

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-448

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

January 6, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly condoned the opposing party's trespass on Complainant's land to take photos for the defense of the case. Complainant also alleges the judge did not properly interpret a word in the covenants, conditions, and restrictions requirements in a homeowner's association case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Colleen E. Concannon, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 6, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-448

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: trustee of Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

#1. Judge ruled against me, the plaintiff, (going pro per, as he case was very simple). The defendant had to illegally trespass onto my property, per CCRs, to take the photos she used in her defense. This was pointed out in my motion to reconsider denial of motion to vacate. In other words, Judge condoned illegal trespassing to come to his final decision. Is this legal or ethical? My Motion should have been granted because of this illegal trespassing. I assume one cannot illegally trespass to obtain photos for his/her case defense.

#2. Judge did not appear to understand the English word, "used". I "used" the proper color stone in my back yard, just not completely, or exclusively, as there is no written requirement to do so. That was also ignored. Judge ruled I must use a certain color granite exclusively, even though there ws no written requirement to do so. the requirement was "must be used in the backyard". the words "Exclusively" or "completely" are not in the written CCR requirements. It appeared, all along, he was biased against me because I went pro per.