

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-310

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 30, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper legal rulings, had demeanor issues, and was retaliatory.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Colleen E. Concannon, and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 30, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-310

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Complainant
Media Networks, Judge
has requested NO MEDIA, Judge
Complaint will address the follow Breaches of Judiciary Misconduct that Judge
violated while presiding on the Bench.

Court proceedings and filing are followed by worldwide
no allowed in every proceeding although the Complaint
has denied Complaint of her request As the

On _____ in Commissioner's courtroom. The state admitted to obtaining
Her mental Health Records in Court and world wide media. Motions to Dismiss, Motion to
Sanction were filed to support claims. The Mental Health records were illegally
obtained and read to the Courts & Worldwide Media. Violation of patient-psychotherapist,
patient-physician. The Dept. is not est. listed
to _____ obtained through _____

Fed. Rules of Evid. Rule 501 Protects "Communication & Confidentiality Privilege"
Federal Court recognize "Communication & Confidentiality" which Mental Health records,
notes made in sessions, sessions, treatment, diagnosis, or prognosis can not be compelled,
Therefore the records & Notes were illegally obtained by _____ Both the
Commissioner & Judge allowed to submit to both
Cases, _____ violating 404(b) US v.

Chase 340 F.3d 978. The "Confidential Communication between a licensed
psychotherapist-Client in the course of diagnosis or treatment are protected
from compelled disclosure under Rule 501 of the Federal Rule of Evidence.
session are also protected from compelled disclosure, notes taken during their
518 US 1, 15 (1996). The Federal Rules of Crim. Proc, & Fed. Rules of Evidence are
designed to implicate, and not impede,

Judge violated the Religious Freedom Restoration Act and allowed
thr. state to harass and bring for the Religious Prosecution by both Judge
and the state. The Complainant filed a sanction, motion to dismiss and
a New Trial holding the judge and the state in violation.

Judge refused to recuse himself, refused to provide a based reason
in his rulings explain why the motions were denied. Most motions were denied
with no found bases but the motion granted out of numerous filed.

In Bergeron v. O'Neil (8 other judges in _____ and _____ County), 205
Ariz. 640, 74 P.3d (2003) and Gilbert Prosecutors Office v. Hon. Foster, 245
Ariz. 15, 424 P.3d 416 (2018) Mandatory language of Crim. Procedure rule
governing change of judge does not permit it with discretion in deciding
reassignment rules. Judge shall immediately reassignment.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: Judge

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

State laws are preempted when they conflict with federal laws. USCA
 Const. Amend. to cl. 2 Arizona v. United States 132 S.Ct. 2492 (2012) In Court
 case a Special Action and a Rule 10.1 can support
 this claim.

The Complainant was deprived evidence, witnesses and experts by Judge
 for late disclosure under 30 days before trial. But in Judge
 allowed late disclosure of the state's expert just days before trial
 denying the Defendant's rebuttal, confrontation, examination, cross-examination
 and due process. The judge controlled all evidence favoring the state but
 denying the Complainant.

The judge deprived evidence, allowed false evidence, false testimony, violation
 on Rule 404(b), Double jeopardy, failed to correct, influenced the jury, allowed
 jurors to have cell phones in trial, allowed jury misconduct, refused to investigate
 all jurors after juror misconduct, allowed the state to conduct the misconduct
 of jurors, denied the Defendant to investigate or to the report by the state.

He allowed the state prejudicial comments to the jurors about the Defendant,
 He permitted the state deceive the jurors. A New Trial Motion was denied by
 judge on in retaliation for Defendant filing a USCA § 144 for
 disqualification both judge has one special action and one Rule 10.1
 Judge has two special Actions and a Rule 10.1. As well as 2 Motions for
 New trial have been filed recently that will support the judicial misconduct
 In case and
 to the Arizona and A Complainant
 for
 Chief judge.
 Conduct) and some with Judge (also Commission of judicial
 The Defendant respectfully requests that an investigation and disciplinary
 action be applied against Judge for violation of Code of
 judicial conduct, Canon 1, 2, 3, Rule 01, abuse of discretion, violation of Code of
 process, a violation of Brady Rule, and USCA § 144 violation, misapplied governing
 laws. In Both cases above the judge sealed his misconduct from public news,
 the Defendant filed motions, sanctions special Actions, recusals, There's
 minute entries, FTR's and Media coverage and a request of the Commission
 for in camera Review, to justify this complaint for processing, investigation
 and disciplinary action against judge

