

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-458

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

December 23, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace failed to follow the law in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 23, 2025.

# Judicial Complaint Against Hon. Judge

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington St., Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Comp

25-458

## Complainant:

, sui juris  
Care-of Address  
UCC 1-308 Without Prejudice – All Rights Reserved

## Judge Complained Of:

Hon.  
Justice Court

I, , submit this Judicial Complaint against Judge for violations of judicial ethics, abuse of discretion, fraud upon the court, and willful violations of constitutional rights under color of law.

### 1. Issuing Injunctive Orders Without Evidence

Judge issued injunctive orders against me without any facts, sworn testimony, verified complaint, or injured party. The orders relied entirely on fabricated allegations, including nonexistent text messages that have never been produced.

### 2. Retaliation Following Federal Filing (§1983)

On , I filed a federal civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Immediately afterward, Judge and state officials began a pattern of retaliation against me and my fiancée,

### 3. Retaliation After Jurisdictional Challenges and Notices

On and , jurisdictional challenges were lawfully filed. Following this, I and served Judge with a Notice of Intent and a Notice of Liability for sidestepping jurisdiction. In direct retaliation, on , was unlawfully arrested inside the courthouse at the clerk's window while submitting lawful filings.

### 4. Morning After Federal Filing

On June 26, 2025, the morning after my §1983 filing, an officer appeared outside my care-of address. I informed him that he was violating my due process rights because there were no facts, no evidence, and no injured party. I explained that I am a Non-Citizen State National and not subject to unlawful jurisdiction.

### 5. Unlawful Re-Service of Injunctive Order Without Warrant

On June 26, 2025, law enforcement unlawfully attempted to serve me with an injunctive order. On September 10, 2025, 77 days later, another attempt was made to serve me with the same invalid order. The officer failed to identify himself and unlawfully threw the order into my private automobile.

### 6. Reliance on Nonexistent Text Messages

Judge permitted fabricated allegations of threatening text messages that never existed. No text messages have ever been provided.

### **7. Ignoring Jurisdictional Challenges**

Despite jurisdictional challenges filed on multiple dates, Judge proceeding without lawful jurisdiction.

sidestepped and ignored them,

### **8. Pattern of Bias and Abuse of Authority**

Judge rulings consistently favored false claims made by evidence such as police reports confirming his misconduct.

, despite clear exculpatory

### **Violations of Law**

#### Federal Statutes:

- 18 U.S.C. § 241 – Conspiracy Against Rights
- 18 U.S.C. § 242 – Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law

#### Equity Principle:

- Nul Tiel Corporation – Proceedings initiated without a lawful injured party, treating the State as a false corporate entity expending resources without standing.

#### Constitutional Violations:

- First Amendment – Retaliation for lawful filings
- Second Amendment – Interference with right to bear arms
- Fourth Amendment – Unlawful interference with private automobile
- Fifth Amendment – Denial of due process
- Fourteenth Amendment – Equal protection violations and bias

#### State Law Principles:

- Abuse of Process
- Fraud Upon the Court
- Judicial Misconduct (Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, Canons 1–3)

### **Relief Requested**

I respectfully request that the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct investigate Judge for judicial misconduct, abuse of authority, fraud upon the court, bias, and retaliation under color of law.

Respectfully submitted,



Care-of Address

UCC 1-308 Without Prejudice – All Rights Reserved

# Judicial Complaint Packet

v. (Complainant)  
Hon. Judge (Respondent)

Date: September 11, 2025  
Care-of Address

# Judicial Complaint Against Hon. Judge

I, \_\_\_\_\_, submit this Judicial Complaint against Judge \_\_\_\_\_ for violations of judicial ethics, abuse of discretion, fraud upon the court, and willful violations of constitutional rights under color of law.

## **Fabrication of Crimes to Compel Identification**

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ relied on fabricated allegations to compel me to identify myself despite no evidence of any crime. Fabricating charges to extract identity is unconstitutional and criminal under Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242.

## **Reliance on False Allegations by**

Neither I nor \_\_\_\_\_ have ever committed any crime against \_\_\_\_\_. The only communications sent to him were lawful notices: a Cease and Desist and a Notice of Intent. In retaliation, \_\_\_\_\_ weaponized the courts to harass us as a scorned ex-husband. Judge advanced his false claims despite no evidence.

## **Ignoring Evidence of Criminal Conduct (Trackers)**

Police reports confirm \_\_\_\_\_ admitted to placing trackers on \_\_\_\_\_ vehicle and belongings. Photos further show a tracker hidden inside her gun case. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ ignored this evidence and instead favored \_\_\_\_\_ fabricated allegations, demonstrating bias and fraud upon the court.

## **Confidential Informant Status**

Police reports also reveal \_\_\_\_\_ is acting as a confidential informant. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ knowingly advanced his false claims, protecting him while punishing me and Jamie. This transforms \_\_\_\_\_ private harassment into state-sanctioned retaliation under color of law.

## **Collusion and Missing Filings**

Court filings have gone missing, suggesting collusion and systemic corruption. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ reliance on \_\_\_\_\_ allegations despite his proven misconduct demonstrates fraud upon the court and a conspiracy to deprive us of rights.

## **Emotional Distress and Harassment**

As a result of these unlawful actions, both \_\_\_\_\_ and I have suffered severe emotional distress. These events were not legitimate judicial proceedings, but retaliation and harassment under color of law, violating the First, Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

## **Violations of Law**

- 18 U.S.C. § 241 – Conspiracy Against Rights
- 18 U.S.C. § 242 – Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law
- Nul Tiel Corporation – no lawful injured party exists
- First Amendment – retaliation for lawful filings
- Fourth Amendment – unlawful interference with liberty and property
- Fifth Amendment – denial of due process
- Fourteenth Amendment – equal protection and bias
- Fraud upon the court (Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.)

## **Relief Requested**

I respectfully request that the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct investigate Judge \_\_\_\_\_ for misconduct, fraud upon the court, bias, and retaliation under color of law, and take appropriate

disciplinary action.

**Declaration Under 28 U.S.C. § 1746**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Care-of Address

UCC 1-308 Without Prejudice – All Rights Reserved

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**