

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-517

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 11, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased and ignored evidence. Complainant also alleges the judicial assistant moved visitation dates, exceeding her authority.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 11, 2026.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: (Formerly Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I am filing this complaint against Hon. _____ the _____ County _____ Court, Family Division, for judicial negligence, bias, and misconduct in my ongoing custody and child-support case (_____).

On _____, Judge _____ entered a temporary Rule 69 agreement that required the respondent, _____ to pay for several car loans "in lieu of child support." One of these vehicles—a _____ was unregistered, illegal to drive, and remains titled in the respondent's name, with its registration expired since _____. Despite my documentation and requests for proper child support under Arizona Guidelines (A.R.S. §25-320), Judge _____ accepted this arrangement as valid. This left me without lawful transportation and without financial support for my _____-year-old child.

The respondent was later charged in _____ (Case No. _____) with three felony DUI-related offenses—driving with .08 BAC causing injury (23153(b) VC), driving under the influence causing injury (23153(a) VC), and hit-and-run (20001(a) VC)—plus two misdemeanor enhancements for driving with a blood-alcohol level above .20. A _____ arrest warrant was issued on _____ after his failure to appear. The Arizona court was notified, but Judge _____ never re-evaluated custody or visitation safety in light of these felonies and the warrant.

Judge _____ also failed to address the respondents non-compliance with court-ordered therapeutic visitation. Records show the respondent failed to complete intake or payment with the original provider, _____ and later attempted to switch to _____, which had a three-month backlog. Despite the delays and confusion this caused, the court allowed conflicting visitation directives to remain active, forcing me to file multiple Motions to Clarify. The contradictions between the _____ temporary order and the _____ minute entry were never resolved, leaving visitation orders unclear and unenforceable.

Additionally, the respondent failed to file a required pre-trial statement as ordered by the court. Although Judge _____ acknowledged this violation, he imposed no sanctions—contrary to procedural fairness and Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure (Rule 76.1). I, as a self-represented domestic-violence survivor, have consistently complied with every order and filing requirement while the respondent has repeatedly ignored court directives without consequence.

- Judge _____ actions demonstrate a pattern of bias and neglect of duty:
- Accepting an unregistered and unusable vehicle as child support,
 - Failing to reassess safety following felony charges and a warrant,
 - Ignoring non-compliance by the respondent and his attorney,
 - Issuing conflicting visitation orders that caused confusion, delay, and harm,
 - Allowing unequal enforcement that disadvantaged a domestic violence survivor acting in good faith.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

(Formerly

 Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

These actions violate Canons 1 and 2 of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, which require judges to uphold integrity, impartiality, and diligence.

Attached exhibits:

- minute entry and emails confirming Rule 69 arrangement
- Arizona MVD record confirming the unregistered status
- County felony charges and warrant documentation
- Emails and motions regarding therapeutic visitation conflicts
- Court filings showing respondents non-compliance and lack of sanctions

I submit this complaint in good faith and with full respect for the Commissions confidentiality rules. I understand that proceedings before the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct are confidential and that I may not disclose this filing publicly except as permitted by law.

(Formerly)
ADDRESS: PROTECTED

BY: /s/
DEPUTY
Case N
HON.

Response To Motion and Order to Change Therapeutic Visitations

Mother/Petitioner: (Formerly)

Respondent/Father:

Case Number:

Honorable

Re: MOTHER/PETITIONER response to

MOTHER/PETITIONER does not agree with the change for therapeutic visits due to the last-minute changes that will not comply with the court orders, RESPONDENT/FATHER criminal development, and the lack of inconsistency in which the opposing party is not in compliant with the current order that is placed for the therapeutic visits ordered .

MOTHER/PETITIONER is requesting that the courts do not make the MOTHER/PETITIONER responsible for any and all financial coverage that may be related to therapeutic visits.

The RESPONDENT/FATHER had months to follow court orders.

RESPONDENT/FATHER failed.

RESPONDENT/FATHER initiated contact with ‘ ’ , but failed to follow through with therapeutic visitations by not providing any information regarding financial responsibility.

The RESPONDENT/FATHER has not been in contact regarding therapeutic visits for months.

With good faith, MOTHER/PETITIONER collaborated with RESPONDENT/FATHER to initiate therapeutic visitations.

The RESPONDENT/FATHER did not follow through.

RESPONDENT/FATHER contacted MOTHER/PETITIONER around , aggressively stating that there will be changes made to the therapeutic visitations and if there is no response to this email by agreeing with RESPONDENT/FATHER, to change the therapeutic visitations to , RESPONDENT/FATHER will file a motion.

RESPONDENT/FATHER has been unreasonable in this process to determine an appropriate therapeutic provider and has made impulsive and manipulative decisions to change therapeutic provider without the awareness of MOTHER/PETITIONER.

MOTHER/PETITIONER is requesting RESPONDENT/FATHER be responsible in providing financial coverage including down payment for MOTHER/PETITIONER an attorney to represent all legal matters going forward in this case due to the continuous complexities and legal matters that arise by RESPONDENT/FATHER.

MOTHER/PETITIONER would like to note that she was not given appropriate time to review the therapeutic provider nor was the MOTHER/PETITIONER given information about the therapeutic provider until .

Due to the unreasonable and inadequate request to change therapeutic provider at the last minute. MOTHER/PETITIONER is requesting that the courts deny RESPONDENT/FATHER change of therapeutic provider at this time.

Respectfully Submitted,

(Formerly)

MOTHER/PETITIONER

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**