

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-403

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 22, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly excluded evidence regarding the defendant in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The allegations of the complaint in this matter solely raised disagreements with legal rulings. The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. "A good faith error of fact or law does not violate this rule. However, a pattern of legal error or an intentional disregard of the law may constitute misconduct." See comment 3 to Rule 2.2 of the Code of Judicial conduct. Any violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct must be proven by clear and convincing evidence.

A mistake in a ruling does not automatically mean that the judicial officer has engaged in judicial misconduct. Judicial officers are required to exercise their discretion in making their decisions. Even if a judicial officer's decision is legally or factually incorrect, the ruling is generally considered to be "legal error" and not "ethical misconduct" that the Commission can address. When a complaint to the Commission solely relates to the correctness of a judicial officer's legal decisions, the Complainant is seeking the Commission to function in the capacity of an appellate court. Correcting legal errors is the role of the appellate courts, not the Commission.

The Commission is a regulatory body with limited jurisdiction. The Commission is not a court, and as such, it does not have any authority to overturn a judicial officer's rulings, intervene in a case, assign a new judge to hear a case, or award any monetary or other relief. The Commission process cannot be a substitute for seeking appellate review.

The Commission reviewed all information submitted by the Complainant and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

A Duty Panel comprised of Commission members Regina L. Nassen, Scott H. O'Connor, and Christopher P. Staring considered this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 22, 2025.

2025-403

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Anybody there at the CJC that believes in transparency, accountability, and justice should not be able to wait to see what has been going on in this case.

Powerful, impactful, verdict determining evidence has been suppressed, withheld, gagged, and not permitted to enter the courtroom jurors of not one but now two of Governor ( ) appointees to the bench, Judge ( ) and now handed down to Judge ( ).

So, let's not pretend that politicized courtrooms have never existed, and influential people have never sold themselves out to protect Big Insurance, and screw over another registered ( ) plaintiff ( ), speaking out against these atrocities and improprieties that should not exist in our legal system.

Examining the details and connecting the dots will identify the corrupt players and the corrupt operatives that help protect their cog in the wheelhouse.

Let's just begin with one of six gag orders Judge ( ) imposed on the plaintiff, the sole injured party to this personal injury auto case, in this upcoming jury trial set for later this month. (A motion for a continuance will be filed soon, because the plaintiff recently became the target of domestic violence on ( ) and his abuser had been arrested, called him ( ) times from the jailhouse on a recorded line, then was later bailed out by a multi-millionaire paramour within ( ) hours of her arrest, and has been out on the loose since ( ).

The defendant ( ) in the months just prior to having slammed head-on into the plaintiff's stopped car in the universal turn lane, was convicted of leaving the scene of an accident and drug possession crimes. The teenaged defendant quickly put it in reverse, repeating his past behavior and conviction by leaving the scene of an accident, but was chased by plaintiff who called 911 to report the crime and the accident. The teenager was cited 100% at-fault.

This significant, relevant, applicable piece of evidence to this civil case is as powerful as exculpatory evidence is to a criminal case. But is forbidden to reach the eyes, the ears, and all the senses and the brains of each juror. This one example of many to be uncovered behind all these gag orders makes this case hopeless for the plaintiff regardless of who hears it because all the relevant and impactful evidence is not allowed to be disclosed in the courtroom to the jurors.

This case needs to be thoroughly investigated including the conduct of every attorney who touched this

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:**  **Judge's Name:**

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

THIS IS ONE OF PERHAPS SEVERAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THIS JUDGE DEPENDING ON HOW RECEPTIVE CJC IS TO THIS INITIAL COMPLAINT.



**Case Information**

Case Type: Criminal Location:

**Party Information**

Party Name - Number	Relationship	Sex	Attorney	Judge	Case #
State Of Arizona - (1)	Plaintiff	N/A	COUNTY ATTORNEY CRIMINAL - CCC,		
- (2)	Defendant	M	Public Defender-, Ccc		
Clerk Of The Court - (3)	In The Matter Of	N/A	To Be Determined		

**Disposition Information**

Party Name	Description	Crime Date	ARSCode	Date
	DRUG PARAPHERNALIA-POSSESS/USE			13-3415A (F6)
Disposition Code	Dismissed - Plea Other	Disposition	Dismissed Due To Plea On Other Count	
Party Name	Description	Crime Date	ARSCode	Date
	FAIL TO STAY/ACCID/ATTEND VEH			28-662A2 (M2)
Disposition Code	Pled Guilty As Charged	Disposition	Pled Guilty As Charged	
Party Name	Description	Crime Date	ARSCode	Date
	MARIJUANA-POSSESS/USE			13-3405A1 (M1)
Disposition Code	Pled to Reduced Charge	Disposition	Pled Guilty To Reduced Charge	

