

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-511

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

January 6, 2026

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace incorrectly dismissed her civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 6, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025 - 511

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

COMES NOW, PLAINTIFF in the above matter. Whereas, I believe that, perhaps an oversight has transpired. In part, due to the fact that, I have followed all of the rules and regs in accordance with ARS. Whereas, the Defendant failed to respond to my filings- which, is a technicality violaton. In light of this fact, the bench officer should have ruled in my favor. That's standard protocol. Instead, the case was simply dismissed, which is an egregious, albeit lazy inaction against . And, in doing such, leaves me in a precarious imposition, without any resolution, justification, or cause.

refused to consider Arizona Revised Statutes 44-1613, which gives weight to "unlawful practices." Furthermore, I was deprived of due process and my right to be heard. From all outward appearances, who has been working from home- made a hasty, bedside decision to dismiss this matter, which deprives litigants from a fair and impartial hearing. Respectfully, she should recuse herself from this matter in the interest of justice.

Moreover, prior to disdainful and dismissive ruling, the court actually lost my filing. in fact, the clerks had thee audacity to argue me down on the phone, stating in pertinent part, "..." Infuriating! But, thankfully, I keep all my records in order and I personally appeared at the clerk counter with my file-stamped document in hand to prove them wrong! I spoke with supervisors and ; they sheepishly provided a half-hearted apology. even admitted that, he was working my case and it ws already on the judge's desk for decision. This, statement proves up, how they deprived me of my right to due process. Thereafter, I received a decision- dismissing the matter- where they Added the date of my response- it's giving backdating documents. Which, is a crime#.

That being said, I respectfully request that all of cases be reviewed to avoid an ouward appearance of impropriety. In the interim, that be suspended, without pay- pending investigation for ethical violations and a failure to uphold her own oath and bond. Further requesting that, the complicit administrative staff be suspended, undergo additional training and be transferred to downtown where they can Learn by examples setforthe by, solid judiciaries.

I have taken the liberty of motioning the court for a Statement of Decision. In part, I have a right to know how this juris-doctorate-educated-bench officer arrived at such a flimsy and dismissive decision, without merit. It's almost like, she just did not want to be bothered and if that be the case, she does not need to be presiding on anybody's bench- causing harm and neglect to unsuspecting litigants.

I find her actons morally reprehensible, as she intentionally deprived me of settling this matter, thus causing collateral damage and wasting my time, opportunity and money.

The End,

County Justice Courts, Arizona

CASE NUMBER: _____

Plaintiff(s) Name / Address / Email / Phone

PRO PER

Defendant(s) Name / Address / Email / Phone

PRO PER

Attorney for Plaintiff (s) Name / Address / Email / Phone

Attorney for Defendant(s) Name / Address / Email / Phone

RULING ON MOTION

On this date _____ the Plaintiff Defendant filed a motion requesting the following relief:

MOTION TO DISMISS

The Plaintiff Defendant Did not file a responsive pleading
 Filed a response to the motion on this day _____

The Plaintiff Defendant Did not file a reply
 Filed a reply to the response on this day _____

The Court, has considered that which has been submitted by the parties

IT IS ORDERED Granting said motion Denying said motion

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED

Date: _____ /s/ _____
Justice of the Peace

I CERTIFY that I delivered / mailed a copy of this document to:

Plaintiff at the above address Plaintiff's attorney Defendant at the above Address Defendant's attorney

Date: _____ By _____
Clerk

Exhibit 1