

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-412

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 22, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings related to a competency evaluation in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The allegations of the complaint in this matter solely raised disagreements with legal rulings. The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. "A good faith error of fact or law does not violate this rule. However, a pattern of legal error or an intentional disregard of the law may constitute misconduct." *See* comment 3 to Rule 2.2 of the Code of Judicial conduct. Any violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct must be proven by clear and convincing evidence.

A mistake in a ruling does not automatically mean that the judicial officer has engaged in judicial misconduct. Judicial officers are required to exercise their discretion in making their decisions. Even if a judicial officer's decision is legally or factually incorrect, the ruling is generally considered to be "legal error" and not "ethical misconduct" that the Commission can address. When a complaint to the Commission solely relates to the correctness of a judicial officer's legal decisions, the Complainant is seeking the Commission to function in the capacity of an appellate court. Correcting legal errors is the role of the appellate courts, not the Commission.

The Commission is a regulatory body with limited jurisdiction. The Commission is not a court, and as such, it does not have any authority to overturn a judicial officer's rulings, intervene in a case, assign a new judge to hear a case, or award any monetary or other relief. The Commission process cannot be a substitute for seeking appellate review.

The Commission reviewed all information submitted by the Complainant and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

A Duty Panel comprised of Commission members Regina L. Nassen, Scott H. O'Connor, and Christopher P. Staring considered this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 22, 2025.

Comp

Attachments: Adarr Letter .txt
MINUTE-ENTRIES 57421643.PDF
MINUTE-ENTRIES 58188237.PDF
Screenshot .png
Screenshot .png
png
spcts 280.pdf
pdf

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct
<CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Cc:

Subject: Re: general not in compliance with rule 11.4 Ariz. Crim proc.

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

and CJC,

Lodged the complaint online with supporting documents with regarding
a judge and psychologist in cahoots not complying with rule 11.4 - not furnishing
taxpayer paid for assessments to the defendant who was tried in absentia last
with the lawyer representing the national socialist without his
consent... Send me a full copy of the file when you send me withdrawal
documents as requested by .

The motive for doing this is because report exposes the judge et all at
the guidance center doing cruel and unusual punishment as delusions can't be treated
with forced medication they are so excited when they talk about using them.

Also of less interest.

Also I'm doing a second complaint slam dunk against repeating her
previous crimes again against a police corruption whistleblower. See document
attached well she did it again in the case from | His defense

counsel is corrupt and doesn't want to act in his interest so I will in a forthcoming CJC complaint identical to the substantiated one attached.

Both cases including the one you got are slam dunk convictions.

not in compliance with rule 11.4 Ariz. Crim proc. He hasn't given his assessment to the court and the defendant after 10 days of completing assessment in the case in county. The lawyer may have lied to him he was hired under repealed clause 6.7 for expert witness, but court transcripts will show it was ordered under rule 11 proceedings. Accept my complaint or provide complaint forms. You must respond. You didn't last time. Failure to respond will be brought up with your name at the next public meeting. I also require a copy of quitting the case due to a conflict of interest. mailed your office the documentation with explicit instructions to make them available to me so I may assist. Your mail was blocked from being received at the jail due to the federal crime of mail theft reported here. You must follow up with Uspis.

So you need to send again and mark legal mail. If you don't care about corrupt officials obstructing your boards functions you will be exposed at the next public meeting. I ask that mail theft obstructing board function be added to the next public meeting agenda. statement of withdrawal is required by the judicial complaints commission. Your noncompliance with records request to give me a copy will be prosecuted as destruction of government records in a private prosecution against your investigative officer if you do not comply.

Nb: we now have intelligence that gave a favourable assessment, and counsel was threatened by a government agency the day after I sent my email about child sex ritual metzitzah b'peh being supported by the board and in chief. It can't be buried. Also he couldn't find anything wrong with after the first meeting and he came back and made some admissions he didn't meet the definition of seriously mentally ill required for rule 11.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From:

Sent:

To:

Cc:

Subject: not in compliance with rule 11.4 Ariz. Crim proc.

He hasn't given his assessment to the court and the defehdent after 10 days of completing assessment in the _____ case in _____ county. The lawyer may have lied to him he was hired under repealed clause 6.7 for expert witness, but court transcripts will show it was ordered under rule 11 proceedings.

Accept my complaint or provide complaint forms. You must respond. You didn't last time. Failure to respond will be brought up with your name at the next public meeting.

fax and voice.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Attachments: [Screenshot](#) [.png](#)
[.png](#)
[Adarr](#) [Letter .txt](#)
[spcts 280.pdf](#)
[.pdf](#)

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Cc:

Subject: Re: not in compliance with rule 11.4 Ariz. Crim proc.

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

I am preparing a proper submission to your commission to be signed sealed and delivered by fax and email. Use this email to justify a preliminary injunction and a stay of proceedings until CJC investigation of Mr pending complaint and and too against further harm happening to and among other sane people facing rule 11 court ordered force medication. Remember and is in non-compliance with rule 11.4 regarding not presenting the assessment of to the court. My future complaint will also accuse at justice court in doing unlawful detention from to of in a case that had no charges filed when the initial appearance before was on but wasn't allowed to go to court for his initial appearance and only found out stalked him from one court to another thanks to my research.

link below that tweet.

He didn't know was his judge at justice court before that call was made.

I welcome your correspondence. I only ask that you consider putting an injunction on and acting improper and doing cruel and unusual punishment of force medication on my friend, whilst the

formal complaint is being prepared.

It will be similar in nature to the previous one against [redacted]. Also [redacted] was also illegally force medicated by [redacted] and [redacted] just as your commission found [redacted] to be. I ask that [redacted] Liberty be restored and further harm be apprehended whilst I am preparing the formal complaint up to your standards.

This is history repeating...

Here is [redacted] statement that will be notorized next [redacted] if he is not incapacitated by the corrupt state of Arizona.

Begin the correspondence delivered to me by [redacted] from [redacted], I also have a forensic backup in the form of a JSON export from my accounts that keep being banned by the corrupt jail that blocks legal mail to head off complaints against the [redacted] before they can begin. My old accounts were terminated and the [redacted] blocked all outgoing going calls from the jail last week for all residents of [redacted] pod in the jail. They just terminated my 4th [redacted] account.

[redacted] is [redacted]. I cannot dig back and find the date [redacted] got moved, bleeding into cell [redacted]. IIRC I was [redacted] ish!? I'm guessing tho. Can you do your .json text mining algorithm magic?

Thanks.

The first time I met [redacted] was in around the third week of [redacted]. I was housed in [redacted] pod, upstairs in cell [redacted]. I was on administrative segregation at the time. I was only permitted out of my cell for one hour during the day. I heard a lot of shouting saying "GESTAPO!!! POLICE BRUTALITY!!! GESTAPO!!!"

About a dozen or so [redacted] County Sheriff's Deputies hauled this man into the cell next door to me in cell [redacted]. He was bleeding out of his nose and his wrists.

When it was my hour out, I tried to jot down as many details as I could and I felt it was the right thing to do to call it in with outside help because [redacted] County were clearly abusing this old man. I got his date of birth:

I tried to give him some phone numbers and some addresses to write to but he told me his eyes were bad, the jail did not provide him with his glasses or contact lenses to see properly and he still had a headache from where the police "slammed him."

Even when it was not my hour out, I was able to talk to him by yelling through the crack of the cell door. [redacted] had told me he was a licensed [redacted].

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**