

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-438

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 11, 2026

The Complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace signed an order without giving the other side a chance to respond in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 11, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025 - 438

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On _____ filed a motion with the _____ municipal court against _____ a man acting in his private capacity, to obtain an extension of a previous court order.

The very next day, _____ Acting administrative judge _____ signed the order requested by _____

_____ was given no notice and no opportunity to respond. This goes against the notion of fairness and due process and is supposed to know better.

_____ has shown bias in this situation and should have recused himself immediately. Even if he can prove he doesn't have a conflict of interest he should be aware of due process and uphold the rights of everyone in any situation.

I believe sanctions are due to _____

Please investigate this situation and act accordingly.

Thank you.