

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-362

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 2, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge deleted evidence, was biased, and did not accommodate a disability.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

The Complainant also requested to appear before the Commission and present evidence. The Commission found no good cause to support this request, and the request to appear is hereby denied.

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 2, 2025.

From:**Sent:****To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>**Subject:** Complaint Against Judge Case No.

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#) | [Report](#)

Dear Members of the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct,

I am Petitioner in County Court Case No. , filing a formal complaint against the Honorable for egregious misconduct that has compromised the fairness of my case and devastated my minor son's stability. Since , I have reported Respondent theft of in community assets (e.g., Proficient Pest Specialists, pontoon boat, property, Polaris vehicles) via the "Notice of Issues" Excel spreadsheet, filed digitally in Case Center (and on paper in which Judge shredded (Petition, p. 5).

To counter her deletion of digital filings since , I filed over 100 pages on paper with the Clerk on , including child support worksheets, evidence of my income, and Respondent's in debts, yet Judge falsely claimed in her Order, "

(Order, p. 2), ignoring my filings since .Judge actions violate Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) and Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure (ARFLP):Evidence Suppression: Shredding my paper filing and deleting digital filings since violate ARFLP 29(a), 35(a), and 49(a), obstructing my case (Petition, pp. 5-6).

Bias in Service Requirements: Requiring me, with income and a court-fee waiver, to use certified mail or personal service, while approving Respondent's counsel's email service to facilitate my eviction (Order, p. 7), violates ARS § 25-324(A).

Denial of ADA Accommodations: Ignoring my ADA request for written-only review due to severe emotional distress (Petition, p. 10) violates 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and ARS § 12-776, denying due process (Order, p. 2). I have said a hundred times I cannot verbally participate in a hearing with my abuser present. I cry for hours prior and hours after and get sick. knows this, ignores my submissions, and calls verbal hearings in bias as occasion to order in further favor of Respondent.

Unjust Sanctions: Imposing [redacted] in sanctions on me while sparing Respondent, despite his [redacted] income and [redacted] in debts, violates ARS § 25-324 and ARFLP 65(b)(2) ([redacted] Order, pp. 2-3).

Failure to Freeze Sale Proceeds: Ordering the sale of my son's home without freezing proceeds until Respondent's debts were adjudicated violates ARS § 25-318.03(A) and ARS § 25-403(A), devastating my son's stability ([redacted] Order, pp. 2-3).

These actions reflect bias and misconduct, warranting investigation. I have filed a Motion for Reconsideration and Motion to Preserve Filings with the [redacted] Court, enclosed for your review, and previously submitted a complaint to the [redacted] . I urge the Commission to examine Case Center ([redacted]) to verify these violations and take appropriate action to restore judicial integrity and protect my son. Please contact me at [redacted] or [redacted] .

Sincerely,

[redacted], Pro Se

I'm available to meet anytime with notice. Please look into my case. [redacted] has taken everything from me and my son. Respondent rightfully owes me millions.