

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-299

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 3, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased and failed to follow the law when making decisions in Complainant's family law matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 3, 2025.

Comp

25-299

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Re: Update on complain

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#) |
[Report](#)

Judicial Misconduct Complaint – Judge

I am submitting this formal complaint against Judge _____, who presided over my family court custody and support case. I believe her conduct demonstrates a pattern of bias, abuse of discretion, and failure to follow Arizona law, which has resulted in significant harm to me and my children.

1. Witness Suppression and Denial of Due Process

At our custody hearing, I brought multiple witnesses prepared to testify about my ex-partner's history of domestic violence, custodial interference, and psychological instability. Judge _____ allowed my ex to dominate the

hearing time, and as a result, none of my witnesses were allowed to testify. When we returned to court and their testimony was introduced, the judge dismissed it as “ ” solely because it wasn’t presented in the initial hearing — even though the delay was caused by time constraints the court created. This deprived me of a fair opportunity to present evidence and is a clear violation of due process.

2. Ignoring Criminal and Mental Health Red Flags

My ex-partner has a documented criminal history, including:

- Domestic violence
- Fraud and shoplifting
- Two separate ICE detentions and deportation proceedings
- Prescription of antipsychotic medication used to treat
and

Despite this, Judge failed to consider these serious red flags in her decision-making. She did not order a mental health evaluation or require supervised visitation — ignoring significant risks to the children’s emotional and physical well-being.

3. Repeated Custodial Interference Not Penalized

My ex violated the custody order multiple times by keeping the children from me without cause in [redacted]. These were deliberate and well-documented violations. Yet, Judge [redacted] never held him accountable or found him in contempt, encouraging further violations. Her refusal to enforce court orders showed a clear bias in favor of my ex.

4. Parental Alienation and Communication Blockade

Since Judge [redacted] gave custody to my ex, he has completely cut off all contact between me and my children — blocking phone calls, messages, and even access through extended family. I have been emotionally and physically alienated from my children. Despite bringing this to the court's attention, Judge [redacted] did nothing to address this extreme parental alienation, which constitutes psychological harm to the children.

5. Ignored Requests for Therapy and Evaluation

I requested mandatory therapy for the children and a psychological evaluation of my ex due to the clear signs of emotional instability, manipulation, and trauma. Judge _____ ignored these requests entirely without explanation, demonstrating a disregard for both child safety and proper judicial procedure.

6. Allowing Perjury and Fraud on the Court

During the proceedings, my ex committed perjury multiple times and submitted a false driver's license. I personally contacted the Arizona _____ (_____), and they confirmed that no valid driver's license exists under his submitted information. This is clear evidence of fraud on the court, yet Judge _____ took no corrective action. She allowed the case to proceed based on false identity and misrepresentations under oath.

7. Unlawful and Punitive Child Support Order

Judge _____ ordered me to pay child support based on _____ hours of weekly income, despite the fact that:

- I was never employed full-time, and
- My employer did not offer -hour weeks.

I provided documentation of my actual income and work schedule, but she ignored it. This resulted in a support order I could not possibly afford, and I have since lost my job. Her order was based on nonexistent income, which is a direct violation of the Arizona Child Support Guidelines (Sections IV–V), which require support to be based on actual income or supported findings of voluntary underemployment.

This appears to be a punitive and financially abusive decision unsupported by evidence or law.

Conclusion and Relief Requested

Judge has demonstrated:

- Bias and unfair treatment
- Failure to enforce court orders
- Refusal to protect the mental and emotional health of the children
- Violation of Arizona Child Support Guidelines
- Denial of due process and proper evidentiary procedure

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**