

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-283

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 5, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased in her ruling on ADA accommodations.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Roger D. Barton, Regina L. Nassen, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 5, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-283

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached document: Judicial_Complaint_ _Strategic_Final.docx

Details include full timeline, ADA violations, procedural misconduct, and named parties.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Formal Judicial Complaint and ADA-Based Objection to Custody Proceedings

Date:

To: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

Cc: _____ – Title II ADA Enforcement

Cc: Arizona _____ Court, Family Division

Overview

This is a formal complaint against Judge _____ for procedural misconduct, failure to follow ADA-mandated safeguards, and abuse of discretion in an active custody matter involving autistic children. I, _____, have a formal _____ diagnosis and have consistently been the only parent seeking appropriate medical treatment, support, and evaluation for our _____ children—one of whom is currently undergoing care for documented _____. The nature of these proceedings goes beyond missteps; they reflect systemic exclusion and targeted legal manipulation.

Judicial Misconduct and Coordinated Legal Action

1. Judge _____ bypassed standard procedures—no motion, no affidavit, no RMC—and approved an off-docket hearing designed to favor opposing counsel.
2. That counsel, _____, maintains a long-standing relationship with the court and appears to have obtained informal access, enabling this process to be set in motion without notice.
3. The judge disregarded previous orders put in place by another judge specifically to prevent these types of irregular actions.
4. All of this occurred despite the court being aware of my ADA protection status, making the discriminatory implications unavoidable and legally significant.

Ongoing Discrimination and Harm

This is the fourth documented instance where a parent with a federally protected condition (_____) has been subjected to indirect character framing and triangulation tactics. The neurotypical co-parent has systematically denied our daughter _____ access to therapy, despite her own documented requests for help. Now, _____ has disengaged completely from extracurriculars, and any effort on my part to maintain structure or support is reframed as instability.

While managing my son _____ escalating _____ condition—validated by medical imaging—this court saw fit to entertain a custody shift under conditions that were procedurally irregular, medically uninformed, and legally reckless.

Relief Requested

1. Immediate dismissal of the off-docket hearing as improperly initiated.
2. Removal of Judge _____ from any further role in this custody matter.

3. Initiation of a Title II ADA compliance investigation regarding all proceedings affecting this family under Judge .
4. Restriction of ability to file further custody modifications pending independent review of her conduct, medical neglect, and pattern of court-facilitated triangulation.
5. Invalidation of all materials—testimony, evidence, or filings—generated through these improper actions. No re-interpretation, no expert review. This process is now presumptively contaminated.
6. Formal order that this custody matter may not be reassigned back to Judge or any associated parties due to conflict of interest and prior judicial failure.

Submitted in Good Faith with Expectation of Immediate Oversight,

Email:

Phone: ()

Date:

Supplemental Statement re: Motion to Vacate Temporary Orders Hearing

This supplemental statement is submitted in support of my previously filed Motion to Vacate the Temporary Orders Hearing in Case No. . This document is not a request for clarification. It is a record of procedural contradiction and due process failure.

Timeline Irregularities:

- - I have not been formally served with any motion, notice, or court action related to this hearing.
- - No Resolution Management Conference (RMC) has been held, contrary to the expected progression of family court actions.
- - There is no motion, affidavit, or evidentiary filing in the docket to support a temporary orders hearing.
- - The hearing is not reflected in the official case docket and appears only through third-party eAccess linked to an unrelated login.

Procedural Breakdown:

This court appears to be acting upon a request that is neither visible in the record nor compliant with basic notice standards. It is unclear how judicial authorization has been given when no corresponding filings or motions exist. This is not a clerical error. It is a breach of procedural integrity.

Legal Paradox:

I am being asked to respond to a court proceeding that exists only in implication. There is no visible basis for it to exist. If the hearing is legitimate, its concealment is a due process violation. If it is illegitimate, it should not be proceeding at all. I filed a Motion to Vacate before formal service—because something this broken should never reach the point of service.

No Do-Overs:

This is not an opportunity for correction. Courts do not grant second chances when parties circumvent rules, especially with judicial sign-off. I reject any framing that this was a mistake deserving a reset. If the court proceeds, it validates and participates in a breach of fair process.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**