

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-331

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 14, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to be impartial, made improper rulings, and liked many postings on his LinkedIn page.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 14, 2025.

2025-331

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Canon 1. A Judge Shall Uphold and Promote the Independence, Integrity, and Impartiality of the Judiciary, and Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety

Canon 2. A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently

Canon 4. A Judge or Candidate for Judicial Office Shall Not Engage in Political or Campaign Activity that is Inconsistent with the Independence, Integrity, or Impartiality of the Judiciary

presided over the court case He demonstrated irritation, impatience and inappropriate conduct from the beginning of the case.

1) Complainant was unable to confirm the jurist's claimed attendance at either the " (now called ), or the unaffiliated after a number of calls to those institutions to check his record

2) proudly extols that he is a member of the Association. Aside from the fact that this would be difficult unless he was in transition of his sex (albeit that might be fashionable these days), there couldn't be anything more inappropriate for a jurist adjudicating highly charged cases between the sexes (the jurist never did recuse himself from after this was brought to his attention, or absent himself from the organization according to the official records)

3) the jurist was apprised that the child involved in custody dispute had reported that an adult at the household was making inappropriate advances and that a police case was filed; this information was then casually dismissed by the jurist even though confirmed by a psychologist's report

4) Complainant was held at gunpoint by police because of a false accusation of kidnapping by complainant's daughter; the jurist chortled mirthfully when informed during a hearing

5) Complainant's ex-wife filed a total of false complaints, all ignored by when informed

6) Complainant's ex-wife committed a total of over a dozen acts of custodial interference, all ignored by when informed

7) Complainant's ex-wife filed a false Lis Pendens, which is a criminal act, referencicing the proceeding presided upon by . When informed and requested to compel the ex-wife to rectify the record, the jurist ignored the motion

8) The ex-wife multiply perjured herself in court, with the jurist ignoring at least instances

9) chose to award custody to the ex-wife, an alcohol-dependent grade school dropout from with a history of illness at the expense of an Ivy League educated physician, on the grounds of old texts stating fact regarding the ex-wife rather than the bests interests of the children, as required per statute

10) During the same hearing the jurist chose to adjudicate facts not previously before the court and submitted at the last minute, making implications and inference in the absence of evidence



**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

11) \_\_\_\_\_ threatened Counsel \_\_\_\_\_ with discipline after she characterized the ex-wife as a \_\_\_\_\_ which she is by the strict definition of the word \_\_\_\_\_

12) \_\_\_\_\_ admonished Complainant repeatedly in testimony and rulings when Complainant was simply conveying fact \_\_\_\_\_

13) \_\_\_\_\_ repeatedly ignored requests for a Rule 63 evaluation of the ex-wife under various pretexts, most commonly by stating " \_\_\_\_\_ " (no other action is required to be pending, as per the rule)

14) \_\_\_\_\_ repeatedly rejected Complainant's motions and even motions for reconsideration without explanation \_\_\_\_\_

15) \_\_\_\_\_ repeatedly provided an explanation for the ex-wife's motions even though she is a vexatious litigant to the point where \_\_\_\_\_ instructed Complainant not to respond to her motions unless told to do so and yet considered her filings in absence of Complainant's response.

16) \_\_\_\_\_ repeatedly provided legal advice to the ex-wife \_\_\_\_\_

17) \_\_\_\_\_ refused to acknowledge, much less curb the extensive harassment campaign by Complainant's ex-wife, who has now been under Orders of Protection (repeatedly adjudicated and upheld) for \_\_\_\_\_ years, during which time she multiply violated those orders \_\_\_\_\_

18) \_\_\_\_\_ repeatedly refused to acknowledge the damage done to the children in the case due to his orders, including psychological and behavioral disturbances in the \_\_\_\_\_ child, lack of opportunity to develop as an athlete in the \_\_\_\_\_ child and severely compromised educational opportunities for both \_\_\_\_\_

19) Last year \_\_\_\_\_ ruled that Complainant should continue to pay child support even though the ex-wife terminated Complainant' career with another false board complaint (after \_\_\_\_\_ ruled the matter unfounded)

20) \_\_\_\_\_ placed Complainant's and his \_\_\_\_\_ life in danger when Complainant and \_\_\_\_\_ became stranded in \_\_\_\_\_ and the ex-wife refused to help to extricate \_\_\_\_\_

21) \_\_\_\_\_ repeatedly refused to re-open the issue of custody in spite of the obvious carnage which ensued from his decision, as well as the repeat changes in circumstances \_\_\_\_\_

22) \_\_\_\_\_ is generally a master at avoiding work by finding technicalities (or just randomly, spuriously ruling) to avoid any actual thought, analysis, consideration of the facts presented, or any other encumbrance to his leisure \_\_\_\_\_

23) \_\_\_\_\_ repeatedly refused to recuse himself once it became obvious he had lost his objectivity (and/or his mind) \_\_\_\_\_

24) \_\_\_\_\_ is promoting specific attorneys and law firms on his \_\_\_\_\_ page \_\_\_\_\_

25) Overall, \_\_\_\_\_ showed extreme callousness, hostility and irritation, incompetence, sloth and consistently inappropriate conduct. Zero integrity, he is not only mal-tempered, but also biased and ignorant. He holds his personal prejudices above those entrusted in his care and won't even stop at destroying the lives and future of children.

26) \_\_\_\_\_ also very likely lied about his supposed education in \_\_\_\_\_ and who knows what else.



Arizona | United States · [Contact Info](#)

[See your mutual connections](#)

[Join to view profile](#)

[Message](#)

Activity

[+ Follow](#)

Liked by

Liked by

Liked by

[Join now to see all activity](#)

---

### Recommendations received

1 person has recommended

---

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**