). I have been placed under continuous threats regarding use of audio and other non-

record items to seek justice in _____, along with threats of items that are actually contained in that record. Unlike when I submitted CJC _____, I have been facing enormous pressure the past few years to *not* seek any relief or complain about misconduct. Judge _____ and others will certainly attack me for including audio today, as it is probably going to – again – be the most useful evidence of his misconduct for the CJC. It appears to have been solely the _____ audio that pushed you, the CJC, to publicly remind Judge _____ to require “ _____.” Furthermore, filing Complaint # _____ privately backfired so badly that our lives are still being ruined today by the cover-up of the Complaint and _____ misconduct, generally.

So I’m attaching audio again and I’m publishing the entire complaint before Judge _____ has a chance to privately retaliate against us – even more than he already has.

About three and a half years after the CJC redacted all names and quietly dismissed CJC _____, Judge _____ obtained from Judge _____, then-assigned to _____, a blatantly unconstitutional order, banning Mother from _____ altogether, though she is and was a named party. p. 60. Judge _____ banned only Mother’s “ _____” and prohibited Mother (only) “ _____.” To comply with Judge _____ Order that I (literally!) may not retain anything at all from _____, only me, the Respondent, was forced to destroy all physical materials from _____. Judge _____ had complained a year or two prior to prohibiting only _____ Mother from retaining _____ that the physical materials consisted of approximately 30 banker’s boxes back then, which he then Ordered that Mother may not possess, retain, or use. P.60.

Emboldened by his ability to obtain such a wild, illegal Order simply by drafting it and presenting it to Judge _____ for his immediate, unquestioned signature, Judge _____ continued litigating _____, *ex parte*, and used his exclusive rights to knowledge about _____ and his free speech about _____ to persecute Mother and the child in _____, itself, and numerous other proceedings, enjoying that Mother was not permitted to defend herself or _____ in any of them. He filed Petitions and obtained additional Orders against Mother from Judge _____, even within _____, during Mother’s total ban from _____.

Mother appealed the Order expelling her from _____ and it was assigned case number _____. p.8 (Mother accidentally inserted an extra “O” in this document’s caption). The _____ Court was informed by Mother of each development in that appeal. Therefore, as usual, Mother copied any _____ Court JA then-assigned to _____ on what she filed in that appeal, including her Opening Brief. Pp. 8-62. After massive undue delays caused by the very nature of Judge _____’ banishment of Mother from _____, I was not able to file the Opening Brief until the month of Judge _____ announced retirement from the _____ County bench. Pp. 42-58.

One week later, on _____, Judges _____, _____, and _____ were simultaneously co-appointed to the _____ County _____ Court bench. Pp. 63-69. **None** of these three Judges informed Mother of their co-appointments to the bench. Instead, they secretly litigated away *ex*

parte, making sure that neither _____ nor I were ever provided any form of process whatsoever, let alone that which is due. The illegally-funded “Best Interests Attorney,” _____, remained on _____, right along with _____ and the three Judges, secretly litigating _____ and other matters, such as Judge _____’ continuous complaints to the _____ of Arizona about _____, knowing Mother was not permitted to respond, nor ask for any affirmative relief for herself or _____. Judge _____ has continued and greatly expanded the misconduct from CJC _____, with the same parties and years of additional illegal payments to _____, a non-party. Neither _____ nor _____ informed Mother nor _____ of the three Judges’ co-appointments, either.

Within days of their triple appointment to the bench, Judge _____ took over _____ from Judge _____. This occurred approximately _____ months into Judge _____’ exile of Mother from _____ and from possessing or using *any* documentation about _____. Judge _____ proceeded to engage with Judge _____ in a flurry of *ex parte* communications and litigation against Mother, knowing Mother was stopped by his colleagues, Judges _____ and _____, from possessing, retaining, or using documents from _____. Judge _____ amplified this severe, unjustified, and unjustifiable travesty Mother and her child continued to face, caused by his colleagues, and endorsed by the “Best Interests Attorney” and _____, both of whom live in and practice law in _____ County, where the three judges were co-appointed to the bench. Judge _____ inflamed the due process and other extreme constitutional problems by persistently, affirmatively engaging his colleagues behind the child and Mother’s back, encouraging Judge _____ and _____ file anything they wished, while maintaining his other colleague, Judge _____, Order forbidding Mother from learning about their litigation in _____. To date, Judge _____ refuses to reveal any of Judge _____’ *ex parte* litigation with Judge _____ in _____ or restore her access to _____. At this point, we have barely survived these past _____ () months of this legal disaster, helpless against their unfettered destruction of every major area of our lives.

On _____, during the course of explaining to Mother that Judge _____ still prohibited Mother from obtaining any copies from Judge _____ sealed file, the elected Clerk of the _____ Court *did* provide Mother a 30-page Register of Actions from _____, consisting of line after line of recorded *ex parte* events from _____. Though still prohibited by Judge _____ from restoring Mother’s copies of the actual documents, the Register the Clerk felt able to reveal showed a listing of an onslaught *ex parte* litigation Judge _____ engaged in with his colleague Judge _____, from the time they were appointed to the _____ County _____ Court together, until Mother’s appeal of Judge _____ banishment of her from Judge _____’ case was decided by _____, _____, on _____:

ORDER: TO APPEAR

ORDER: ORDER FOR APPROVAL OF

ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF SERVICE

ORDER: Clarification

ORDER: Granting

ORDER: COURT ORDER / RULING

PETITION: Order to Show Cause

MOTION: Clarification

MOTION: Appointment of Counsel
MOTION: Consolidate / Join
APPEALS: INCOMING DOCUMENTS -

: SUPPLEMENTAL CLERK'S INDEX
APPEALS: INCOMING DOCUMENTS -

APPEALS: INCOMING DOCUMENTS -

ORDER: Granting
MOTION: Continue
ORDER: COURT ORDER / RULING
MOTION: MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF

ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF SERVICE

APPEALS: SUPPLEMENTAL CLERK'S INDEX
SERVICE: Attempted Service
ORDER: from

ORDER: COURT ORDER / RULING
MOTION: MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF

ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF SERVICE

APPEALS: INCOMING DOCUMENTS -

ORDER: SETTING

ORDER: Reassignment of Judge

JUDGMENT: Judgment

PETITION: Order to Appear

Judge didn't stop when the Decision in was published, however. Also, while the above listing only shows hints of some of the substantive *results* of a portion of Judge *ex parte* communications in defiance of the Code, under Rule 2.9, Mother will never know the extent of the substantive *ex parte* communications Judge engaged in to lead to the above partial listing of substantive rewards Judge bestowed upon his colleague during the above, relatively short portion of Mother's block from .

Again, I must emphasize that I STILL do not have the above *ex parte* portion of Judge case with Judge , per Judge Orders, nor documents from prior to Judge co-appointment with Judges and to the County Court. Because p. 60 Ordered I could not retain anything from , I was forced to destroy Judge ' file dated from my pregnancy with in the year through the year , or would be removed from my "daily life", as threatened by the County Judges. Although I had to destroy the specific document that contained that specific threat, rest assured that I will never forget those words and that no one that is fully informed of (like Judge preferred of Arizona lawyers) will let me forget those "daily life" words, either. Judge has purposefully compounded these injustices from the time of the triple co-appointment to the County Bench, enduring through this report, today.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**