

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-338

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

November 21, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge did not follow the law, disclosed confidential communications, engaged in ex parte communications, and committed fraud as part of a guardianship case and a probate case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 21, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-338

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached pdf - Cover Letter and Complaint

Cover Letter to the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

From:

Re: Formal Complaint Concerning Judicial Misconduct by Hon.

Date:

Dear Members of the Commission,

I respectfully submit the attached **Formal Complaint** regarding judicial misconduct by the Honorable _____ of the _____ County _____ Court – Probate Division. The complaint documents multiple ethical, procedural, and statutory violations, **including breach of confidentiality and retaliatory conduct carried out during and after my protected guardian/conservator advocacy and _____ disclosures.**

Judge _____ actions include:

- Filing confidential _____ communications into the public record of a case over which he presided, despite being named as the **alleged perpetrator** in that same _____ investigation — in violation of **A.R.S. § 46-460(F)**;
- Referring _____ investigators to a sealed, unrelated case number, evidently to mislead and obstruct inquiry;
- Weaponizing procedural tools such as a vexatious litigant designation to **suppress filings**, block elder exploitation claims, and **evade scrutiny of his own judicial conduct**;
- **Retaliating** in response to CJC reports, _____ reports and guardiansh/conservator efforts, including **interference in my medical care, exposure to health care fraud, and threats to my liberty.**

As a result of this misconduct and ongoing retaliation, I have been forced to repeatedly relocate for my safety. In the past _____ months, I have spent approximately _____ **months living out of state**, and one month in temporary housing outside _____ following documented incidents of **stalking and harassment**. During this period, I was twice hospitalized due to dangerously high-dose prescriptions and denied proper medical supervision — care that was later tied to fraudulent documentation and undisclosed efforts to involuntarily admit me to a long-term facility. These efforts, had I not secured out-of-state refuge, as well as provided normal blood test results (_____ to _____) would have resulted in **loss of liberty, reputational harm, and procedural default in my active litigation.**

This complaint reflects a pattern of judicial retaliation and concealment that undermines public confidence in the judiciary and violates ethical canons governing judicial conduct, confidentiality statutes, **and protected whistleblower rights** under **A.R.S. § 46-460(L)**.

I am prepared to provide supporting declarations, (redacted) _____ reference materials, medical documentation, and a detailed timeline. I respectfully urge the Commission to investigate this matter with appropriate urgency, and to consider sanctions up to and including **disqualification or removal.**

Please confirm receipt and let me know if additional materials are needed.

Respectfully,

Judicial Misconduct Complaint

Date:

To: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

From:

Judge: Hon.

Court: County Court – Probate Division

Date of Misconduct:

Subject: Alleged Judicial Misconduct, Abuse of Office, and Retaliation

I. Misuse of Confidential Records to Shape Litigation Narrative

While an investigation into elder financial exploitation was re-opened by an unknown reporter on — and **Judge** was named as the alleged perpetrator — the judge:

- Filed **confidential email correspondence from** investigators into the public court record of an **active civil/probate proceeding**.
- **Disclosed communication** referencing a reported million in allegedly exploited IRA assets and in exploited attorney billing, which are central to both the protective investigation and the probate litigation () and civil case ().

This disclosure appears to violate **A.R.S. § 46-460**, which strictly protects the confidentiality of records, particularly where no statutory exception applies and the **subject of the disclosure is the alleged perpetrator**.

II. Contradictory and Strategic Misrepresentation of Relevance

Prior to filing the communication, Judge repeatedly asserted that Elder Fraud and Exploitation claims had no bearing on the ongoing court case. He ordered that associated claims for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress — rooted in documented evidence of exploitation — be stricken from the record.

These representations were used to:

- **Exclude relevant allegations and facts from consideration**
- **Avoid evidentiary development tied to elder abuse and findings**
- **Shut down procedural requests implicating judicial misconduct**

However, once the communication appeared potentially favorable to his position, Judge reversed course and filed the same material into the court record — **thereby revealing a personal and professional stake in shaping the narrative**.

This conduct demonstrates a calculated strategy to:

- **Suppress unfavorable information** while emphasizing selectively favorable or liability-negating narratives
- **Mislead the public record** about the relationship between the investigation and the judicial proceeding
- **Retaliate** against **protected reporters** by unmasking confidential correspondence

III. Misdirection Via Fraudulent Case Number

In the same communication, Judge directed investigators to reference case number — a sealed matter involving and , with no known connection to the elder exploitation investigation.

- The case bears **no connection to any party, event, or subject matter** associated with the elder exploitation investigation in which Judge is named the alleged perpetrator.
- The citation of this case number in communications appears to have been intended to **mislead investigators** and **obstruct a valid protective inquiry**.
- This confirms that the judge's referral to this case number could not have had legitimate investigative value and supports a finding of intentional misrepresentation in violation of judicial ethics and **A.R.S. § 13-2409 (obstruction of investigations)** and judicial ethics.

This conduct appears intended to:

- **Obscure** the judicial proceeding's link to the elder exploitation allegations
- **Confuse** investigations
- **Obstruct** the investigation's evidentiary integrity

Such misdirection compromises the evidentiary integrity of an investigation and raises serious concerns about judicial misuse of sealed or irrelevant case references in furtherance of his own defense.

IV. Abuse of Vexatious Litigant Determination and Ex-Parte Collusion

Judge further weaponized judicial authority through:

- **Improperly declaring me a vexatious litigant**, based on a record tainted by **documented ex-parte communications** with defense counsel;
- **Using that designation to block or suppress critical filings**, including those that would have introduced evidence of elder exploitation, correspondence, and procedural misconduct;
- **Shielding himself from accountability by restricting my access to the court record and limiting my ability to respond or seek redress.**

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**