

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-286

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 16, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace made improper rulings and was rude in a garnishment hearing.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 16, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-286

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

ON @ THE JUDGE SEEMED UNAWARE OR PREPARED FOR MY HEARING, WHILE THIS MIGHT NOW MATTER TO THE COURT. I'M BEING GARNISHED FOR A DEBT IM UNAWARE OF NOR VALIDITY HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO ME. THE ATTORNEY WAS NOT PRESENT FOR THE CALL AND AS A CITIZEN HOW IS IT THAT THE JUDGE DISMISSES MY QUESITONS CANT PROVIDE ANY INFORMATION BUT YET STATES THIS IS VALID. I TOOK THE TIME TO REQUEST THIS HEARING FOR THE FACT THAT IM UNAWARE OF THIS DEBT/CREDITOR AND THAT PREVIOUSLY HAVE BEEN HARRASSED BY THE ATTORNEY WHO THEN WAS DISMISSED. YET MONTHS LATER BEING GARNISHED FOR WHO KNOWS WHAT, AND THE JUDGE CANT EVEN HAVE THE DECENCY OR COMPASSION TO INFORM ME, YET KEEPS STATING THE JUDGEMENT IS VALID BUT WHEN ASKED CANT ANSWER FOR WHAT. (I WOULD LIKE THE CALL PULLED) BECAUSE i BELIEVE THIS ISNT EVEN THE RIGHT INFORMATION THE JUDGE HAS BECASUE SHE STATES I ACCPETED AND ADMITTED TO THIS DEBT??? THIS IS UNTRUE,I KEPT ASKING HER WHAT DEBT SHE COULD NOT ANSWER, VAGUE AND DISMISSIVE. I NEVER HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THIS DEBT AND WAS JUST STRAIGHT GARNISHED. THE JUDGES JUST SEEM TO BE STAMPING JUDGMENTS WITH NO VALIDITY, IVE NOW GONE THROUGH THIS FOR A SECOND TIME AND HAVE BEEN TREATED BADLY AND UNLAWFUL. THIS IS SUPPOSED TO BE A JUSTICE COURT? FOR WHOM? BIAS AND NEGATIVE TREATMENT HAS ALL IVE RECEIVED FROM THE IVE TRIED GETTING INFORMATION REGARDING THIS MATTER FROM THE CLERKS, IVE TAKEN TIME AWAY FROM WORK TO HAVE A HEARING AT LEAST WITH THE JUDGE AND CREDITOR AND HAVE GOTTEN NO WHERE. IT JUST SEEMS AS THOUGH THEY COULD CARE LESS A LOW INCOME LADY IS JUST BEING TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF WITH NO HELP IN REGARDS TO INFORMATION AT THE LEAST. LOOKS LIKE THE JUDGES AND ATTORNEYS WORKING TOGETHER WITH NO PROOF OF YEAR OLD DEBTS THAT WERE PAID AND SOLD. AND US CONSUMERS HAVE NO RIGHTS. IM WRITING THIS FORMAL COMPLAINT BECAUSE ITS NOT RIGHT HOW THE JUDGES AND CLERKS ARE CONDUCTING THEMSELVES AT COURT. I'M IN THE FINANCIAL INDUSTRY AND THIS LOOKS NEGATIVELY ON ME AND COULD JEAPORDIZE MY JOB AND ALL I WANT IS TO HAVE A VOICE AGAINST JUDGES AND ATTORNEYS MAKING JUDGEMENTS ON NON VALID DEBTS AND THE JUDGE NOT EVEN GIVING A CHANCE TO HEAR ME OUT, INSTEAD BEING RUDE AND UNHELPFUL. BIAS TREATMENT SHOULD NOT BE HAPPENING IN THE COURT SYSTEM, THEY SHOULD NOT BE USING THEIR POWER TO DISMISS ME. JUDGES SHOULD NOT BE THERE ONLY FOR THE PLAINTIFFS, DEFENDANTS SHOULD HAVE RESPECT AND A VOICE. I WOULD LIKE THIS CASE LOOKED INTO AND RESEARCHED. I BET YOU WONT FIND ANY VALID INFORMATION AND I KNOW THERE WAS IN ERROR IN THE PAPERWORK BUT I CANT PROVE IT BECASUE THE JUDGE WOULDNT EVEN HEAR ME OUT. I UNDERSTAND THIS MAY BE OF LOW IMPORTANCE TO YOUR STAFF, BUT SITUATIONS LIKE THIS MATTER . ITS THE PRINCIPAL OF THE MATTER TO ME NOW.