

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-306

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 3, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to recuse herself and did not follow the law in a family law matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 3, 2025.

Judicial Misconduct Complaint

Comp

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Date:

Complainant Information

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Judge Information

Judge's Name: Hon.

Court: County Court

Location: Arizona

Statement of Complaint

I am filing this complaint against Judge _____ for judicial misconduct and bias in a family law case involving the safety and welfare of my minor child, _____. Despite presenting clear and updated evidence of abuse, including police reports, forensic interviews, school incident reports, and a court-ordered protection order issued in _____, Judge _____ dismissed the concerns as 'pre-decree' and relied solely on prior orders from _____. This dismissal occurred even though the alleged abuse took place after the divorce decree.

Judge _____ initially ordered supervised parenting time for the opposing party, _____, due to documented risks. However, on _____, she granted unsupervised visitation, despite the fact that more serious evidence had since been presented, including a recording of _____

stating his father ' ' This change
contradicts legal standards for child protection.

Furthermore, the judge failed to recuse herself from the
case despite conflicts of interest: mother,
, is a former court employee who testified falsely in
favor of , and , the family law clerk, is
former roommate. These associations raise serious
concerns of bias.

Judge also ignored the protective order granted by
another court on behalf of and myself, which was
later vacated due to deference to the court.
Additionally, she dismissed multiple motions for temporary
orders and failed to act on credible disclosures made by
involving online grooming, coercion, and exposure
to inappropriate materials by his father.

This pattern of decisions and refusal to acknowledge clear
and credible risk to a child demonstrates judicial bias,
misconduct, and a failure to prioritize the welfare of a
minor. I respectfully request that the Arizona Commission
on Judicial Conduct investigate Judge
handling of this case.

Respectfully,