

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-329

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 14, 2025

The Complainant alleged an appellate court judge failed to follow the law by refusing to reopen an appeal.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown, Colleen E. Concannon, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 14, 2025.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-329

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: Chief Judge

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

\* the role of the Court is to "ensure the law is applied correctly and that the proceedings were fair and to identify any legal errors.

Exhibit A- in of of The Office did inform myself and Investigative Journalist that "their" office did commit the "error" of using the wrong discovery and The Honorable Chief Judge refuses to acknowledge this "Legal Error", which did deprive me of fair proceedings and the Courts are to "ensure that proceedings are fair"

Investigative Journalist did also addressed because her replies "does" give the impression that I was in the "same" car as when he was pulled over and charged, which absolutely has nothing to do with me.

Exhibit B- The Honorable Chief Judge did overlook the "Error" that the Prosecutor made available the incorrect discovery- What "errors" can occur from the wrong discovery being used? Errors led to the Defense not gathering crucial evidence, incorrect information was disclosed, the improper evidence was collected and evidence was missing and these "errors" stem from the incorrect discovery being used and The Honorable Chief Judge refuses to acknowledge the "Errors" which did deprive me of "fair proceedings."

Exhibit C- The Courts, Office Prosecutor did "Err" by using the wrong discovery, it would have not been an "Error" to use the discovery of for but the State and Office of The Public Defender did "Err" by using the wrong discovery and The Honorable Chief Judge refuses to acknowledge the obvious "Errs" that did deprive me of "Fair Proceedings."

Exhibit D- The Honorable Chief Judge refuses to acknowledge that Police did "Err" when they classified me as a "transient" when they had my "address" and this "Err" did lead to me being classified as a "Fugitive," when I was not and I was arrested by from in Arizona.

Exhibit E- Officer of the Police did state in that my case was meant to be heard at Municipal Court because just shows 1 charge of Resisting Arrest and The Honorable Chief Judge refuses to review this "Err" as well, which deprived me of "fair proceedings"

\*\*When the State did "Err" by using for my case of it then gave me two charges, when if the State "did not Err" and used I would have only had one charge of Resisting Arrest and The Honorable Chief Judge refuses to acknowledge this "Err" as well

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name: Chief Judge

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Applying the "law" based on "wrong" or "inaccurate discovery" in \_\_\_\_\_ did lead to an incorrect outcome.

\*\* \_\_\_\_\_ the role of The Honorable Chief Judge \_\_\_\_\_ was to "ensure" that the "law was applied correctly and that proceedings were fair."

\*\* \_\_\_\_\_ "laws cannot be applied correctly and proceedings will not be fair if the wrong discovery is used."

\*\*The Honorable Chief Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has knowledge that laws were not applied correctly and the proceeding was not fair, due to many, many, many, many "Errors," which The Honorable Chief Judge has overlooked.

Exhibit G- Proof of "Errors" sent to The Honorable Presiding Judge of The Arizona Court

Thank you

Hi

EXHIBIT A

reviewed the DR and the file for the name  
to . She found a police report belonging  
to that her investigator obtained.

My understanding is that your case began  
because you were stopped at the scene of some  
people being pulled over. The driver of that car  
was , and he was charged with  
possession of marijuana as far as we can tell. The  
case is now sealed in . asked her  
investigator to get the report to see if you were  
mentioned in it, and you were not. So that is why  
the report was part of your file.

Since ultimately took over the case, it would  
have been up to him to decide whether the information  
was important to explore beyond that.

Thanks,

Assistant's Direct Line: \_\_\_\_\_

EXHIBIT A - NEGLIGENCE + INCOMPETENCE

Re: File No:  
Respondent: \_\_\_\_\_

= ERPOLIS (LEGAL)

Dear \_\_\_\_\_ :

This letter confirms that the \_\_\_\_\_ received your \_\_\_\_\_ charge against attorney \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_. The charge is currently in the review process and we will be in contact with you soon.

Sincerely,

Intake Assistant

I NEVER HAD  
FAIR REPRESENTATION




Exhibit A

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**