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name: Judge

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Case (1) USCA § 144 on conduct, motions, dismissal's on judge (1) association complaint and (1) commission on judicial conduct complaint on Judge

The Defendant filed (2) special actions (1) Rule 10.1 Association Complaint (2) Commission on judicial (1) special action (1) Rule 10.1

There are multiple complaints to the Arizona and on Both cases and can support that the complaint will justify on the defendant filed a USCA § 144 disqualifying judge from any further proceedings, on laws and altered the federal law USCA § 144 to a state statute Rule 10.1. The states are precluded from regulating conduct in the field of Congress state laws are preempted when they conflict with federal laws. USCA Const. Amend 6 cl. 2 Arizona v. United States, 132 S. Ct. 2492 (2012)

A conviction was reversed and the prosecution was directed to be dismissed on the grounds that the state statute conflicted with federal statute, Mandatory language of Criminal Procedure the rule governing change of judge did not permit court to exercise its own discretion. A judge shall immediately reassign 16 A.A.R. Crim. Proc. Rule 10.2(b) Bergeron v. O'Neil, 205 Ariz. 640 74 P.3d 952 (2003) Pinal and Maricopa Co. Bother judges.

A special Action requesting court judge be prohibited from proceeding. The affidavit of bias and prejudice works as an automatic disqualification Anonymous v. Hon. Ben Birdsall, 14 Ariz. App. 502, 484 P.2d 655 (1973)

Judge refused to comply. Both judge's and have one special Action, one Rule 10.1, one Complaint, but judge has two special Actions and two Commission on judicial conduct Complaints Judge has one and Both have a New Trial motion in regards to this matter.

Another New Trial Motion is for Prosecutorial Misconduct, Rule 8.1, (open 3. From to the defendant and members of her defense contracted a virus. The judge abused his discretion, violated State and federal laws protecting Confidentiality and Communication privilege by illegally obtaining the defendant's Medical record which cannot be compelled. Forced to defendant of her 42 USCA § 1983, 1985 deprivation of civil right. Compromise her health, impair her ability to her proper. The defendant discovered on made false statement to the courts the defendant has a virus,

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

a infection and Dehydration impairing her with Pain, Dizziness, fatigue, cold chills, fever, vomiting and nausea. The Defendant filed a complaint with the State on () the violation is in one special action, and two Newtrial motions. Judge has sealed the above records from public record. But these are filed to the viol dirx had not be up. Therefore, the trial had not began. Judges and received filing of Rule 10.1 prior to hearing which transpired after Judge blatant violated State and federal laws, CJC Canon 3, Rule 8.1, and Rule 10.2 by proceeding with viol dirx. Judge violating every law, abused his discretion, held the Rule 10.1 from to date, due Process and CJC 1, 2, 3. Judge violated the defendant right to dated they received and Judge stated in minute entry from judge.

Judge rushed the second trial knowing he was to withdraw. The State violated Rule 404 (a) with submission of evidence and violation of laws. The judge allowed expert testimony knowing the violated Rule 5.7 in late disclosure depriving the defense of investigation, rebuttal and cross examination. The defendant was deprived of witnesses, experts and Due process because Judge controlled the discovery and denied the defendants motions.

Judge failed to correct errors, violated the Rules of evidence and the Rules of Crim. Procedure. He willingly allowed illegally obtained evidence in from. Participated in deceiving the juror, allowed numerous prosecutorial misconduct to be present. Created prejudice and bias affecting the outcome and verdict on judge had the defendant removed from the trial which she is pro-pqr. Because his outburst, demeanor, and attitude was unprofessional and unethical. This was in the presence of media, the public and the jurors, compromising the foundation of the case and the judiciary process. CJC Canon 1, Rule 1.2 (3)(5), Canon 2, Rule 2.2, Rule 2.3 (a)-(c), Canon 3 (A)(4), (C)(1) and UOCA Const. Amend. 14 "Unlawful Motivation" and "Gross Unfairness."

The Complainant respectfully request thr. Commission of judicial conduct do a in camera review of both cases review motions, minute entries, special Actions, sanctions, Rule 10.1 and USCA 5 144 disqualification of judge, Recusals, FTR's and he rulings. Hereby to provide bases for his ruling to support his deniers. The Complainant seeks disciplinary action against judge is his misconduct and violation all the above and the cases in support and justify immediate action against Judge.