

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-393

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 2, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to take action against another judge and improperly denied Complainant's motion for change of judge against that other judge.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 2, 2025.

Comp

25-393

Attachments: [PDFNull22.pdf](#)

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Re: Complaint against

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#) | [Report](#)

Good afternoon,

I am sending in this and wish to add Judge supervisor to this complaint please see the following:

Please note that included to Judge complaint that she is running family court cases like they are criminal cases , I have seen several complaints re similar treatment including using Temporary orders status to skate past litigants right to due process of law.. Even if a law illegally states that they do not have to disclose why they are making an order, and include reference to evidence and deny hearings to argue.. It does not change that fact that this is a direct violation of their Oath of office and my constitutional rights, she has spent a lot of time arguing and refusing to tell me why she is doing what she has done and designing and dismissing verified claims of child abuse including molestation of my child allowed by the respondent (her friend and co-worker) these claims are backed by police reports and child interview statements... She is protecting her friend of years... I need the assistance and intervention.... She should be removed and the record in my case, Believe me is sufficient for you to assert that action.. Just read it and look at all the attached evidence and how the judge ignores most of everything I have done None of my pleadings are improper.. I am using all the same tools ya all are, including west law Ai and several others.... They are screwing around Further Judge has not been properly trained in family law spending her entire career prosecuting, defending and ruling on only major crimes.. she went straight from prosecuting murderers , Sex offenders to family court without re-training or actual supervision..

Judge

Systemic Impropriety, Supervisory Failures, Judicial Incompetence, and "Evil

Mind” Liability in Family Law Proceedings

Judge _____, as supervising judge over Judge _____ in the _____ County family court, is individually liable for a persistent and systemic pattern of improper conduct, dereliction of supervisory duties, failure to ensure adequate training in family law, and repeated violations of constitutional and professional standards. The record demonstrates that Judge _____ has denied 100% of all requests for judicial reassignment or reconsideration involving herself or any other judge, without ever providing a reasoned, impartial analysis or acknowledging the merits of the underlying claims. This unwavering pattern reveals a complete unwillingness to set aside personal or collegial interests in favor of the rights of litigants, resulting in the systematic denial of due process and the perpetuation of judicial bias.

Presiding over a family law case—especially those involving the custody and welfare of children—demands a fundamentally different judicial approach than criminal proceedings. Family law is consultative and equity-driven, with the paramount goal of preserving the child’s best interests and maintaining the family dynamic wherever possible. The judge’s role is not to punish or “pick on” a party, but to facilitate outcomes that protect the child’s relationship with both parents, ensure stability, and minimize harm. This requires specialized knowledge of family dynamics, child psychology, and the statutory and constitutional rights of parents and children. The Arizona

Court has repeatedly emphasized that the legitimacy of the judiciary in such matters depends on both actual and apparent impartiality, and on the judge’s ability to apply the law with sensitivity to the unique needs of families and children [22],[23].

Assigning a judge to family law matters who has spent more than a decade exclusively as a public defender, prosecutor, or criminal judge—without any meaningful retraining or redirection—creates a substantial risk of intentional or unconscious prejudice. The adversarial, punitive mindset of criminal law is fundamentally at odds with the consultative, child-centered approach required in family law. The failure to ensure that such judges are properly trained and oriented to the distinct goals and standards of family law constitutes a grave dereliction of duty by both the County and Judge _____ as supervisor. This is not a mere administrative oversight, but a violation that directly results in repeated procedural and substantive errors, to the detriment of litigants’ rights and the integrity of the judicial process [27].

Judge _____ conduct is not limited to isolated errors or mere negligence. Rather, it reflects a deliberate and knowing disregard for the requirements of judicial impartiality, the appearance of justice, and the fundamental obligation to ensure that all judges are competent in the law they are tasked to apply. The Arizona _____ Court has made clear that even the appearance of impropriety or bias undermines public confidence in the judiciary and constitutes conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice, warranting discipline up to and including removal from office [25],[27],[30]. Her failure to intervene or correct repeated violations—despite being on notice through multiple complaints—constitutes a breach of this duty and a violation of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct [25],[26],[27].

