

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-300

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 30, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge did not stop security from being physical with him and did not allow a witness in a mental health evaluation in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Colleen E. Concannon, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 30, 2025.

Comp
2025-300

Judicial Commission -

Good morning. My name is

I need to file a complaint for the record. I need the
Commission to investigate. The complaint is material
~~and the facts listed can be obtained if you simply~~
look @ the camera for the court dates

attended court @ County

Courthouse on case # . 1st Degree Murder

Once you locate the court dates, locate the
camera and then their are transcripts. I note several
suspicious acts that CAN be complained about. (1) Judge
allows the SRT officers to handle me in

an unnecessarily rough and forceful way right on camera
2) The Rule 11 court officer does the same.

*1 & 2 happened on each court date. Obviously I'd
know the difference in rather the judge should
have said something. It is indeed sometimes
a need to get rough w/ inmates but as we
were in the courtroom, we needed to be
formal and respect the fact we were on
camera, that said I officially file this
complaint. Hell, its a material fact right.

3) Rule 11 Judge denied me a witness to sit in on
my Rule 11 evaluation. (4) Rule 11 Judge nor Judge
asked me basic questions that pertained to
my understanding of the law. (5) On I
was attempting to explain I needed medical treatment

I got 1/2 ^{way} thru explaining that I was shot w/ 3 bullets and paralyzed from the neck down and denied hospitalization despite my critical condition. I almost demanded medical attention. But as the issue came up I was rushed out of the courtroom aggressively by SRT. I was not allowed to complete my sentence. I did get what I needed to get on the record and it should be recorded on camera and the words should have been documented by the court reporter.

I have missed court dates, I have missed visits, and all of this has happened in efforts to prevent me from representing myself in my case despite the fact I have an above average understanding of how the judicial system works. I ask the commission to investigate

1. Did Judge [redacted] & Riehl Judge allow the SRT to use unnecessary force while I was @ the podium, while I was seated @ my party table and A I walked in and out of the courtroom?

2. Did the Judges think the force was excessive?

3. Should the Judge have made an order in the court for the SRT to relax ~~their~~ incriminated grip on my Arms.

4. Should a witness have been ordered @ my Riehl exam?

5. Considering my completing 2 trials in their entirety in front of people persona in [redacted] and subsequently stating case #

Does that qualify me to automatically represent myself? Is my M.H. history relevant?

3.

6. Should I be allowed to complete my sentence as I am attempting to make arguments that are relevant instead of run at by the SRT.

7. Should the 2 judges spoken up about what was a distraction of the environment in the court. SRT seemed to be creating interest in the eyes of the public and state workers alike. What the hell do they think they are doing... Why did the judge allow it?

Please answer these questions and give a response of whatever answer you and the commission decide. Don't hesitate to state your position on any other issue you may find vital to the defendant in this Rule 11 procedure has become an undue delay. Along side the fact, extra events, missed court dates have caused delay as well. Please respond.

P.S. I expect your response will correspond to the evidence shown on camera in side Judge court and Rule 11 court.