

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-411

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

January 6, 2026

The Complainant alleged a city court commissioner engaged in ex parte communication in a protective order case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 6, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-411

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The judge engaged in ex-parte communications with the plaintiff prior to the hearing. stated to the judge, " " and she and Judge gave each other a look like I was a terrible person for monetizing " " I didn't know what " ' was at the time, however, I know for sure that the judge should not have understood what it meant if he was impartial. I should not have been the only person in that courtroom left out of the secret of what " ' is. When was he told? " ' was never discussed while I was in the courtroom, which means it was discussed without me, which means ex-parte communications took place. Please view/listen to the recording of the hearing towards the end. I have come to understand now that I have pending civil litigation, and role was to get a public record on me to use to make me look like a lunatic and discredit me for the lawsuit. I have been put in multiple, illegal setups to make me look like a harasser and a " ' to justify the former employees' claims that I provided a hostile work environment and harassed them in the workplace. There is fraud and abuse all over this. These people are liars, and the fact that the judge took part in " ' means he should lose his ability to practice law. This whole thing is so corrupt and illegal.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: **Judge's Name:**

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.