

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-460

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

January 6, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was gaslighting him and lecturing him about facts that were not supported in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 6, 2026.

Comp

2025-460

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: Complaint Against Judge

To the Members of the Commission:


My name is _____, and I reside at _____. On _____, _____, filed with the _____ Court of the State of Arizona a *Motion for the Removal of Judge _____ for Cause*, which accompanies this letter for your review.

The motion details instances of gross judicial misconduct by Judge _____. Specifically, Judge _____ has engaged in conduct unbecoming of a judicial officer by inventing facts, using them to gaslight, threaten, and intimidate _____, and then employing that fictitious narrative in an attempt to undermine _____ right to attorney-client privilege. This type of behavior is not only improper, but it also erodes public trust in the fairness and impartiality of the judicial system.

_____ greatly appreciates the Commission's oversight in reviewing this matter and considering the seriousness of Judge _____ misconduct. It is _____ sincere hope that the Commission will carefully examine the motion and take appropriate action.

Respectfully,

Phone:



IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

,
Petitioner No.

And

,
Respondent **MOTION FOR REMOVAL OF
JUDGE
FOR CAUSE**

Assigned to Hon.

Comes now, Respondent, seeking the removal of Judge
in this matter for gross judicial misconduct. On
received a copy of the transcript from a recent court appearance,
giving him the ability to discover for certain the abusive conduct of Judge
. On Judge did create Her own set
of facts in this matter, and used those complete falsehoods to issue a ruling

for records. Judge [redacted] went on to say that if [redacted] could not understand her fictitious statements as reality that it is a “

[redacted]”. Judge [redacted] here is engaging in an abuse tactic known as gaslighting. Judge [redacted] went on to say that if [redacted] did not accept Her lies as reality that “

”.

1. In [redacted] of [redacted] school enrollment forms for the two minor children (ages [redacted] and [redacted]) came due. This is an issue every year because [redacted] insists on complete control despite the parties having joint legal and physical custody. [redacted] is often left out of the educational process due to [redacted] controlling behavior of [redacted] listing only her address for both children. This year [redacted] came up with a compromise that each parent lists their address for one child. With [redacted] need to control, a compromise was unacceptable. [redacted] acquiesced and agreed that [redacted] could again list her address for both children. Legally, [redacted] has just as much right to list his address for the children as

2. On _____, at the first appearance, on _____ latest
frivolous filing, this issue was raised by her attorney,
_____ stated to Judge _____ “
_____”. After this comment Judge _____ went into a
tirade of misinformation, gaslighting and threats:

“

”

This portion of the transcript is attached and marked “A”.

3. In Her rant, Judge _____ states “
_____”. There is NO court order stating
_____ cannot use his address for the children. This is
complete fiction being made up by Judge _____.

4. Judge [redacted] claims " [redacted] " There has NEVER been any testimony stating [redacted] cannot use his address for the children. This is again an unequivocal falsehood being put forth by Judge [redacted] .

5. Judge [redacted] claims " [redacted] ". NEVER has Judge [redacted] entered an order that would prevent [redacted] from listing his address for the children.

6. Judge [redacted] says " [redacted] ". NEVER has Judge [redacted] had a conversation where [redacted] not being allowed to list his address for the children was an issue. Again this is pure fiction being created by Judge [redacted] .

7. Gaslighting is defined by Oxford as "manipulate (someone) using psychological methods into questioning their own sanity or powers of reasoning". Gaslighting is abuse. Judge [redacted] says if [redacted] cannot understand the Judge's fantasy as

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**