

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-294

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 23, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice court hearing officer improperly ruled against Complainant in a small claims case. Complainant also alleges the hearing officer spoke over him and would not let him respond to questions.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 23, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-294

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I filed a small claims complaint against [] The complaint was:
I purchased a TV from [] in [] of []. It didn't work correctly. After troubleshooting the problem with [] they advised me to exchange the TV, i did. In [] i saw [] charged me on my [] credit card without my knowledge. I spoke with them about it and they stated they never received the return TV. After investigating i got the tracking # from [] (the company that picked it up), i showed it to [] and they still would not refund what they took without authorization. I took them to small claims court in [] of [] and they paid me back [] days before the court hearing, [] months later! I did have to go through a lot of phone calls and emails over a [] month period just to be rejected. I still went to small claims court though to fight for the time spent on resolving the issue, the judge explained it is difficult to make a claim with burden of proof, providing evidence such as phone calls, emails, chats etc. Although I did put together enough evidence for my first ever small claims court hearing. But i thought it was prudent after Taking money legally from my credit card without my knowledge. It seems [] is the first Unlimited liability company but we have Consumer laws to protect us from that. Laws Judge [] failed to recognize. She constantly spoke over me, she would ask me a question and then spoke before i could respond. She didn't recognize the burden of proof i dropped off to the court a week prior. Repeatedly asking for proof. A complaint was accepted by the [] bureau. It is just my hope that the citizens of the United States are protected against the harm of fine print when buying something with [] There's a reason they refunded my money [] days before the court date another proof, of burden of proof that Judge [] looked the other way on.