

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-431

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 2, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was rude and did not follow the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 2, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025 - 431

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

MY EXPERIENCE WITH JUDGE _____ IS THAT HE DOES NOT LISTEN TO UNDERSTAND AND ONLY TO RESPOND AND ESSENTIALLY TELL YOU " _____ ' OR " _____
" HE DOES NOT TAKE THE TIME TO LISTEN TO YOUR CONCERNS AND IS RUSHING TO GET TO THE NEXT CASE. HE ALSO SUBMITTED AN ORDER IN WHICH I WAS ORDERED TO PAY AN AMOUNT IN CHILD SUPPORT THAT WAS INCORRECT DUE TO NOT REQUESTING PROOF OF PAYMENTS FROM MOTHER AND ALSO BELIEVING HER WHEN PETITIONER TOLD HIM I DID NOT PROVIDE FOR MY OTHER _____ CHILDREN.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:
IN _____ OF _____ PETITIONER CLAIMED TO PAY _____ IN DAYCARE EXPENSES AND PROVIDED NO DOCUMENTATION OR PROOF OF PAYMENTS. AS A RESULT OF HER CLAIMS THE JUDGE ORDERED ME TO PAY 51% OF THOSE FEES.

I ALSO MENTIONED TO THE JUDGE I HAD _____ OTHER CHILDREN WHOM I HAD 50% CUSTODY OF IN CASE _____ AND THEY REFUSED TO GIVE ME CREDIT FOR THEM WHEN CALCULATING CHILD SUPPORT.

I TRIED SPEAKING UP WHEN I NOTICED THEY WERE NOT GIVING ME CREDIT FOR THEM WHEN CALCULATING CHILD SUPPORT, BUT I WAS TOLD THAT'S WHAT IT WAS GOING TO BE AND MY INPUT WAS NO LONGER NEEDED.

IN _____ OF _____ I DISCOVERED MOTHER MISLED JUDGE _____ WHEN SHE SAID SHE WAS PAYING _____ A MONTH FOR DAYCARE. IT TURNS OUT SHE WAS GETTING STATE ASSISTANCE AND WAS PAYING A VERY MINIMAL AMOUNT.

I WAS TOLD BY JUDGE _____ DURING A HEARING THAT IF I WANTED TO BE HEARD ON IT THAT I WOULD HAVE TO FILE A MOTION TO RECEIVE CREDIT/REIMBURSEMENT FOR OVERPAYMENT; SO I DID. ON _____ I HAD MY HEARING AND I WAS TOLD BY JUDGE _____ I WOULD SHOULD'VE FILED SOMETHING SOONER.

I DO NOT UNDERSTAND THIS BECAUSE FOR ONE; I SPOKE UP DURING MY HEARING IN REGARDS TO MY OTHER THREE KIDS AND TWO; I JUST RECENTLY DISCOVERED MOTHER'S LIES.

