

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-328

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 30, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased and failed to recuse herself.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 30, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 452-3200 cjc@courts.az.gov

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name: **Honorable**

Re: Complaint from judicial misconduct from the Honorable _____, _____ County Court, Family Law Bench, Judge, _____ and improprieties handling case number: _____ and currently before the Arizona _____ Court with requested stays and under litigation and judicial review

To Whom It May Concern with the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct, Committee Members Select:

I, _____ am writing this formal complaint reporting non-compliance of judicial ethics and proper conduct required at all times of any person who serves a judicial function within the Arizona judicial branch with ethical obligation and compliance to rules of code with provisional applicability to all members of the judiciary, essential to maintaining a standard for the ethical conduct of judges and judicial candidates and to provide a basis for regulating such conduct through disciplinary agencies, per the Arizona Code of Conduct pursuant to Rule 81 with the Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.

Within the Scope of the code and layout of four canons, with underlying rules numbered with commenting to understand in application and relevance as to each of the canon delineated under from statement gives general or overarching principles of judicial ethics that all judges must observe and with disciplinary action from violating a rule. The rules in the code are rules of reason that should be applied consistent with constitutional requirements, statutes, other court rules, and decisional law, and with due regard for all relevant circumstances.

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 452-3200 cjc@courts.az.gov

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name: **Honorable**

I will collectively gather such documents, but it is very clear on the record, judicial proceedings, appeal, notice and further motioning post-decree and with also bringing to light and defending from the violations and injustice tactfully in attempt to unbiasedly ensure the agenda of the mother was most important or preferential as further evident and without adhering to the proper process of due process and proper litigation and openness to allow a consideration as to the totality of circumstances from an judicial ethical obligation and duty to remain neutral, unbiased and allow an open a fair hearing in guaranteed protections of due process and respectfully allowing without subjugation influencing the rights of children and their primary caregiver and sole protector, but making rulings from in inability to remain impartial, open and honest about such and the legal requirement and ethical obligation that is biased and prejudice to self declare such beliefs and unfair practice and not recusing oneself with knowledge of undue familiarity and personal belief before judicial obligation to place those trusted empowered roles and responsibilities from the judicial bench without any misconduct or improprieties with findings and proper recusal from my family law case and rights and protections affected and impacted with all aspects of foundation to the pursuit of justice and right to a fair, impartial, unbiased, maintaining ethical neutrality and remaining open and transparent as to any source with evidence of both intra and extra-judicial source preventing a fair and impartial, unbiased hearing and proper defenses allowed and free from direct subjugation and judicial action not being neutral and not being able to allow a fair hearing and impartiality as required from the judiciary sadly and respectfully.

This judicial misconduct and clear, bias noted and evident from multiple improprieties beyond chance or coincidence from showing and displaying impressing upon other favoritism and not maintaining ethical obligations and with multiple violations and

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 452-3200 cjc@courts.az.gov

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name: **Honorable**

improprieties and deliberately act against me and purposefully making rulings an acting in a biased and interested in the other party and all judicial proceedings, hearings improper from such beliefs and person preference evident with audio recordings, transcriptions records, denying a proper defense and judicial ruling and actions unlawful and improper from the powers vested and judicial position and abuse of power from an undue familiarity and with all questionable conduct and biased, prejudicial, unlawful and non-compliance from many authorities ranging from statutory law, rules of the court, proper proceedings and judicial proceedings and hearings without immunity protections from an underlying biased and prejudice from multiple noted and concernable intra-judicial source findings, but also extra-judicial source and acting outside of political associated or personal friendship and biased beliefs requiring proper judicial disqualification evident and further evident with witnessing and in reportings of unethical, unprofessional, incompetent or disability not grasping relevant issues and significant claims supported with finders of fact and evidence altogether disregarded or misapplied with improper rulings from lack of notice of recognition from such significant issues raised or pleading motioned and or petitioned requesting judicial action with relief sought appropriately and never noticed with timely responses furthermore impeding rights of access to a proper defense without acts of any judicial misconduct furthermore violating constitutional rights with protections guaranteed with due process with entitled access to a fair hearing from a judicial professional maintaining standards and expectations and legal requirements for self-disqualification, but with such flagrant disregard, inappropriate proceeding, violating judicial ethical standards to maintain a neutral, fair, impartial proceedings and hearing along with conduct of leading the witness or opposing party into a family law matter, tampering with procedural outcome from some undo familiarity that has been obvious and event to where I have asked on the record "
" due to the degree of improper and unfair, unsubstantiated

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 452-3200 cjc@courts.az.gov

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name: **Honorable**

judicial accounts of showing favoritism and inappropriate conduct, rulings, infringements, disregard for pleadings and motioning from myself and immediate catering to taking immediate judicial action for the other party whilst any of mine where delayed exhaustingly to being ignored altogether, inappropriate sanctioning, inappropriate recognition or empower in ruling with last minute account and without notice even in recognizing and or addressing an improper default decree and or hearing status when conditions where not met or substantiated from even the rules of court, misperceiving accounts of needed supervision of visitations, not as to myself, but the other party, preventing myself from having a fair hearing and a proper defence, but allowing interference and unethical and inappropriate and with all improperness to carry out affecting my rights to children and due process without cause, there are several motions for requests of considerations, relief, change of judge because of noted bias, improprieties, unfair, and unethical professional conduct and standards breached with favoritism and showing prejudice and inability to display or making fair rulings and maintain neutrality and with properness within the judiciary and as to the conduct from which even under the rules of the supreme court and or appropriate commissioner rulings without cause or entitlement from such rules to make ex parte order from inappropriate legal paperwork not in compliance, but to allow a emergency ex parte action without proper notice and as to affect the custody of children and parental rights with all hearings set and litigation and not without any cause, but furthermore not allowed within the roles and duties of court commissioners, that were signing off with their title and without proper resolve when objected and requested hearings be set, and allowing police involvement and rulings that are not supported with jurisdictional authority in jurisprudence and even violated rules of the court, unlawful improperness victimizing and subjugating the innocence without proper review or allowing due process or to show any cause, but violate inexcusably and without accountability and legal knowledge with accountability from legal protections