

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-467

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

January 23, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 23, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-467

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

[Redacted Name]

Judge's Name:

Judge

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I WAS assigned to judge \_\_\_\_\_ on behalf of case \_\_\_\_\_  
 Violating Ars 6S 28 on behalf of assuming another judicial office  
 nrs 6S 42 evaluation of the public needed based on performance  
 violation of Ars 2S 3 on behalf of failure to dismiss due to  
 rules of judicial conduct violation 1.1, rule 2.3, (B)  
 rule 2.5 (A.) Rules 2.15 (A, B, C, D,) violations  
 on behalf of continuing to prosecuted due to brady v ryan  
 violation, double jeopardy, due process violation, and  
 4th amendment illegal search and seizure violation  
 located in discovery on pages 33, 29 167, and 168  
 without probable cause  
 Ars. S 13-4131, A, - and 13-4132 (1.), 5, and (7.)  
 with intent to Ars 6.153 on 6.152  
 and  
 Terry v Ohio violation on behalf of initial stop