

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-386

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 16, 2025

The Complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge was dismissive in handing an appeal of an administrative hearing.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 16, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-386

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The misconduct occurred during and surrounding a hearing held on [] and is also reflected in the judges minute entry dated [] The matter involved a Notice of Appeal that was improperly filed by [] Twice officially and unofficially, a non-lawyer employee of [] School District, using my name ([]) as the Appellant, even though I never filed the appeal. This constitutes unauthorized practice of law. I filed a Motion to Strike based on this misconduct. At the hearing, Judge [] Referred to me as the Appellee, to which I been fighting all along and the reason I needed [] file as a motion to strike because I' m listed as he appellant. Failed to acknowledge that the appeal was void from inception due to being filed by a non-attorney. Dismissed my Motion to Strike as "moot" , after the district belatedly withdrew the appeal a few days before the hearing when they had more than [] months to do it knowing it was wrong, thereby avoiding any ruling on the serious procedural defect. Stated that the court caption was now correct and denied my motion, even though the original Notice of Appeal still falsely names me as Appellant, and the court later confirmed the record cannot be corrected. Displayed dismissive and unprofessional behavior during the hearing, including chuckling and stating, " [] " — a sarcastic comment that minimized my claim for unpaid wages and the stress caused by defending against a fraudulent legal filing. Judge [] conduct demonstrated a lack of impartiality, diligence, and judicial decorum. He failed to address a critical legal issue, allowed a defective and unauthorized filing to proceed, and dismissed my concerns as insignificant, despite acknowledging that the appeal had procedural flaws. This misconduct has caused me significant harm, including emotional distress, financial strain, and long-term damage to the court record. I request that the Commission investigate Judge [] conduct for violations of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, particularly: Canon 1 (promoting integrity and impartiality), Canon 2 (performing duties impartially and competently), Canon 2.8(B) (maintaining judicial dignity and professionalism). Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely,

Comp Attach 1

2025-386

