

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-415

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

January 23, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased, failed to hold mother accountable, and allowed the Best Interest Attorney to run the courtroom in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown, Colleen E. Concannon, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 23, 2026.

2025-415

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Order of Protection hearing. This is the first time I was in front of Judge . In this hearing mother did not show up so the case was dismissed. Judge stated he was also taking over my custody case from this point forward. When asked about it, he was very assertive in telling me not to concern myself with the judge change. This order was the only hold up from kids being reunited with father and Judge still refused to let father have contact.

Hearing: It was ordered that the children are to see a therapist. Mother claims that she could not find anyone to take on the case during litigation. Father had found several people willing to see the children. I contacted , the BIA, via email multiple times with contact info for therapist for those willing to see the kids. The judge never followed through with this and the children ultimately were not in therapy for another year. At this point, I was able to get them scheduled with a therapist and set up all paperwork for the kids. Mother was given the opportunity to do so several times but refused to set them up. was the first visit therapist for the children, months after the court order. Judge never held mother accountable or uphold his own order.

Hearing: TI Reunification was ordered and all parties agreed to this order. Father was ordered to see to determine if there were any validity to mothers claims of sexual misbehaviors. Judge stated to father that I should fast track this in so that the kids could get their father back in their lives as quickly as possible. This program cost father over and the judge never enforced his own court order. As the process continued, mother refused to follow court orders and bring the children in for the TI visits with father. Judge supported this behavior from mother and did not enforce the reunification. Reunification never happened.

Judge did not follow any of the state requirements for parenting time, child support, or visitation. Judge did not allow for expert witnesses from father that were in the best interest of the children. In fact, none of father's expert witnesses were allowed. Judge did not have control over his courtroom and allowed the Best Interest Attorney, to control the outcome.

Judge stated that he believed all parties were lying. He stated that there was no evidence to support mothers claims against father. Judge did not allow for a fair and unbiased trial. Judge never held mother accountable for her actions or made mother follow court orders. Judge allowed for mother to withhold extremely vital information from her own psychological evaluation. Judge allowed mother to mislead the 3 minor children in the case to believe their father was dead. Judge ordered father into a psycho sexual evaluation even though no charges were ever filed against father for said allegations. Father did everything that Judge ordered and when father completed everything, he was still denied visitation. Father tested out as no risk to the children, never violated a court order, like mother did, and still denied visitation. Judge also used his position to allow mother access to fathers personal and business assets even though this was not a divorce case, only custody case. Judge is a former prosecutor and appears to allow unsubstantiated claims as fact, with no evidence to back up false claims. Judge disregarded all forms filed for child support and "decided" that I made times the income than I actually make, regardless of provided statements of fact.

We are at an all time high for misconduct of judges and false allegations against fathers. Judges should be held to a higher standard and should be supporting equal parenting time for both parents. Judge is clearly not in the best interest for families or children and should not be presiding over family court case

