

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-373

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

November 21, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace had ex parte communications and was racially biased in civil and eviction cases.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 21, 2025.

To:

Comp

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington St., Suite 229

Phoenix, AZ 85007

<https://www.azcourts.gov/azcjc/>

Date:

Complainant Name: \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ & Occupants

Judge: \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ County Justice Court –

Summary of Judicial Misconduct:

I am filing this complaint against Judge \_\_\_\_\_ for judicial bias, ex parte communications, retaliation, divestiture of jurisdiction, and favoritism in both my civil lawsuit ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) and the related eviction matter ( \_\_\_\_\_ ). His conduct has caused irreparable harm, denied me due process, and undermined confidence in the judiciary.

Details Supporting This Complaint:

#### 1. Writ Signed After Supersedeas Bond Paid – Retaliation & Jurisdictional Overreach

I complied with Judge \_\_\_\_\_' own instructions by paying the supersedeas bond required to stay the eviction.

Despite this, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ signed the writ of restitution anyway—on the same day, within minutes of the defendants filing their answer in my civil case, which was due to default that day.

This timing creates the appearance of retaliation and favoritism toward the defendant landlord and their counsel. Per his clerk we paid the amount he said needed to be paid.

We have been to the court on three more occasions and a so-called supervisor and his clerk argue with us to our face saying we were not the same people that had come the week before. It appears as if this judge and his staff are in court collusion together because birds of a feather flock together and like the Bible said a tree shall be known by his fruit so what example of justice is Judge \_\_\_\_\_ and by all of his public reprimands and complaints he does not uphold the rule of law and does not exemplify what a judge should be when this happens with a prosecutor or another person with this degree of reach you have to go back and investigate all of their cases and complaints to see where else they broke the law at or violated rules of ethics which cost people usually marginalized that didn't have the ability to fight or complain to the level that I have for \_\_\_\_\_, does \_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_ Firm.

#### 2. Ex Parte Conduct – “Reviewing Transcripts” Post-Appeal Filing.

Now the law firm and the attorney is suspiciously asking for the exact amount we paid into the **WRIT for Atty fees. Looking at the fees that he charges the amount he's asking for it does not equal to the amount of work that he's done for this establishment that was in violation of the law in the first place. There's definitely some ex part 8 going on and we have filed a simple complaint as well there's also a comp file with the Attorney general on this company prior to them filing for eviction.**

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ later claimed to have reviewed the transcripts to “ \_\_\_\_\_ ” after the eviction appeal was filed. Per court clerk (the sheriff executing the **WRIT** looked at our receipt that the SUPERCEDED Bond Was paid on \_\_\_\_\_ & rent was paid on \_\_\_\_\_, and stayed at something was off so he called on speakerphone). Another clerk was on the phone with my mom at the same time giving another explanation stating the leaseholders weren't the same people showed up in court to pay the receipt of bond (the judge was nowhere visible or out of his Chambers are near us when we paid, you can pull the video tape Plus that's a lot of discrimination going back finding that as an excuse, DIVESTITURE OF JURISDICTION when he went back into listen to a transcript and sign a WRIT \_\_\_\_\_ at the same time he received an answer from an attorney who I'm showing you an email said that he was not retained by this client.

This constitutes ex parte conduct and divestiture of jurisdiction. Once an appeal is filed, the trial court loses jurisdiction over the case (see State v. O'Connor, and principles of appellate divestiture).

His private review of proceedings without a hearing or notice further violated Canon 2.9 of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct.

### 3. Racial Disparity and “ \_\_\_\_\_ ” Excuse

The judge excused invalidating our appearance by claiming our “ \_\_\_\_\_ ,” even though we were sworn in by court clerks and properly identified.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ was not present during the in-court appearance, and his statement implied disbelief in our identity—a claim with racial overtones that implies profiling or reliance on improper assumptions.

