

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-333

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 14, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge engaged in fraudulent behavior.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 14, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-333

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: **Judge's Name:**

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Court Judge on _____ County, Arizona has a fraudulent oath of office clearly representing multiple jurisdictions (enclosed), making him a criminal or an imposter. As you may be aware, a fraudulent oath of office is a class 4 felony on Arizona by State of Arizona / STATE OF ARIZONA corporate statutes (A.R.S. § 13-2702), punishable by impeachment and disqualification from holding future public office. Providing false statements or misrepresenting eligibility for office can lead to felony fraud charges per A.R.S. § 13-2310. Civil penalties can result in repayment of salary and benefits as well. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled on several occasions that fraud vitiates everything: United States v. Throckmorton, 98 U.S. 61 (1878), Marshall v. Holmes, 141 U.S. 589 (1891), Bank of the United States v. Ritchie, 27 U.S. 128 (1829), Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 (1944), and Fedorenko v. United States, 449 U.S. 490 (1981). This means that in addition to forfeit of office, salary and benefits, _____ is subject to having everything his name is attached to since the day he signed his fraudulent oath of office voided for fraud.

UCC

Be it known to all the foregoing attached documents is a complete, true, and correct copy filed in the Office at the State Capitol, Arizona.

UCMS

UCMS

Document Title: Honorable - County Court

Filed in Division: Administration Date Filed:

Prepared by: Public Records Date Certified:

Total number of pages attached (excluding cover): 1

Copy Certification Not Valid Without State Seal

UCC

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, the preparer, have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Great Seal of the State of Arizona.

UCMS

OATH OF OFFICE

STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF _____

UCC

UCC

UCC

UCC

I, _____

UCC

do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution and laws of the State of Arizona, that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same and defend them against all enemies, foreign or domestic, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the office of _____

UCC

COUNTY

COUNT

according to the best of my ability, so help me God (or so I do affirm).

Fraud

signature of officer/employee

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this _____ day of _____

UCC, UCC

Notary Public