

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-437

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

January 6, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court hearing officer failed to follow court procedure in a protective order matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 6, 2026.

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re:

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

My full name is

The hearing date was @

On Commission on Judicial Conduct

<CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov> wrote:

Hello:

In order to process your complaint, please provide your full name. If you would like to provide us with a mailing address, we will utilize that. Otherwise, we will send all correspondence to this email address. Also, if you can provide us with the date of the hearing(s) you are referencing in your complaint, that would be helpful to our investigation. Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Cc:
Subject:

I am writing to file a complaint against hearing officer .

is not a judge, has only been appointed a hearing officer for a little over months and is already making up her own rules in the court room with complete disregard for the law.

In addition to error of law, this case also includes perjury, entrapment, a gross violation of my rights and is basically retaliation.

I am being retaliated upon because I am in contact and corresponding with the administration regarding the illegal, perverted misuse of invisible drone technology and nano technologies provided to law enforcement agencies for surveillance purposes.

The agencies (may be one agency) that receive this technology, then give it to families, individuals and/or juveniles to obtain footage of unsuspecting people and children in their homes, showering, changing, using the bathroom, being intimate, etc without their knowledge or consent. The footage is then uploaded to the dark web for profit.

I refer to these people that obtain the footage with the technology provided to them by law enforcement as the boots on the ground and/or creepers for cash.

I had previously contacted the under a different administration, in regard to the perverted misuse of the technologies. It was then, that I was retaliated upon by the dishonorable , a and officers.

Via error of law and blatant lies, dishonorable , & attempted to have me committed to a mental institution against my will. At the very least they wanted to give me a mental health diagnosis to discredit my reports about corrupt law enforcement.

officer drove me to the mental institution and taunted me the whole way there. Officer was laughing and repeatedly stating that he could not wait for me to find out what they were really doing to me. Like these people themselves, their plan to have me committed was a complete and total failure.

I was recently served an injunction against harassment by (referred to from here on out as) who is involved in the criminal activity of receiving and abusing the technology for profit.

purchased the home next door to me, approximately years ago. My home was being broken into and I was being exploited (by boots on the ground,) prior to becoming my neighbor so I had no reason to suspect him. then had a young skinny male move into his home. At that time, this individual drove a , plate (but no longer owns this truck. I am told he drives a now but do not know if that is true) and would park it next to my fence every day before entering home. I do not know this individuals name but will refer to him as from here on out.

One night I heard a loud pop but did not know what it was. The next day I realized my skylight had been broke. It looked like a knee or a hand had popped a hole through it. I had to have a new skylight installed.

A couple weeks later I heard the same popping noise. This time, I knew it was the skylight breaking. I immediately went outside, just in time to see leave my property (obviously just coming off my roof) and going over my fence, into yard and then into residence via the back door.

I documented the incident with the police but because of my prior experience with corrupt police like officer (det and det), I did not expect an investigation. Instead I put barbed wire on my fence and along my roof line.

After putting up my barbed wire, I could tell by new damage to my property, that was coming over a different part of my block wall that can only be accessed from back yard. I made huge signs and laid them on the ground so would see them once he was in my yard. Those signs stated things like " , " , " , " , just to name a few things.

It was after that, that I no longer seen truck at . I figured moved out after putting himself in the spotlight and on my radar by all the damage he caused to my home and property accessing it for exploitation purposes as well as being seen by me after breaking my skylight the 2nd time.

When asked about the individual, and his wife denied (to me and under oath) knowing anybody that fit the description of or his truck.

The fact is, himself paid cash to seed my home with the nano technology/nano comets. Yes, was receiving money from to break

into my home and exploit me . This is when the issues began between [redacted] and I. [redacted] repeatedly told me I should move and started aggressively harassing friends of mine in my driveway, talking about child pornography to one of my friends. It scared her.

It is not only what I mention above that leads me to the conclusion that [redacted] and [redacted] are boots on the ground, creeping for cash with technology provided to them by law enforcement. There is other evidence that I have provided to agencies outside of Arizona and will leave it at that.

So [redacted] knows that I know he is a creepy criminal and retaliated by filing a (ridiculous & weak) injunction of harassment.

In [redacted] petition, page 2 of 2 the court papers clearly state "[redacted]".

I requested a hearing. And at that hearing, [redacted] had added multiple issues/exhibits that per the court documents themselves, should not have been allowed as part of the hearing. These additions were not included in [redacted] original petition. But hearing officer [redacted] allowed the additional items to be included.

Did I miss something? Isn't there a process if the plaintiff adds additional information? Why wasn't that process followed? [redacted] cannot just show up with additional info at the hearing. But that is exactly what happened. And [redacted] allowed it. This woman has absolutely no business being in a position to give a judgment for anything as she herself does not follow the laws or... did [redacted] have the intent to rule against me from the beginning because here, the courts are used in retaliation. Just like what the dishonorable [redacted] attempted.

I came prepared to address 7 very specific statements [redacted] made in his original petition. I did not have the information or the time to adequately respond to multiple items that never should have been included. This is a violation of my rights.

One was a letter written by an individual who was not there, could not be questioned and/or cross examined and there was no proof at all the the letter was even legitimate. Why was this letter allowed?

Hearing officer [redacted] asked me directly if I would allow these items to be admitted. I said " [redacted]".

I objected to all items brought up after the fact to being admitted. But [redacted] allowed all of the additional information to be included without following the legal process for such information. Why did [redacted] even ask me if she was gonna do what she wanted anyway, which is disregard my objection and disregard the Law?

Also hearing officer [redacted] remained in the courtroom discussing the case with [redacted] after the hearing was (supposedly) over and I had left the courtroom. Is that acceptable protocol? Is that ethical? Are hearing officers allowed to dismiss one party and stay chit-chatting about the case with the other party?

I would also like to take this opportunity to have documented the fact that selection of pictures of my signs he submitted to the court were quite selective. [redacted] left one sign out.

It was a sign I wrote after I was in my backyard and [redacted] was harassing me. I got a marker and wrote... " [redacted] " and held it up over my wall so he could see it. [redacted] went ballistic. He started yelling (presumably to his wife), " [redacted] ! [redacted] ! [redacted] ! ".

We've all seen that episode of the TV show Cops, where a person is accused of something and because they are guilty, they totally flip out. That was [redacted] in a nutshell.

[redacted] also printed out the text messages that were unlawfully added AFTER his initial petition. But [redacted] did NOT print out the text message that were in his original petition. That is because that text i accidentally sent his wife contained information about nano technologies, specifically nano comets and how they exploit people and profit with them. That is why [redacted] did not print out that particular text exhibit.

[redacted] also made the complaint that I came out of my house singing derogatory statements to him and his wife. During the hearing [redacted] elaborated on his claim. [redacted] stated that he and his wife were working on their travel trailer one night. He said he heard glass break at my house and my garage door opened and I took my recyclables can to the curb while singing " [redacted] ".

I have not seen their travel trailer in their yard for almost a year so I had to think back to that time. I have several friends who have a band and were practicing at my house every week around that time. They sing a song about suicide but the song does not

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**