### 4. Improper and Suspicious Dismissal of Civil Case

My civil case was dismissed late at night on a \_\_\_\_\_ (around midnight) with no hearing or motion pending. DID THIS JUST LOOK AT IT AND CONNECT IT TO OUR OTHER CASE OR DID HE JUST GIVE PERMIT PROFESSIONAL COURTESY TO A LAW FIRM OVER A FAMILY OF PEOPLE DID HE PUT OUT ON THE STREETS THAT MADE A COMPLAINT ABOUT HIM.

The dismissal happened days after I filed motions related to the eviction case and highlighted possible misconduct.

The court failed to acknowledge:

My evidence of habitability violations OPENED \_\_\_\_\_ (City of \_\_\_\_\_ Complaint # \_\_\_\_\_ ) WE DON'T HAVE A COPY OF IT BUT YOU CAN CALL THE CITY OF \_\_\_\_\_ AND VERIFY IT IS STILL AN OPEN CASE AND THEY'RE IN VIOLATION. We also turned in an email from \_\_\_\_\_ stating the judge could call the city of \_\_\_\_\_ Code Compliance and speak to any sworn in city official about the issue until subpoenaed in court if he needed to verify that because nowhere in

his paperwork did he say there's not an open City violation that was open before started increasing fees and retaliating and harassing and threatening to evict us even after rent was paid.

A fraudulent cease-and-desist letter filed by defendants using the name of a lawyer who had never represented them. The Firm listed was contacted and they submitted an email saying they had not been retained for any civil case or any other case with this client but they suspiciously then appear for the eviction and answered the civil case Santa was so we started the wrong person on the last possible day where judgment was to be issued on \_\_\_\_\_ at the same time the same judge signed a WRIT for us to be put out on the streets.

Video/photo evidence, scientific studies, and 22 resident statements

This conduct gave the strong appearance of judicial retaliation and collusion.

5. Ethics Violations: Judge \_\_\_\_\_ violated the following Judicial Canons:

Rule 1.2 – Failing to promote public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary

Rule 2.2 – Failing to uphold and apply the law impartially and fairly

Rule 2.3 – Allowing potential bias or discriminatory treatment based on race or socioeconomic status

Rule 2.9 – Engaging in ex parte communications and actions after jurisdiction was divested by appeal

Request for Relief:

I respectfully request that the Commission:

Investigate the actions of Judge \_\_\_\_\_ in both referenced cases

Determine whether ex parte communications and improper dismissal occurred

Investigate the racial or discriminatory nature of his “ \_\_\_\_\_ ” statement

Review potential coordination or favoritism toward the defendants and their legal counsel

Issue disciplinary action if judicial misconduct is confirmed

Attachments:

Writ of Restitution & Eviction Judgment ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) signed \_\_\_\_\_ we were evicted and put out on the streets via sheriff on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ .

Supersedeas Bond Receipt (rent paid to the court \_\_\_\_\_ )

Civil Docket showing dismissal time/date (we don't have the physical copy but per the court he dismissed it it midnight on \_\_\_\_\_ .

Cease-and-desist letter & email from attorney denying involvement

City of \_\_\_\_\_ Code Complaint # \_\_\_\_\_

## Motion to Stay Eviction Pending Appeal

### Timeline of events

Reported roaches to

Response from

sister received second degree burn because of roach jumped out on her while she was cooking

city of Code Compliance came out to do an inspection and gave a violation along with suggestions would weren't comply with report

rent paid on time

came out for his third visit and suspiciously a fake season desist by an attorney that doesn't practice at that firm sent out to us after they talked with the code enforcement serve to us for for

threatened to evict us to try to keep us quiet from talking to code enforcement even with rent pay and we responded.

found a civil suit and it was signed by judge in

finally got in touch with who stated they did not represent

defendant statutory agent was served with our lost civil lawsuit

He gave an affidavit of service to the court and

file for eviction after not accepting our rent payment for would increase fees that we try to get fixed but we did not go in prison and did everything by email.

attended eviction Court, turned in all our evidence and displays and in court their attorney at the surprise that we had certificate pay rent and of the violation ARS 33-1381 the judge held it over for trial for and told us to pay what we thought we owed and rent which we did.