**Case Documents**

Filing Date	Description	Docket Date	Filing Party
NOTE:	IRF - Interest Request Form - Party (001) Interest Request Form		
NOTE:	CRR - Criminal Restitution Order - Party (001) APD Forms		
NOTE:	ODP - Order of Discharge of Probation - Party (001) COUNT 3		
NOTE:	ODP - Order of Discharge of Probation - Party (001) COUNT 1		
NOTE:	DRE - Disposition Report - Party (001) SOP - Sentencing Order - Probation - Party (001)		
NOTE:	SOP - Sentencing Order - Probation PSD - PSR Supporting Documents - Party (001)		
NOTE:	PSR Supporting Documents TOP - Terms and Conditions Of Probation - Party (001)		
NOTE:	COUNT 3 Terms and Conditions of Probation TCP - Terms & Conditions Of Probation/Money Ordered - Party (001)		
NOTE:	COUNT 1 Terms & Conditions of Probation/Money Ordered NRR - Notice Of Rights - Party (001)		
NOTE:	Notice of Right of Review 102 - ME: Waiver Prelim Hrg/Plea - Party (001) WWP - Waiver With a Plea - Party (001)		
NOTE:	INF - Information - Party (001) INFORMATION		
NOTE:	OTC - Order to Continue - Party (001) DCO - Direct Complaint - Party (001) CID - Court Information Sheet - Party (001) ROC - Release Order/Order Regarding Counsel - Party (001)		
NOTE:	IA Release Order IAD - Initial Appearance Document - Party (001)		
NOTE:	IA Packet		

**Case Calendar**

Date	Time	Event
		Initial Appearance
		Status Conference
		Status Conference
		Original Arraignment Hearing
		Preliminary Hearing
		Preliminary Hearing
		Sentencing

**Attachments:** [CJC completed.pdf](#)  
[Plaintiff JPS.pdf](#)  
[Response Limine.pdf](#)

---

**From:**  
**Sent:**  
**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Fw: Complaint Against County Court Judge

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Anybody there at the CJC that believes in transparency, accountability, and justice should not be able to wait to see what has been going on in this case.

Powerful, impactful, verdict determining evidence has been suppressed, withheld, gagged, and not permitted to enter the courtroom jurors of not one but now two of Governor ( ) appointees to the bench, Judge ( ) and now handed down to Judge ( ).

So, let's not pretend that politicized courtrooms have never existed, and influential people have never sold themselves out to protect Big Insurance, and screw over another registered , plaintiff ( , speaking out against these atrocities and improprieties that should not exist in our legal system.

Examining the details and connecting the dots will identify the corrupt players and the corrupt operatives that help protect their cog in the wheelhouse.

Let's just begin with one of six gag orders Judge imposed on the plaintiff, the sole injured party to this personal injury auto case, in this upcoming jury trial set for later this month. (A motion for a continuance will be filed soon, because the plaintiff recently became the target of domestic violence on and his abuser had been arrested, called him ( ) times from the jailhouse on a recorded line, then was later bailed out by a multi-millionaire paramour within hours of her arrest, and has been out on the loose since .)

The defendant in the months just prior to having slammed head-on into the plaintiff's stopped car in the universal turn lane, was convicted of leaving the scene of an accident and drug possession crimes. The teenaged defendant quickly put it in reverse, repeating his past behavior and conviction by leaving the scene of an accident, but was chased

by plaintiff who called 911 to report the crime and the accident. The teenager was cited 100% at-fault.

This significant, relevant, applicable piece of evidence to this civil case is as powerful as exculpatory evidence is to a criminal case. But is forbidden to reach the eyes, the ears, and all the senses and the brains of each juror. This one example of many to be uncovered behind all these gag orders makes this case hopeless for the plaintiff regardless of who hears it because all the relevant and impactful evidence is not allowed to be disclosed in the courtroom to the jurors.

This case needs to be thoroughly investigated including the conduct of every attorney who touched this case involved in the alteration, destruction, and the suppression of evidence in order to sabotage this case for the plaintiff.

---

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:** [cjc@courts.az.gov](mailto:cjc@courts.az.gov) <[cjc@courts.az.gov](mailto:cjc@courts.az.gov)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Complaint Against                      County                      Court Judge

Commission on Judicial Conduct,

Please accept the three (3) enclosed attachments to this electronic communication.

Thank you in advance for your tireless efforts to eradicate corruption in our legal system.

Sincerely,

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**