The “evil mind” standard under Arizona law is satisfied where a public official acts with conscious disregard for the rights of others or with an intent to cause harm. Judge _____ persistent refusal to provide meaningful review of recusal motions, her blanket denials of requests for new judges, her advocacy for the denial of litigants’ rights regardless of the merits, and her failure to ensure proper training and competence in family law, collectively demonstrate willful misconduct and a knowing abuse of judicial power. This conduct is not merely mistaken; it is arbitrary, capricious, and undertaken with a conscious indifference to the harm caused to litigants, including the deprivation of fundamental constitutional rights [22],[27],[30]. The record supports a finding that Judge _____ actions were motivated by personal or collegial loyalty rather than the impartial administration of justice, and that she has repeatedly violated her oath of office by placing her own interests, or those of her colleagues, above the law.

Arizona precedent confirms that such conduct—prejudicial to the administration of justice and bringing the judicial office into disrepute—justifies removal from office and the stripping of a judge’s license to practice law [25],[27],[30]. The _____ Court of Arizona has repeatedly held that persistent violations of due process, failure to provide reasoned decisions, disregard for the rights of litigants, and failure to ensure judicial competence are grounds for the most severe disciplinary sanctions, including public censure, suspension, and removal [25],[26],[27],[30]. The cumulative effect of Judge _____ misconduct has caused substantial and ongoing injury to claimants, including financial loss, emotional distress, and the deprivation of meaningful access to the courts.

Accordingly, Judge _____ is named in this notice of claim for her individual and supervisory liability, for conduct that meets the “evil mind” standard under Arizona law, and for repeated violations of constitutional, statutory, and professional standards, including the failure to ensure proper training in family law and the unique consultative role required in such cases. The County is hereby placed on notice that these actions expose it to significant compensatory and punitive damages, as detailed elsewhere in this notice. The claimants seek all available relief for injuries proximately caused by Judge _____ improper, unlawful, and malicious conduct, and

request that appropriate disciplinary authorities pursue her removal from office and revocation of her license to practice law.

This section is fully supported by controlling Arizona and federal authority on judicial conduct, due process, supervisory responsibility, the duty to ensure judicial competence, and the “evil mind” standard for punitive liability [22],[23],[25],[26],[27],[30]. If you require further tailoring to specific facts or additional statutory references, please provide those details.

References

- [22] Hurler v. Ryan 2011, 9th Cir., 650 F.3d 1301
- [23] Wolfson v. Concannon 2014, 9th Cir., 750 F.3d 1145
- [25] In re Rubi 1985, Ariz., 148 Ariz. 167
- [26] Matter of Loftus 1992, Ariz., 171 Ariz. 672
- [27] In re Lorona 1994, Ariz., 178 Ariz. 562
- [30] Matter of Goodfarb 1994, Ariz., 179 Ariz. 400

On _____, at _____, _____ wrote:

Hi.. Filling this today :

On _____, at _____, Commission on Judicial Conduct
<CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov> wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email.

We will add this information to your complaint against Judge _____ . Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct
<CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re: Complaint against

Hello,

Thank you!

I am filling this today... Attached below..

Than you

On _____, at _____, Commission on Judicial
Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email.

Our standard acknowledgment letter with additional
information will be forthcoming. Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct
<CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Cc:
Subject: Complaint against

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Complaint Against Judge

To the Members of the Commission:

I am submitting this complaint to urgently request your review of the attached materials regarding ongoing judicial misconduct in my family law case. I recognize the Commission's role is not to intervene in active litigation, but the circumstances here are so serious and unusual that immediate attention is warranted.

This case involves a network of individuals with longstanding personal and professional ties, including the presiding judge, her husband, my ex-wife, her attorney (), the court-appointed psychological evaluator, and her family members—all of whom have worked together for years. There are significant concerns about how Judge [Full Name] was assigned to my case, which had been dormant for years until my ex-wife suddenly filed a series of false claims.

Throughout these proceedings, I have repeatedly and specifically requested that the court address the following critical issues:

- The credible evidence that my son was violently molested while in my ex-wife's home, during a time when she failed to supervise him. The court did not merely ignore my allegations; it ignored the official police report and the investigator's interview of my child, both of which confirm that the molestation occurred and that the allegations are true.
- The physical attack against me, which has not been properly investigated or addressed by the court.
- The pattern of improper process, including apparent conflicts of interest and undisclosed relationships among the judge, her husband, the attorneys, and the psychological evaluator.
- The court's failure to consider or act upon clear evidence of perjury and fraud, including admitted perjury and fraud by the opposing parties in their filings and testimony.
- The court's disregard for official documentation and investigative findings that substantiate my claims and demonstrate the seriousness of the harm suffered by my child.

Despite my repeated efforts to bring these matters to the court's attention, my concerns have been ignored or dismissed. The attached pleadings and evidence document these violations in detail. I have not

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**