paid rent into the court in the escrow or rent line.

trial was held and the judge said that he needed more time and did not see our evidence of display if we turned into the court in a timely manner the attorney stated he didn't have copies but we have a copy of him acknowledging receipt of documents on

Call the court everyday asking for an answer and did not get one.

call the court at and and they stated there's no answer we call back at and they said the call back

the court stated a decision was made and the judgment was granted an amount of that he ruled into defendant's favor so we went to the court and paid TO PROCEED IN BOND IN THE MIDDLE CLERK ACKNOWLEDGE THAT NO WRIT WOULD BE ISSUED AS CUSTOMARY AND I'VE ATTACHED A COPY THAT IT WAS PAID.

WE FILED AN APPEAL. APPLY THE WAY TO FEES WHICH HE NEVER ANSWERED THEY SAID THEY WOULD SEND US A COPY OR LET US KNOW WHEN THE COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT WILL BE READY WHICH THEY DIDN'T DO UNTIL . SO THIS JUST THOUGHT HE ILLEGALLY PUT US OUT ON THE STREETS AND STILL WAITED 2 WEEKS TO GIVE A DECISION AND GIVING US THE TRANSCRIPT TO GET IT READY FOR COURT.

DOES SIGNED AN WRIT FOR FOR RULING IN THEIR FAVOR AT THE SAME TIME HE SIGNED AN ANSWER TURNED IN ELECTRONICALLY TO OUR CIVIL LAWSUIT AND WE ARE TESTING EMAIL TO WHERE THERE'S VERY SAME LAW FIRM SAID THEY ARE NOT RETAINED BY THIS CLIENTS WHEN WE ASK THE CLIENT WHO THEIR LEGAL REPRESENTATION IS.

SHERIFF SHOWED UP AND SERVE THE WRIT AND CALL THE COURT ON SPEAKERPHONE FOR US BECAUSE THE SHERIFF'S DEPUTY THOUGHT IT SEEMED A LITTLE FUNNY BECAUSE PEOPLE NORMALLY AREN'T ABLE TO PAY THE SUPERSEDED BOND AND ACCORDING TO THE COURT CLERK SHE SAID THE JUDGE WENT BACK AND LISTENED TO THE CASE AND DIDN'T THINK THE VOICE IS THE PEOPLE THAT PAID THE SUPERSEDED BOND.

QUESTION? YOU DON'T GET TO SEE A JUDGE WHEN YOU PAID A SUPERSEDED BOND WE ARE THE VERY SAME FAMILY THAT PAID THE BOND FOR IDENTIFY THEIR SELF IN COURT AND THAT IS CALLED (DIVESTITURE OF JUSTICE) ONCE HE LEARNED WE FOUND A COMPLAINT APPEALING HIS EVICTION AND PAY THE SUPERSEDED BOND.

DIVESTITURE OF JUSTICE WHEN HE WENT BACK LOOK IN OUR CASE AND LISTEN TO THE TRANSCRIPT IN WHAT CASE DOES THE JUDGE GET TO GO BACK AND LISTEN TO A TRANSCRIPT WHEN YOU FOLLOW A JUDICIAL COMPLAINT OR FILE AN APPEAL AND IT'S TO BE TURNED OVER TO COURT HE THEN WENT BACK AND MADE AN EXCUSE ABOUT VOICES THAT HE HEARD SO WHERE DID HE GET THE IDEA THAT WE'RE NOT THE SAME PEOPLE IDENTIFIED IN COURT SO COURT CLERKS GET TO GO BACK AND TELL A JUDGE THE VOICES DON'T MATCH THE PEOPLE THAT APPEARED?

We had 14 days to gather our things and due to this judges negligence but him having immunity he has caused us to be put out onto the streets, to not have our things because the rule of law states that this client has showed that they are not in compliance and do not follow on a state law they did not allow or hold our things and we reached out to legal aid an attorney borrow try to help us get our things back and reminded the complex that they have violated ARS 33- 1370(F).