

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-371

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge was biased against him in a criminal matter. The Commission's investigation of this matter found ex parte communications between the judge and the prosecutor.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

After review, the Commission found that the judge engaged in an improper ex parte conversation which did not promote confidence in the judiciary. While this was improper under Rules 1.2, 2.2 and 2.9 of the Code of Judicial Conduct, the Scope Section of the Code provides that not every transgression will result in the imposition of discipline. The Commission decided, after considering all the facts and circumstances, to dismiss the Complaint pursuant to Commission Rules 16(b) and 23(a), but to issue a confidential warning letter to the judicial officer reminding her to refrain from communicating about pending cases without both parties present.

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: April 1, 2026

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Joseph C. Kreamer

Hon. Joseph C. Kreamer
Commission Vice-chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 1, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-371

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Judge had a witness statement come in mentioning I am threatening people when I never did. I asked for evidence and she stated she didn't need to but I threatened people and sentenced me to max due to this unfounded claim. I think this indicates bias or due process violations potentially justifying recusal motion under 28 USC S 455. My lawyer never showed up the first time and the judge is refusing to give me the evidence of me sitting in the court room wondering where my lawyer is. I thought we could get this over with no jail time considering I'm nonviolent so I forgave my lawyer but he stopped showing up and she said I threatened people and sentenced me to max. I asked for evidence she said she didn't need any she's a judge. This was an unethical withdrawal and failure to return unearned fees per ABA rule 1.16 on top of this indicates bias or due process violations potentially justifying recusal motion under 28 USC S 455.

I mentioned to her I am uncomfortable with the mental health staff asking sexualized questions to me and she blames my response and the initial context like I brought up sex. That's not fair. I felt very uncomfortable when the mental health "leader" a head examiner starting asking about my private parts and did not want to continue for that reason. I am happy to do a mental health exam that doesn't focus on sex just like she is accusing me of doing and is a crime when I do it. The judge teamed up with the female staff and said me bringing up me being uncomfortable with their assault is actually me assaulting them. I don't understand. They expect me not to feel anything and answer perverted questions in the disguise of mental health exam? Too weird and this is the reason I am here in the first place. Because I am a different demographic I think she is giving bias to the criminal context makers making the content sexual and exclaiming me questioning that as the original complaint... that's not fair.

The prosecutor was asking me questions in court and the security guard said stop talking then the prosecutor asked 4 more questions and I answered because I thought I needed to respect the prosecutor more. The judge threw me out for answering the prosecutors question and acts like I was talking on the phone not respecting the process... I was answering the prosecutors question respecting him. I think this was planned to maximize the sentence and listing complaints that they themselves set the context trap for. He repeatedly kept asking questions in a planned attempt to get me kicked out. My lawyer not showing, their prosecutor asking me constant questions, they mental health examiner bringing up sex during a head exam, and me already walking out to answer the prosecutors questions outside for some reason.. is all on film.. ON TOP OF an _____ guy lying about me saying the n word and his boss leading him to say that and the whole reason we are here in the first place over an obvious lie at a work event I paid for _____ only to be told "_____ and today we are learning"

"_____ all of these circumstances and me doing 10 days and 2500 over it... is way too much."

Please let me know what I need to do I have been running a perfected rated healthcare business for 5 years thought the pandemic where the news said go outside and die and I still did healthcare and they are trying to take away my business any weird way they can with one thing in common all unfounded lies. Please advise.