

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-372

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

December 23, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge prejudged the case and is biased against women in a protective order case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 23, 2025.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-372

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I had filed for a protection order with the [ ] Court, in which I had explicitly stated I was sexually assaulted. To this, judge [ ] had walked into the court room stating he had already read through my claims and made up his mind, denying me the order of protection against my husband. He stated he found no evidence of domestic violence and that he wouldnt approve my order of protection. He asked if I had any questions regarding his decision and effectively gave me no opportunity to explain my PO request, exapand on details listed, or give insight into why I should be granted the order of protection. But the domestic violence of being sexually assaulted by my husband should've been grounds enough.

I had came back on [ ] after I had rewrote my request, blatantly stating "RAPE" multiple times throughout my request for an order of protection. When he came into the room, he didn't allow me to speak, begrudgingly stating he would grant my request but only because of the incident of rape. Which he had in his hands the day before, and didn't grant me. He had refused to give me my dog back from the residence, claiming I would have to go to court to deal with my dog, despite there being physical proof of ownership in the room with me and space on the form to request the dog. I had also brought in evidence that my dog was currently abusing my dog, and Judge [ ] refused to see it, stating I would have to handle it in court. Because my doq wasn't awarded to me, my dog suffered abuse, negelect, and unsanitary living conditions till [ ] from my husband when my dog could've been safe with me.

Mv husband had also filed a fruadulent and malicious order of protection against me ( [ ] ), in which I had contested on [ ] and successfully quashed by the [ ] This order of protection barred me from our shared residence, as it granted him exclusive use of the property since [ ] He stated he " [ ] " give me exclusive use of the shared residence, not that he " [ ] ". Effectively leaving me homeless, despite having explained to him my husband was a [ ] and could/ was ordered to stay at the barracks. Awarding my husband two seperate places of residency, and I none. Because of this, I was left homeless for the better part of [ ] months when I could've been back into my residence sooner, allowing my husband to rifle through my items and belongings that were forcibly left at the house.

Judge [ ] said that my current place of work was a buisness open to the public and therefor it wouldn't be a protected location from my rapist, as he " [ ] " despite my requests to protect my work. I also have a coworker who has a protection order against my husband/rapist on the basis of violent threats, slander, and harassment and this information fell on Judge [ ] deaf ears. I've heard from other women that have had judge [ ] that he is biased towards men, and will indignantly handle any case or request from a woman. His reputation within the [ ] County community is not as well regarded as those within the local justice system may think.