

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-365

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

December 2, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly ordered forced medication in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 2, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-365

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: Hor

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On [ ] while in a proceeding with judge [ ] The judge ordered that the complainant/Defendant would be evaluated by a new and impartial psychologist.

On [ ] the complainant was informed that she would be forced in the treatment of injections. The psychologist that came was lied and deceived the complainant in to the drug ability which was not to be administered. By giving a written name of a drug that was false.

The Complainant submitted HNR's to confirm what medication she was going to be treated with on [ ] The psychologist is attempting to inject the complainant with Aripiprazole.

The judge is fully aware of the matter and knows that she (the judge) is in violation of the following:

(1) USCA Const. Amend. 14, (2) Ariz. Const. Art. 2 § 24, (3) Ariz. R. Crim. P. 11.2(a), (4) Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 13-4503(A), 42 USCA § 1983, Due process.

In United States v. Boima, 114 F. 4th 69 (2024), The court must satisfy the Sell v. US, 123 S. Ct 2173 for authorization to involuntarily medicate a defendant to restore competency. Judge [ ] failed by providing and allowing a new psychologist to administer a evaluation. Abuse of discretion.

In Washington v. Harper, 110 S. Ct. 1028 (1990) a prisoner was forced in his treatment with antipsychotic drugs. DOC policy violated Due process, USCA Const. Amend. 14, 42 USCA § 1983 deprivation of rights.

Moran v. Clarke, 296 F.3d 638 (2002) reversed, remanded. The fourteenth Amend. guarantees substantive due process, which prevents the government from engaging in conduct that shocks the conscience, or interferes with rights implicit in the concept of ordered liberty. If a particular Amendment provides an explicit textual source of constitutional protection against a particular sort of government behavior, that amendment, not the more generalized notion of substantive due process. USCA Const. Amend. 14 "unlawful Motivation."

(2) Furthermore, judge [ ] is misapplying governing laws that contradict by applying the state laws. A judge "shall" immediately resignation action, A court cannot be permitted to exercise its own discretion. Bergeron v. O'Neil, 205 Ariz. 640, 74 P.3d 952 (2003)

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name: Hon

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

County, In Gilbert Pros. office v, Hon. Foster 245 Ariz. 15, 424 P.3d 416  
(2018) Maricopa Co. the judge used contradicting governing laws.  
In Rooback, 140 S.Ct. 1731 (2020) Legislative history may not be used to  
contradict ambiguous text. Congress does not alter fundamental details of  
a regulatory scheme in vague terms.  
Wiggins v. Smith, 539 US 510 (2003). State court misapplied governing  
laws.  
Therefore, based on the above finding. The complainant respectfully  
request that Hon. be investigated for the above violations  
and for immediate disciplinary action on Code of Jud. Conduct, Canon 1, 2, 3  
and for abuse of discretion. Canon 1, 2, 3