

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-447

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 16, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his due process rights to be heard in an administrative complaint against a process server.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 16, 2025.

Comp

25-447

Subject: Judicial Complaint Against Judge

This is a judicial complaint for misconduct by Judge _____ of the _____ Court of Arizona for _____ County.

I filed a complaint against an Arizona process server who defrauded me. The process for initiating a complaint is administrative in nature, involving sending an email to an address affiliated with the Clerk of Court.

Somehow my complaint ended up with Judge _____ He dismissed it without conducting any type of hearing or investigation that involved determining the facts and allowing the complainant to be heard. This is a major violation of my procedural rights.

Some time after sending my email, I simply received "Dismissal of Complaint" signed by Judge _____. A copy is attached. Apparently he contacted the process server I complained about.

But he just accepted everything the process server said at face value, and completely ignored or discounted everything I complained about. Moreover, he did not allow me to be heard, or afford any procedural rights. If a trial or finding of facts was to be conducted, I had a right to be heard and present my side of the story.

Of course he did this because he is completely lazy. This is very typical of Arizona government officials- it is a culture of supreme laziness and arrogance. They don't get paid any more or less to do their job or not do it. There is no upside in actually doing their job. There is no reason to put in the hours. Judge _____ gets paid the same either way, so he may as well dismiss every complaint without doing any work.

As negligent and lazy as Judge [redacted] "Dismissal of Complaint was," it is especially alarming that Judge [redacted] dismissal contains perjury. Put simply, Judge [redacted] lied. He made a false statement.

Paragraph 3 of the Dismissal of Complaint states ""

.."

I have absolutely no idea what Judge [redacted] is talking about. I never said anything to that effect. I never " [redacted] " with anything. I was never asked anything that would give me the opportunity to make such a statement. No investigation or hearing was conducted that would even allow me to make such a statement.

How can Judge [redacted] say " [redacted] " when nobody ever contacted me or spoke with me? What on earth is he talking about? On what basis does he claim I " [redacted] .."? How can Judge [redacted] make such a bizarre statement?

When Judge [redacted] says " [redacted] " he is making that up out of whole cloth. That is perjury. It is a false official statement. I never said anything to that effect, was never given any opportunity to say anything to that effect, and Judge [redacted] has no basis for stating that I did.

The Dismissal also contains falsehoods in another regard. In Point 1 Judge [redacted] states that my complaint involved the process server "

" I don't know what this is in reference to. I did not describe any service targets as " [redacted] ' They were home addresses and not " [redacted] " This point is phony because it seeks to create a false impression that I conceded that some service targets were " [redacted] ' I never did. They are home addresses where you just walk up and serve them, and there is nothing particularly difficult about that. There were no gated communities or overseas addresses or anything that would be considered

" " And even if there was, the process server would have had a duty to inform me about that early in the process, so that alternate arrangements could be made- not wait until the very night before the returns of service were due with the court, then print off a bunch of bogus failure to serve affidavits.

Put simply, Judge _____ lied.

The court also displayed administrative negligence in this matter. The Court did not even respond to my complaint when I emailed it to them per their email procedures. They completely ignored it. They didn't even acknowledge receipt of my complaint.

Then some time after I sent it, I called them to ask what was going on. A short time after that, I received this curt dismissal letter.

Clearly they just ignored my complaint when it was emailed to them. Then when I called them up, they rushed out a quick "Dismissal Order" out.

This whole experience has been very deceptive, dishonest, and degrading. Why would anyone even bother filing complaints to your corrupt, incompetent Court?

For the record I object to this phony "ruling" and I wish to appeal it.

/s/ _____

COPY

Clerk of the Court
*** Filed ***

COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

CLERK OF THE COURT

HONORABLE

Deputy

IN RE THE MATTER OF

PROCESS SERVER #

DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT

The Court has reviewed and considered the Complaint and supplement filed by
against Process Server

THE COURT FINDS as follows:

1. alleges that failed to properly attempt to serve numerous sets of documents, ignoring any difficult service targets and failing to properly communicate about the efforts made to serve.
2. responded, stating that these are indeed difficult targets, that he made multiple attempts, and was unsuccessful; provided the affidavits showing multiple service attempts. Many such affidavits indicate that he was given incorrect address information.
3. appears to agree that these targets would require more effort, but does not provide information suggesting that should have been able to serve them.
4. There is no probable cause to support the Complaint.

Good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED dismissing the complaint. This matter is closed.