

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-406

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 16, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge suppressed one of the Rule 11 reports and tried the case in absentia in a mental health hearing in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 16, 2025.

2025-406

Dear Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct;

First and foremost may I thank you for actually taking the time to read my letter to

you,

I am writing to you about a fresh complaint against "Judicial Officer

My complaint concerns the events of

Arizona time in _____ at _____ County

at around _____ Court. The conduct was

Witnessed by _____ County Deputy Attorney;

County Sheriff's

Office Detention Officers _____ and _____

County Legal Defender

and via Zoom Conference call;

From

_____ my fiancé and accuser;

my ex;

an OTO pedophile.

withdrew as counsel and I objected to the deliberate suppression

of a psychiatric report from _____

in blatant disregard for Arizona Rules

of Criminal Procedure 11.4.

"Rule 11.4 Disclosure of experts' reports.

(a) Reports of appointed experts under rule 11.3.

(1) Deadlines. An expert appointed under Rule 11.3, or under A.R.S. § 13-4505, must submit a report to the court no later than 10 business days after the expert's examination is completed. The expert must inform the court if the report cannot be made available at least 7 days before the scheduled hearing.

(2) Availability. An expert's report completed under Rule 11.3 must be made available to the examined defendant and the State, except that any statement by the defendant about the charged offense or any other charged or uncharged offense (or any summary of such a statement) may be made available only to the defendant. Upon receipt, court staff will copy and provide the expert's report to the court and defense counsel. Defense counsel is responsible for editing a copy of the report for the State. Defense counsel must provide the edited report to court staff to be made available to the State no later than 3 days after receiving the unedited report.

(b) Reports of other experts. For any other mental health expert who has personally examined the defendant or any evidence in connection with the case to determine competence or the defendant's mental status at the time of the offense, the defendant and the State must disclose to each other at least 15 business days before any Rule 11.5 hearing:

- (1) the expert's name, address, and qualifications;
- (2) the results of any mental examinations, scientific tests, experiments, or comparisons conducted on the defendant or on any evidence in the case by or on the behalf of the mental health expert; and
- (3) any written report or statement in connection with the case or if the expert will testify without preparing a written report, a summary of the general subject matter and opinions on which the expert is expected to testify.

