

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-526

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 13, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made improper rulings and denied her right to be heard in an eviction case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Cathy Riggs did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 13, 2026.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Complainant Information

Name: _____
Mailing Address: _____
City/State/Zip: _____
Telephone: _____
Email: _____

Judge Information

Judge's Name: Commissioner _____
Court: _____ County _____ Court _____
Location: _____ Arizona _____

Case Information

Did you have a case before this judge? Yes
Is the case still pending? Yes (civil matter filed)
Case Name and Number: _____
Attorneys: _____ for _____ and others
Witnesses: _____ (assistant to Commissioner) _____ confirmed receipt of filings)

Acknowledgment

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case. (Yes)

Signature: _____
Date: _____

Attached Complaint Narrative

On _____ during a scheduled video hearing in my eviction case, Commissioner _____ granted an eviction order against me despite serious procedural issues. 1. Failure to Consider Filed Civil Trial Request: On _____ I paid for and filed a civil complaint and requested trial. Despite this filing, which directly affects my case, Commissioner _____ proceeded with eviction without acknowledging or taking this into account. 2. Failure to Allow Full Participation in Hearing: During the _____ video hearing, my connection dropped and I was disconnected mid-proceeding. I immediately contacted the court and spoke with _____ Commissioner _____ assistant, who confirmed my emails and filings had been received. Nevertheless, Commissioner _____ ruled in my

absence, knowing I was disconnected, thereby denying me my right to be fully heard. 3. Disregard of Evidence and Filings: My filings, including proof of ownership and motions relevant to the eviction, were filed [redacted] days before the hearing. Commissioner [redacted] acknowledged receipt but did not consider them before issuing judgment. Why This Is Misconduct: Commissioner [redacted] actions demonstrate bias and indifference toward my right to a fair hearing, failure to maintain judicial integrity by ruling without considering timely-filed pleadings, and violation of due process, as I was not given a full and fair opportunity to be heard before a final decision was made. I respectfully request that the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct investigate Commissioner [redacted] conduct in this matter.

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Financial Services Department

[Redacted]

NOTICE OF EXCESS PROCEEDS

[Redacted]

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT
REQUESTED AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

[Redacted]

Re: Reference or File number [Redacted]

A trustee's sale was conducted on [Redacted]. After payment of sums, as provided for in Arizona Revised Statutes §33-812(A)(1), (2) and (3), it appears there may be excess proceeds. However, according to Arizona Revised Statutes §33-812(A)(4) and (5), secured lienholders may be entitled to be paid first from the proceeds. Therefore, you may or may not be entitled to any proceeds depending upon the amount of the claims by these secured lienholders. Please provide our office with your current mailing address so we can include that in the paperwork to insure that you receive a copy of the Complaint once it is filed.

FOR MORE INFORMATION PLEASE CONTACT:

Financial Services Customer Service Department

[Redacted]

IN THE COURT OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

,
Plaintiff, Pro Se,

v.

/ ,
, JOHN DOES 1-10, AND COMMISSIONER ,
(IN HIS OFFICIAL AND INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY),

Defendants.

Case No.: _____

VERIFIED CIVIL COMPLAINT

(Fraud, Judicial Error, Civil Rights Violations, Damages, and Prayer for Relief)

I. INTRODUCTION

This case arises out of fraudulent recordings, judicial error, and systemic misconduct that have deprived Plaintiff of her home, equity, insurance coverage, stability, and constitutional rights. Plaintiff has been the sole owner of her property since , by Deed of Reconveyance and Divorce Decree. Despite this, fraudulent liens were recorded, wrongful foreclosure was pushed forward, and Commissioner issued a writ of restitution while ignoring Plaintiff's Answer and filings.

These actions triggered cascading harms: insurers suspended coverage mid-claim, mitigation was abandoned leaving the home uninhabitable, Plaintiff and her minor daughter were forced into unstable hotels, and her service dog suffered trauma. Plaintiff's credit has been destroyed, her personal belongings lost, and her daughter's education disrupted.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. Plaintiff is the rightful sole owner of the subject property pursuant to her Divorce Decree and Deed of Reconveyance (2014).
2. Fraudulent trustee sale recordings were made in _____, despite Plaintiff's ownership.
3. Notices were improperly served: Plaintiff discovered papers rolled up in her door, leaving only _____ days before a scheduled hearing, not the required _____ days. No lawful Affidavit of Service was filed.
4. Plaintiff filed an Answer on _____, stamped by the Clerk, and also filed a Jury Trial Demand.
5. On _____, Plaintiff emailed filings to Commissioner _____ office. His assistant, _____, Judicial Assistant, acknowledged receipt.
6. On _____, during the video hearing, Plaintiff was disconnected. Plaintiff immediately called _____ office; _____ again confirmed that Plaintiff's Answer and filings were received and visible.
7. Despite this, Commissioner _____ proceeded to issue a writ of restitution, as if no Answer existed.
8. Following this order, the Sheriff personally called Plaintiff, advising she had five days to correct the record before eviction. He provided his personal cell phone for contact, underscoring the immediacy of harm.
9. _____ and _____, Plaintiff's insurers since _____, then relied on _____ erroneous ruling to suspend coverage. _____ housing was terminated after _____ months, leaving Plaintiff and her daughter displaced, harassed by hotel staff, and charged over _____ in penalties and fees out-of-pocket.
10. Mitigation by _____ was halted midstream, leaving mold contamination and half-demolished areas. Plaintiff's personal property remained ruined and unreimbursed.
11. Plaintiff's daughter's education (_____ /year tuition) has been disrupted due to housing instability. Plaintiff's service dog has suffered trauma from repeated moves, police knocking, and constant instability.
12. Plaintiff's credit report was destroyed, showing wrongful foreclosure and false employment records, preventing her from even renewing her driver's license.
13. Plaintiff filed complaints with _____, _____, and the Arizona _____, with investigations pending and federal oversight

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**