

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-525

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

January 23, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings and was biased in a family law matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 23, 2026.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-525

Comp

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

— See attached Docs —

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ. 85007

**Re: Complaint Against Judge**

**/ Case No.**

Date:

To Whom It May Concern:

I, \_\_\_\_\_, respectfully submit this formal complaint against Judge \_\_\_\_\_ regarding her conduct and dismissal in the rulings in the above-referenced matter before the Arizona Family Court by predecessor Judge \_\_\_\_\_. This complaint is predicated upon several substantive and procedural irregularities that, in my view, undermine the fairness and integrity of the judicial process.

### **I. Contradictory and Unsupported Findings**

On \_\_\_\_\_, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ issued an order containing factual findings that are internally inconsistent and unsupported by the record. Specifically, the Court stated that “

” Nevertheless, the written order inexplicably awarded Respondent \_\_\_\_\_ in attorney’s fees, without providing any explanation or legal justification for this departure from the stated findings. The order fails to reconcile its conclusions with the financial affidavits (AFIs) and oral statements presented during the hearing. Notably, the Petitioner failed to submit her complete AFIs, which further calls into question the accuracy and fairness of the Court’s determination.

### **II. Omission and Mischaracterization of Evidence**

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ order asserts that I acted unreasonably by failing to comply with the Court’s \_\_\_\_\_ directive to sign a release of records to \_\_\_\_\_. This assertion is demonstrably false. I possess documentation and receipts confirming that the required records were submitted to the Court, the attorney, and the Court Appointed Advisor (CAA) as ordered.

These materials were presented as evidence during the hearing but were either overlooked or omitted from consideration in the Court’s ruling. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ decided to dismiss a motion and several others to “Look at the overlooked Evidence” presented but she declined. She also denied other Judge to look at the decision.

Additionally, I provided certificates and records evidencing my and my son's participation in individual and family therapy, which were submitted to both the attorney and the CAA. Despite this, the Court erroneously found that I did not attend therapy or provide the requisite notes, further demonstrating a disregard for the evidence on record.

### **III. Disparate Treatment and Denial of Child Support**

The Court's order references discrepancies in income between the parties, yet denied my request for child support without adequate explanation. This decision appears arbitrary and discriminatory and is inconsistent with the principles of fairness that govern family court proceedings in Arizona. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ denied to look at the evidence.

### **IV. Conclusion**

The cumulative effect of both Judges and well as these contradictions, omissions, and unsupported findings constitutes a violation of the duty of fairness owed to all parties in family court proceedings. I was held to a higher standard than other participants in the hearing regarding interpretation of court orders, and the Court's actions have resulted in an unjust and biased outcome. I respectfully request that the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct investigate these matters and take appropriate corrective action.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
D

COURT OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY

CLERK OF THE COURT

HONORABLE  
FOR HONORABLE

Deputy

IN RE THE MATTER OF

AND

JUDGE

MOTION DENIED

The Court has reviewed Respondent's Motion for Corrections Based on Clerical Mistakes, Oversight and Omissions, Rule 85 (filed \_\_\_\_\_).

On \_\_\_\_\_, the Court granted Mother's Petition to Modify and modified the legal decision-making authority, parenting time, and child support orders and awarded Mother a portion of her reasonable attorney fees. Since that Order was issued, Father has filed (1) a new petition to modify, (2) two motions for reconsideration, (3) a motion to vacate judgment, (4) a motion for another judge, and now (5) the present motion.

Father's latest motion identifies no clerical mistakes to be remedied, but instead is essentially an untimely motion to alter or amend.

Accordingly,

COURT OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY

HONORABLE

CLERK OF THE COURT

Deputy

IN RE THE MATTER OF

AND

JUDGE

MOTION DENIED

The Court has received and considered Respondent's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing,  
filed

**IT IS ORDERED** denying the Motion.

All parties representing themselves must keep the Court updated with address changes.  
A form may be downloaded at:

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**